

## **Board of Commissioners**

216 S. E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street Pendleton, OR 97801 541-278-6204 **Daniel N. Dorran** 541-278-6201

**John M. Shafer** 541-278-6203

Celinda A. Timmons 541-278-6202

## **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING**

Wednesday, March 12, 2025, 1:30pm Umatilla County Courthouse, Room 130

- A. Call to Order
- B. Chair's Introductory Comments & Opening Statement
- C. New Business

CO-ADOPTION OF CITY OF HERMISTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENT #P-138-24: DENNIS GISI, APPLICANT/ VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH C/O DAVID M JOHNSON, LARRY J & FLORENCE R BANKSTON, AND 3 RIVERS-OREGON PROPERTY LLC,

**OWNERS.** The applicant requests the County co-adopt City Ordinance 2358 amending the comprehensive plan map from urbanizable to urban status for approximately 25 acres located on the north side of E Theater Lane. The City Council also adopted Ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of Ordinance 2358. The criteria of approval are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750 - 152.754 and the Joint Management Agreement between the City and County.

D. New Business

TEXT AMENDMENT #T-097-24, AMENDMENT OF UMATILLA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE, SECTION 152.617(H) HOME OCCUPATIONS/COTTAGE INDUSTRIES IN THE EXCLUSIVE FARM

<sup>&</sup>quot;The mission of Umatilla County is to serve the citizens of Umatilla County efficiently and effectively."



## **Board of Commissioners**

216 S. E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street Pendleton, OR 97801 541-278-6204 **Daniel N. Dorran** 541-278-6201

John M. Shafer 541-278-6203 Celinda A. Timmons 541-278-6202

**USE ZONE.** The applicant, Jim Whitney, proposes text changes to the Umatilla County Development Code (UCDC) Section 152.617(H), to allow a resident to host commercial gatherings and weddings as Home Occupations in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. The criteria of approval for amendments are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750-152.755.

D. Adjournment

<sup>&</sup>quot;The mission of Umatilla County is to serve the citizens of Umatilla County efficiently and effectively."

## PLAN MAP AMENDMENT #P-138-24 CO-ADOPTION OF HERMISTON ORDINANCE NO. 2358 DENNIS GISI, APPLICANT VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH c/o DAVID M JOHNSON, LARRY J & FLORENCE R BANKSTON, AND 3 RIVERS-OREGON PROPERTY LLC, OWNERS

## MARCH 12, 2025, BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PACKET CONTENT LIST

- 1. Staff Memo to Board of Commissioners, page 1
- 2. Umatilla County Public Notice Map, page 2
- 3. City of Hermiston Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Map, page 3
- 4. Co-adoption request letter from Hermiston City Planner, Clinton Spencer, page 4
- 5. City of Hermiston Findings of Fact; Bankston, 3 Rivers Oregon Property LLC, Victory Lighthouse Church Conversion and Annexation, pages 5 12
- 6. Umatilla County Land Use Request Application, pages 13 25
- 7. PBS Engineering Traffic Impact Analysis, pages 26 118



## **Community Development**

TO:

FROM:

## **PLANNING DIVISION**

216 SE 4th ST, Pendleton, OR 97801, (541) 278-6252 Email: planning@umatillacounty.gov

**COMMUNITY &** BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

**MEMO** 

LAND USE PLANNING, **ZONING AND** PERMITTING **Umatilla County Board of County Commissioners** 

Tierney Cimmiyotti, Planner February 26, 2025 DATE:

CODE **ENFORCEMENT** 

RE: March 12, 2025 Board of County Commissioners Hearing

SOLID WASTE City of Hermiston Plan Map Amendment Co-adoption COMMITTEE

Plan Map Amendment, #P-138-24

Dennis Gisi, Applicant/ Victory Lighthouse Church c/o David M Johnson, Larry J &

Florence R Bankston, and 3 Rivers-Oregon Property LLC, Owners

**SMOKE** MANAGEMENT

GIS AND MAPPING

**Background Information** 

On July 8, 2024, Hermiston City Council adopted Ordinance 2358, amending the Comprehensive Plan Map from "Urbanizable" to "Urban" for approximately 25 acres located on the north side of E Theater Lane. The City Council also adopted Ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of Ordinance 2358.

LIAISON, NATURAL **RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT** 

ADDRESSING

RURAL

PUBLIC TRANSIT

## Co-Adoption

The City of Hermiston Joint Management Agreement (JMA) Section E (10) requires Comprehensive Plan Amendments applicable in the Urban Growth Area to be processed by the City. The JMA requires amendments to be adopted by ordinance, first by the City, then to the County for co-adoption review.

## **Hearings**

The Hermiston City Council held a public hearing on July 8, 2024 and approved the plan map amendment and subsequently adopted Ordinances 2358 and 2359.

The Umatilla County Planning Commission held the County's first evidentiary hearing for co-adoption on January 23, 2025 at the Justice Center Media Room, 4700 NW Pioneer Place, Pendleton, OR. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Co-Adoption with a vote of 7-0.

## **Conclusion**

The Umatilla County Board of Commissioners decision is final unless appealed to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). Following co-adoption of the City of Hermiston ordinances the subject properties will be annexed into the city.

### Attachments

- **Public Notice Map**
- City of Hermiston Ordinance 2358
- City of Hermiston Adoption Findings

## DENNIS GISI, APPLICANT 3 RIVERS-OREGON PROPERTY LLC, LARRY J & FLORENCE R BANKSTON, & VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH c/o DAVID M JOHNSON, OWNERS


MAP: 4N2801B TAX LOTS: 1500, 1502 & 1503

Notified property owners within 100 feet of subject parcel


Map Disclaimer: No warranty is made by Umatilla County as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the data. Parcel data should be used for reference purposes only. Created by T.Cimmiyotti, Umatilla County Planning

## PLAN AMENDMENT #P-138-24

0 150 300 600 Feet



## CITY OF HERMISTON PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP



400

Feet

200

600

800

F-R

M



RECEIVED

JUL 12 2024

UMATILLA COUNTY
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

HERMISTON OR E G O N Planning

Department

July 9, 2024

Mr. Bob Waldher
Umatilla County Planning Director
216 SE 4<sup>th</sup> Street
Pendleton, OR 97801

Re: Co-Adoption of Hermiston Ordinance No 2358

Dear Mr. Waldher:

On July 8, 2024, the Hermiston City Council adopted Ordinance 2358 amending the comprehensive plan map from urbanizable to urban status for approximately 25 acres on the north side of E Theater Lane. The city council also adopted ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of ordinance 2358. Per Section E10 of the Hermiston Planning Area Joint Management Agreement the matter of conversion now comes before Umatilla County for co-adoption. A copy of ordinance 2358 is attached to this letter for the county's use.

The applicants in the matter of conversion and annexation are Dennis Gisi and Larry Bankston. The applicants will contact the county to file any necessary applications and fees associated with the co-adoption process.

Sincerely,

Clinton Spencer

Planning Director

C: Dennis Gisi, Larry Bankston, Victory Lighthouse Church

## **City of Hermiston Findings of Fact**

Bankston, 3 Rivers Oregon Property LLC, Victory Lighthouse Church

Conversion and Annexation

### Exhibit A

### **Findings of Fact**

## Bankston/3 Rivers Oregon Property LLC/Victory Lighthouse Church Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment and Annexation 1940/1990/2180 NE 10<sup>th</sup> Street

July 8, 2024

Findings of Fact on Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment

## Goal 1 (Citizen Involvement) and Policy 1 (Citizen Involvement)

- Notice of the proposed annexation and amendment before the planning commission was published in the local newspaper on May 22 and 29, 2024 soliciting comments on the proposed annexation and amendment in conformance with 157.229(A) of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.
- 2. Notice of the proposed land use action was physically posted on the property on May 22, 2024, in conformance with 157.229(B) of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.
- 3. Notice of the proposed land use action was provided by direct mail to all property owners within 300 feet on May 22, 2024, in conformance with 157.229(C) of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.
- 4. Notice of hearing on the proposed annexation and amendment before the city council was published in the local newspaper on May 29 and June 5, 2024, soliciting comments on the proposed annexation and amendment in conformance with 157.229(A) of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.
- 5. The notice listed in finding 4 above listed June 24, 2024 as the date of the hearing. At the June 24 hearing the council president verbally announced to those in attendance that the hearing was rescheduled to July 8, 2024.
- 6. Comments received as a result of all required publications are incorporated into the record of proceedings.

## Goal 2 (Land Use Planning) and Policies 2 (Planning Process) and 3 (Intergovernmental Coordination)

- The city is required to review its land use designations and supply adequate amounts of all zoning types.
- 8. The proposed map amendments are citizen initiated to fulfill perceived market demand rather than city initiated. The city applies all applicable comprehensive plan policies and statewide planning goals to determine the appropriateness of the proposed amendments to land supply.
- 9. Notice of the proposed amendment was provided to Umatilla County, DLCD, ODOT, the Hermiston Irrigation District, and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation on May 22, 2024.
- 10. The subject properties of approximately a combined 25.85 acres of land is within the urban growth area and has the "urbanizable" plan designation and a FR (Future Residential) comprehensive plan designation and FU-10 zoning designation. The owners have evaluated the market demands and analyzed appropriate and compatible uses in the

- neighborhood surrounding the subject property. Both owners are each proposing single family housing, which will be compatible to the neighborhood.
- 11. The proposed R-1 zoning includes a combined 25.85 acres between the three parcels (TL 1500, 1502, and 1503).

## Policies 4 (Orderly Urban Growth), 5 (Annexation), and 6 (Conversion)

- 12. As all three parcels adjoin existing roadways, utilities, and the existing Hermiston city limits, the change will promote compact urban development and ensure efficient utilization of land resources. It will facilitate economic provision of urban facilities and services because it will add much needed housing stock for the local residents. It will also convert land that is not considered high value farmland to low density (R-1) residential lots.
- 13. The existing church is considered a preexisting conditional use within the proposed R-1 zone under its previous approval from Umatilla County. Future expansion, or change in use on the site will require new conditional use approval subject to 157.205 through 157.210 of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.
- 14. Residential development is needed in this area and is a good fit with existing adjacent property uses.
- 15. The property is within the urbanizable portion of the UGB and has a county FR (Future Residential) comprehensive plan and an FU-10 zoning designation. The property is adjacent to the city limits and the proposed annexation is consistent with Policy 5. Following amendment of the plan map designation to a mix of low density residential, the property will become part of the urban portion of the UGB.
- 16. The applicant is proposing annexation and incorporation to the city and therefore Policy 6 is not applicable.

## Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands) and Policy 17 (Agriculture and Agriculture Related Economy)

17. The Subject properties are vacant or used as a residence and a church. It is located within the city's acknowledged urban growth boundary and is designated as urbanizable land. The land is not considered high value farmland and is not protected as Goal 3 farmland and therefore an exception to Statewide Planning Goal 3 is not required.

### Goal 4 (Forest Lands) and Policy 7 (Natural Resources)

18. There are no forest lands identified within the Hermiston UGB. Goal 4 is not applicable.

## Goal 5 (Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces) and Policies 8 (Surface and Groundwater Resources), 9 (Mineral and Aggregate Resources), and 10 (Historic Resources)

19. The properties do not have any identified natural resources, scenic and historic areas, open spaces, surface water, mineral or historic resources, therefore an exception to the Statewide Planning Goals 5, 8, 9 and 10 is not required.

## Goal 6 (Air, Water and Land Resources Quality and Policies 11 (Air Quality), 12 (Noise), and 13 (Water Quality)

20. The city is required to comply with state and federal regulations regarding air and water quality in all development permitting per 157.004 of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances.

Development is required to preserve natural resource quality as part of the development review and construction process.

## Goal 7 (Areas Subject to Natural Hazards) and Policy 14 (Natural Hazards and Development Limitations)

- 21. Figure 12 of the Hermiston Comprehensive Plan indicates this property is subject to potential natural hazards due to excessively well drained soils.
- 22. The city will require compliance with §157.101 of the Hermiston Code of Ordinances. This section requires mitigation measures to protect groundwater resources.
- 23. In the case of an existing or potential groundwater pollution threat, the city shall prohibit the outdoor storage of hazardous chemicals and underground storage of gasoline and diesel fuels.

## Goal 8 (Recreational Needs) and Policy 16 (Parks, Recreation and Open Space)

24. The Hermiston comprehensive plan map and parks master plan each identify areas for future park locations and future park upgrades. This portion of the urban growth boundary is not identified in either document as a potential park site. Additionally, the city has developed Cimmaron Park within 1,500 feet of this site.

## Goal 9 (Economic Development) and Policies 18 (General Industrial Development), 19 (Commercial Development), and 20 (General Economic Development)

25. Goal 9 requires an adequate supply of employment lands, both commercial and industrial. This land is listed on the Comprehensive Plan as F-R and not meant for economic development. Employment lands are not affected by this amendment to the comprehensive plan. Therefore, Goal 9 and the implementing policies are not applicable.

## Goal 10 (Housing) and Policies 21 (Housing Availability and Affordability) and 22 (Neighborhood Quality)

- 26. Changing the subject property from county F-R to city R-1 Low-Density helps satisfy the city's projected housing need. The 2021 City of Hermiston Housing Capacity Analysis shows the existing housing supply of 8,051 housing units. The forecast from PSU Population Forecast Program (2019) estimates the population will grow at a rate of 1% between 2020 and 2040. To accommodate the growth in population, the city's projected need within the city's housing needs analysis will require a total of 10,081 housing units, resulting in a need for 2,030 new housing units by 2040.
- 27. The subject property is currently zoned county FU-10, which allows for one housing unit per 10-acre lot. The proposed change includes 25.85 acres zoned R-1 Low-Density Residential which the applicant believes will yield 65-70 housing units. Figure 6.2 Summary of Forecasted Future Unit Need (2040) on the City of Hermiston Housing Capacity Analysis identified 1,164 new single family detached units are needed by 2040. There is an identified demand of 1,220 new units within the Low-Density zoning by 2040 thus the proposed zone change would go further to satisfy this projected need than the current zoning.
- 28. This residential development is close to public services, schools, and retail services, as well as public transit.

- 29. Applicant plans to develop lots primarily for single-family detached homes that would range in sales price from low \$300s to mid-\$400s.
- 30. The creation of approximately 70 new houses will have a meaningful impact on housing availability and affordability, in alignment with Policies 21 and 22.

Goal 11 (Public Facilities and Services) and Policies 23 (Provision of Public Services and Facilities), 24 (Water, Sewer, and Storm Drainage), 25 (Solid Waste), 26 (Schools), 27 (Police Protection), 28 (Fire Protection), 29 (Local Government Services and Facilities), and 30 (Private Utilities)

- 31. Water and sewer are currently adjacent to the property on 10<sup>th</sup> Street.
- 32. NE 10<sup>th</sup> Street is an urban major collector that borders all three of the properties. East Theatre Lane is classified as a minor collector that runs along the south border of the southern property (Tax Lot 1503). All streets abutting the property will be improved to comply with the city's transportation plan at such time as development of abutting phases occurs.
- 33. All storm water will be retained within the boundaries of the future development. There is no city-wide storm water retention and disposal system.
- 34. Future development will utilize Sanitary Disposal for solid waste services as encouraged by the city.
- 35. Future development will not provide recycling services as the City of Hermiston has already provided recycling collections points in two locations of the city.
- 36. The Hermiston Police Department provides public safety services to the area under consideration. The police department has adequate capacity to patrol and protect the area with no additional actions required by the developer.
- 37. Umatilla County Fire District #1 provides fire and life safety services to the area under consideration. The UCFD#1 has adequate capacity to service the area with no additional actions required by the developer.
- 38. Concurrent with development, applicant will extend power and telecommunications services to the property after adoption of annexation and zone changes.

## Goal 12 (Transportation) and Policies 31 (Integrated Transportation System), 32 (Rail/Air Transportation), 33 (Alternative Transportation), and 34 (Transportation System Plan)

- 39. Applicant has provided a transportation study and transportation impact analysis.
- 40. The Oregon Department of Transportation has accepted the submitted analysis.
- 41. The following summary and recommendations have been extracted from the transportation study performed by PBS Engineering and Environmental, Inc.
  - All study intersections are anticipated to operate within agency mobility standards in the 2025 Current and Proposed Zone Designation scenarios. As such, no improvements are specifically necessary to mitigate the Proposed Zone Designation transportation impacts.
  - All study intersections have adequate storage available on all approach movements to accommodate the 95th percentile vehicle queues.

### Goal 13 (Energy Conservation)

42. This goal requires land to be developed in a manner that maximizes energy conservation based upon sound economic principles through efficient use of density and mixing of uses. The proposed zoning of the subject property will promote low-scale density residential development in close proximity to schools, parks, and existing commercial neighborhoods thereby minimizing travel needs.

## Goal 15 (Willamette River Greenway), Goal 16 (Estuarine Resources), Goal 17 (Coastal Shorelands), Goal 18 (Beaches and Dunes), and Goal 19 (Ocean Resources)

43. Goals 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are geographically based statewide planning goals intended to protect specific, identified natural resources. None of the resources under these goals are within the Hermiston planning area. Goals 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are not applicable.


## Findings of Fact on Annexation

- 1. The City has received consent to annexation from the property owners for approximately 25.8 acres of land.
- Notice of public hearing was published in the local newspaper for two consecutive weeks
  prior to the planning commission hearing on May 22 and 29, 2024. Notices were also
  posted in four public places in the city for a like period. Comments or remonstrances
  received have been incorporated into the record.
- 3. Notice of public hearing was physically posted on the property on May 22, 2024.
- 4. Affected agencies were notified.
- 5. A public hearing of the planning commission was held on June 12, 2024. Comments received at the hearing are incorporated into the planning commission record.
- 6. Notice of public hearing of the city council was published in the local newspaper for two consecutive weeks prior to the city council hearing on May 29 and June 5, 2024. Notices were also posted in four public places in the city for a like period. Comments or remonstrances received have been incorporated into the record.
- A public hearing of the city council was held on July 8, 2024. Comments received at the hearing are incorporated into the record.
- 8. The proposal is consistent with all applicable state annexation requirements in ORS 222.
  - The city has received consent from the property owners within the affected area.
  - b. An election has been deemed not necessary since consent from more than half the owners has been received.
  - c. The property is contiguous with the existing city limits.
  - d. All statutorily required notices have been published and posted.
- 9. Since the property is contiguous to the existing city limits, the annexation is in accord with Comprehensive Plan Policy 4 which promotes compact urban development within and adjacent to existing urban areas to ensure efficient utilization of land resources and facilitates economic provision of urban facilities and services.
- 10. The annexation is consistent with the requirements of Comprehensive Plan Policy 5 relating to annexation.
- 11. Following adoption of conversion from urbanizable to urban status by the City of Hermiston and Umatilla County, the property will be located within the urban portion of the urban growth boundary (UGB) as identified on the comprehensive plan map.

- 12. Water is currently adjacent to the property in NE 10<sup>th</sup> Street. A 12" water main is available to service the property and may be extended into the development site. Water sizing will be determined at the time of development.
- 13. Sanitary sewer is currently available adjacent to the property in NE 10<sup>th</sup> Street. A 12" line is available to service the property and may be extended into the development site. Sewer sizing will be determined at the time of development.
- 14. Applicant is willing to extend both sewer and water to the subject property.

## Findings of Fact on Zoning Designation

- Following amendment by the city and adoption by Umatilla County, the comprehensive plan map will designate the area as Low Density Residential. Proposed map designations are attached as a map to this report.
- 2. The proposed Low Density Residential zoning designation appropriately implements the Low Density Residential comprehensive plan map designations adopted for the property.



12

## **UMATILLA COUNTY APPLICATIONS**

Land Use Request Application & Amendment Application





# Umatilla County Department of Community Development Planning Division

## LAND USE REQUEST APPLICATION

Return Application Materials to:

## Department of Community Development – Planning Division

216 SE 4<sup>th</sup> ST, Room 104 Pendleton, OR 97801 Planning@umatillacounty.gov

Voice: (541) 278-6252 Fax: (541) 278-5480 www.umatillacounty.gov

Revision Date: November 6, 2023
Please obtain the most current version of this application and other supplemental applications before submitting.

## LAND USE REQUEST APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS



OCT 04 2024

### 1. Pre-Application Meeting:

The applicant(s) will meet with the Planning Department staff to review the proposed development/request. Applicable information will be discussed in relation to the proposal. The applicant(s) will then submit all applicable materials to the Planning Department at their convenience.

### 2. Determination of Completeness

The Planning Department staff will review applicable regulations in regards to the type of application. Once the application is determined to be complete the 120 or 150-day clock will begin, which is the maximum amount of time the County has, by law, to process the application.

### 3. Administrative Review

Planning staff reviews applications that fall within the administrative review process. A public notice is sent to the surrounding property owners and affected public agencies. The public notice includes a description of the request and the analysis (preliminary findings) of how the request conforms to the standards set forth in the Umatilla County Development Code. The Planning Director approves administrative decisions. Applications processed through administrative review may be directed to a public hearing at the discretion of the Planning Director, or by the request of a notified property owner or public agency. Decisions made at a public hearing are made by the Planning Commission.

## 4. Consideration by Planning Commission

Public notices are sent to the adjacent property owners for comments of an application that will be heard before the County Planning Commission. The application will then go before the Umatilla County Planning Commission in an Open Record Public Hearing. Public comment is received and a decision is rendered on the application or a recommendation is made to the Board of Commissioners depending upon the type of application being processed.

### 5. Consideration by the Board of Commissioners

The Board of Commissioners hears legislative type of applications (amendments) or appeals. Public hearings are held with testimony being taken for the application or appeal. The Board of Commissioners makes a final decision.

## 6. Provision for Appeals

An appeal is provided for if the applicant/person with standing desires to challenge a decision of the decision-making body (Planning Director, Planning Commission or Board of Commissioners). After a decision is made by any of the decision-making bodies the appeal must be filed within 15 days of the decision. Failure to appeal the decision during that timeframe specified precludes any further appeal on the matter.

## Contact Information for Agencies and Offices

## State Offices

**Department of Environmental Quality, DEQ**Pendleton Office, On-Site Program, 541-276-4063

**Department of Fish and Wildlife, ODFW** Pendleton Office, 541-276-2344

**Department of Forestry, ODF** Pendleton Office, 541-276-3491

Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, DOGAMI, Albany office, 541-967-2039

Division of State Lands, DSL Bend Office, 541-388-6112

Office of Energy Salem Office, 503-373-1034

**Oregon Department of Transportation, ODOT** Pendleton Office, 541-276-1241 La Grande Office, 541-963-1574

Oregon Water Resource Department, OWRD (Watermaster) Pendleton office, 541-278-5456

State Building Codes
Pendleton Office, 541-276-7814

State Historic Preservation Office, SHPO Salem Office, 503-378-4068

## County Offices

County Assessor, 541-278-6219

County Surveyor, 541-278-5460

County Public Works, 541-278-5424

County Records, 541-278-6236

County Tax Office, 541-278-6213

County Environmental Health, 541-278-5432

County GIS, 541-278-6232

## Fire Districts/Departments

East Umatilla County Fire District 541-566-2311

**Echo Fire District**Merle Gehrke, 541-376-8118 or 541-376-8550

**Meacham Volunteer Fire Department** Rollin Reynolds, 541-983-2588

Milton-Freewater Rural Fire Department Rick Saager, 541-938-7146 or 541-938-7222

Pendleton Fire District; Lower McKay, McKay Creek, Rieth & Riverside, 541-276-1442

Pilot Rock Fire District Brian Hemphill, 541-443-5121

Umatilla County Fire District #1 (Formerly Hermiston Rural Fire District, and Stanfield Rural Fire District) 541-567-8822

**Umatilla Rural Fire District** 541-922-3718

## Irrigation Districts

Hermiston Irrigation District 541-567-3024

Hudson Bay Improvement District (also serving the Walla Walla River
Jon Brough, 541-520-2856

**Stanfield Irrigation District** Ray Kopacz, 541-449-3272

West Extension Irrigation
Bev Bridgewater, 541-922-3814

Westland Irrigation District Mike Wick, 541-667-2030

Umatilla County Department of Community Development, Land Use Request Application, page 2 of 10 Revision Date: November, 2023, H:\SHARED\Forms\_Master\Application Form & Supplemental Packet Information\Application\_Land Use Request\_Nov 2023.docx

## Umatilla County Department of Community Development Land Use Request Application

This application must be submitted to the Umatilla County Department of Community Development, 216 SE 4<sup>th</sup> ST, Pendleton, OR 97801, (541) 278-6252, and must be accompanied by a non-refundable application fee. Acceptance of the application and fee does not guarantee approval or a Determination of Completeness.

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION PRINTING CLEARLY WITH A BLACK INK PEN

	of Application	(s) to Submit hat corresponds with the application you are submitting.		
Amendment:	■ Comprehensive P	lan Text/Map, Zoning Text/Map		
<b>Conditional Use</b>	[] (briefly describe)	[ (briefly describe)		
<b>Land Division</b>	☐ Type I, ☐ Type II, ☐ Type III, ☐ Type IV			
Land Use Decision	☐ Farm Dwelling, ☐ Non-Farm Dwelling, ☐ Lot of Record Dwelling			
	(OTHER LUD, briefly describe)			
Pre-Application	Dwellings on reso	ource land (specify)		
Variance	Lot Size, Setb	acks, Other (specify)		
Section 2: Cont	act Information	1		
Name of Applicant: Dennis Gisi		Dennis Gisi		
Address:		PO Box 906		
City, State, Zip: Walla V		Walla Walla, WA 99362		
Telephone Number & Email Address:		509.520.0505 DGisi@johnlscott.com		
The APPLICANT is the		Legal Owner, Contract Purchaser, Agent, Realtor		
	Property Owner(s): Owner is not the applicant.	Dennis Gisi(1500) Larry Bankston(1502) Lighthouse Church(1503)		
	Address:	1940, 19 <b>q</b> 0, and 2180 NE 10th St,		
	City, State, Zip:	Hermiston, OR 97838		
Telephone Number:		541.561.1048		

1.	Location of Property (Provide directions yo	ou would give someone to get to the property):
fΕ	three parcels that are requesting to be annexed. Theater Lane, across the street to the east from d. 4N2801B0 1500, 1502, 1503.	d to City of Hermiston sit directly east of NE 10th Street, north m Highland Hills Elementary, and south of E Punkin Center
2.	Account Number(s) of Property:	Account # 4N2801B0 1500, 1502, 1503
		Account #
3.	Map Number(s) of Property: Township 4N	Range 28E Section 1 Tax Lot 1500 1502, 150
	Township	Range Section Tax Lot
	Use separate sheet	t of paper for ENTIRE Legal Description and mark it "Exhibit A".
4.	Has the Property or dwelling received a Rural Address? If so, what is it?	□Yes — TL1500:#118391
5.	Current size of the Property: Note: A "TRACT OF LAND" is contiguous property within the same ownership. A Tract is viewed differently at times in terms of land use.	Acres _ 25.8! 21.80 NE 10TH ST Acres _ TL 1502:#15465
6.	Current Zoning Designation: There are some 22 zoning designations in Umatilla County.	GF 1990 NE 10TH ST
7.	Comprehensive Plan Designation: A Comprehensive Plan Designation is different than a Zoning Designation in that it distinguishes land that should be developed for various uses, where zoning actually specifies the uses. There can be multiple zoning designations within a Comprehensive Plan Designation.	Agri-busine TL 1503:#160348   Commercia   Grazing/Fo   1940 NE 10 <sup>7H</sup> ST   Industrial   Multi-Use   SV   FR
8.	Buildings on the Property:	
he	re are three parcels (1500) is vacant, (1502) ha	as a single family house, and (1503) has a church building.
	Current Use of the Property. If the use is fare are three parcels (1500) is vacant, (1502) ha	farming, explain the types of crops grown. as a single family house, and (1503) has a church building.

There are multiple parcels included. The parcels surrounding the parcels are; vacant/residential dwelling (north),

vacant (east), vacant/residential dwelling/church/roadway (south), elementary school/residential(west).

Umatilla County Department of Community Development, Land Use Request Application, page 4 of 10 Revision Date: November, 2023, H:\SHARED\Forms\_Master\Application Form & Supplemental Packet Information\Application\_Land Use Request\_Nov 2023.docx

11. Does the Property reside in a Floodplain? If so, a Floodplain Development Permit will need to be completed prior to construction.	No, the Property is not in a floodplain.  Yes, the Property is in a floodplain:  Zone
	Community Number
	Panel Number
12. If the Property is in a Floodplain, then is it also located in a wetland as listed on the National Wetlands Inventory maps?	Yes, provide documentation.  No, the Property is not in a wetlands
13. How is ACCESS provided to the Property? (i.e. provide name of road that	Name of Road or Lane  NE 10th Street
directly serves the Property.) What type of surface does the roadway have?	Paved, Gravel, Dirt
14. Will the Property need an Access Permit onto a County Road or State Highway? If so contact the County Public Works Department, 541-278-5424, or ODOT, 541-276-1241.	Yes, if so please contact the proper authority and provide that documentation No, one already exists (provide a copy)  N/A enters from NE 10th Street/City Road
15. EASEMENTS: Are there any easements on the Property that provide the MAIN ACCESS for the Property OR adjacent properties? Are there any other easements on the property? Attach easement documentation.	Attach easement documentation:  Access easements exist Utility line easements exist Irrigation easements exist Other easements exist: No, other easements exist.  the on site access easement is not main access. attached existing easement documentation
16. Which Rural Fire District/Department covers your Property with fire protection?	Fire Services:  East Umatilla Echo Rural Pendleton FD Silvate Companies:  Meacham Milton-Freewater (subscriber) Tribal Umatilla Rural Umatilla Dist. #1  Not in a RFD
17. Is the Property within an Irrigation District? If the property is served by an Irrigation District, a confirmation letter from that office discussing any concerns of the proposed development must be submitted with this application.	Irrigation District:  Hermiston Stanfield West Extension Westland  Not in an ID Other,

18. Describe the soils on the Property by listing the map name and land capability. Visit <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov</a> or contact NRCS at (541) 278-8049.	<i>Map Unit</i> 75E 122B	Description  Quincy loamy fine sand  Winchester sand	Class
19. What type of water use(s) exist on the Property? If there are none currently, will there be water uses developed in the future?	Water Us	nt water uses exist es to be developed:	
Tuture:	Dome		abandoned we
20. Are there Water Rights on the Property?  If there are Water Rights, the water permit, certificate and/or other documentation from the Oregon Water Resources Department shall be included with this application.	☐ Will appl ☐ Yes, there documentatio ☐ Surface	nt water rights exist y for Water Rights e are water rights, please pron (permit #, etc.) ee Water Right(s), ad Water Right(s),	rovide
21. Will the water rights require a change of use? Explain.	with OWRD	posed use does not require	
	OWRD	sposed use does require a c	enange with
22. What are the water needs of the proposed development? Provide an explanation that shows how the determination was obtained that shows daily usage of water for the development.  1500 will be served by Hermiston municipal water	Exempt I Exempt C Water Ri gallons to be	r Usage: 1502 and 1503 Domestic Well (<15,000 ga Commercial Well (<5,000 g ght required, estimated nur used daily: gallons is necessary for the develo	ıl daily) gal daily) nber of
23. What is the source of your water supply for the proposed development? Please explain your response on a separate sheet of paper.	Alluvial ( Basalt Gr No water	Vater, explanation attached Groundwater, explanation at coundwater, explanation att is necessary for the develo	attached ached
	City of Her	miston Municipal water	

24. Who is the provider of the utilities for the	Telephone	Spectrum
Property?	_	Umatilla Electric Cooperative
Water well, or City of Hermiston		Eastern OR Waste Management
Sewer septic, or City of Hermiston	Garbage Disposai	

25. Provide a description of your proposal (attach a description if necessary):

The applicants, Dennis Gisi and the Bankstons, are requesting approval of their request to be annexed to the City of Hermiston. The City of Hermiston annexation application request has been approved by the city, through their review process.

The applicants/owners of parcels 1500 and 1503 have intention of developing their land (separately). To do so, they require the zoning and the services that the City provides. The Bankston property (1502) does not have plans to develop further.

1500; intends to build approximately 45 single family lots with supporting municipal infrastructure.

1502; is an existing single family home that does not expect to be redeveloped 1503; the church will remain and plans to build 24 single family lots with supporting infrastructure.

1500 and 1502 will be accessed by NE 10th Street, 1503 will be accessed by NE 10th Street and E Theater Lane.

Since all the parcels are adjacent to the municipal utilities and services, the service lines will extend directly onto the property. 1500 has an old well and old septic system that has been unused for several years and will need to be decommissioned before connecting to the city's municipal system.

## **Section 4: Required Application Materials**

26. These materials are to be submitted with the application: The proceeding page is to be used as a base for the site plan. This drawing DOES NOT take the place of any maps required to be submitted by a Licensed Surveyor. This site plan will show what is or will be on the property. Additional material may be requested.

X	Materials to be submitted for ALL types of Applications:
X	a) Completed Application form.
X	b) Applicable Application fees.
X X Ra X X Ra na na	c) Site Plan Marked Exhibit B (see next page) to include:  Scale of drawing Site area showing property boundaries and dimensions Proposed and existing structures with dimensions to nearest Property lines Location of existing wells Location of existing septic systems (i.e. tanks, drain fields) Widths and names of roads adjacent to the site as well as existing roads, which provide direct access to the property. Existing access points (driveways, lanes, etc.) Easements and rights-of-ways Existing utility lines (above and below ground) Approximate location of any unusual topographical features. Major geographic features Location of all creeks, streams, ponds, springs and other drainage ways
X	d) VICINITY MAP – Assessor's map of the Property. e) Property ASSESSOR'S REPORT showing property details. f) The DEED(S) of the Property in question.  Contact County Assessor
X	g) <b>OVERLAY MAP</b> showing potential re-division of the parcels (if the parcels are large enough to be re-divided). Within the Hermiston Urban Growth Boundary, a formal "Shadow Plat" may be required.
×	h) SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION for the land use request will also be required to be submitted with this basic application form. Submit a Supplemental Application if applying for any of the following:  - Amendment to Comprehensive Plan/Map or Zoning Text/Map - Conditional Use  - Variance

Name:

DENNIS	GISI	October 1, 2024
Sig	nature of Applicant	Date
nnis Gisi		
Print	ed Name of Applicant	
eir names verify ultiple parcels the copied if there	ring that the applicant is authorized that are part of this land use requestions.	owners to this land use request are to sign, date and print zed to submit the specified land use request. If there are est, please indicate which parcel you own. This page can his space allows. Attach additional page if necessary.
Mailing Address		City, State, Zip Walla Walla, WA 99362
Parcel Map #	5N3501-CB-02700	
X DEN	NIS GISI	X
	NIS GISI Legal Owner	Signature of Legal Owner
Signature of	Legal Owner	Signature of Legal Owner
Signature of	Legal Owner	
Signature of  10/01 Date	Legal Owner /2024 * *	Date  * * * *
Signature of  10/01  Date  egal Owner(s)	Legal Owner /2024  * * Victory Lighthouse	Date  Church, Larry and Florence Bo
Signature of  10/01  Date  egal Owner(s)  Mailing Address	Legal Owner /2024  Victory Lighthouse 1940 NE 10th St	Date  Church, Larry and Florence Bo  City, State, Zip Hermiston, OR 97
Signature of  10/01  Date  Legal Owner(s)  Mailing Address  Parcel Map #	Legal Owner /2024  * * Victory Lighthouse	Date  Church, Larry and Florence Book City, State, Zip Hermiston, OR 97
Signature of  10/01  Date  egal Owner(s)  Mailing Address  Parcel Map #	Legal Owner /2024  Victory Lighthouse 1940 NE 10th St	Date  Church, Larry and Florence Rolling City, State, Zip Hermiston, OR 97  X
Signature of  10/01  Date  egal Owner(s)  Mailing Address  Parcel Map #	Legal Owner /2024  Victory Lighthouse 1940 NE 10th St	Date  Church, Larry and Florence Book City, State, Zip Hermiston, OR 97

Umatilla County Department of Community Development, Land Use Request Application, page 10 of 10 Revision Date: November, 2023, H: SHARED Forms\_Master Application Form & Supplemental Pocket Information.Application\_Land Use Request\_Nov 2023.docx

Address:

Additional	Signature Page co	MPREHENSIVE PLAN MAI	P AMENDMENT #P-138-24
Legible signatures		quest to amend the Umatilla C ap from 'Urbanizable' To 'Urba	
Associated Permi		eated on Assessor's Map 4N28	
	150	03 into the City of Hermiston.	
PROPERTY O	OWNER(S): ALL prope	erty owners to this land use r	equest are to sign, date and
print their names	verifying that the applicant	is authorized to submit the sp s land use request, please indi	cate which parcel you own.
This page can be o	copied if there are more prop	perty owners than this space al	lows.
Lagal Ownaria	Element Deuleston		
	Florence Bankston	City State 7in	Hermiston, OR 97838
	1990 NE 10th Street	City, State, Zip	Tiermiston, OK 97030
Parcel Map #	4N2801B Tax Lots 1502 8	2 1503	
XXXIII	ice K. Dan Man	$\sim$ X	
(0)	Legal Owner	Signature of Leg	val Owner
11-	14-24		
Date		Date	
		* * * * * *	
Legal Owner(s)			
Mailing Address		City, State, Zip	
Parcel Map #			
X		X	
Signature of	Legal Owner	Signature of Leg	gal Owner
-			
Date		Date	
		* * * * *	
Legal Owner(s)			
Mailing Address		City, State, Zip	
Parcel Map #	-		
X		X	
Signature of	Legal Owner	Signature of Leg	gal Owner
Date		Date	
Date		Date	

## **Amendments**

Comprehensive Plan Map/Text, Zoning Map/Text COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
Supplemental Application & Information Packet





**Community Development** 

216 SE 4<sup>th</sup> ST, Pendleton, OR 97801, (541) 278-6252 Email: planning@umatillacounty.gov

Note: Please complete the Land Use Request Application as well.

## PROCESSING THE APPLICATION

The typical application process is approximately 3 to 6 months long.

Applications for map amendments are processed as quasi-judicial land use decisions. Planning staff have 30 days to review the application for completeness. Once the application is deemed complete, planning staff will provide the required 35-day notice to the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD). The proposed amendment is then placed on the next available County Planning Commission agenda for a public hearing.

As applicable, applicant(s), owners(s), surrounding property owners, affected government agencies, and utility companies are given 10-day notice in which to make written comments or prepare to attend the public hearing.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

The amendment process involves two public hearings. At the first hearing, the County Planning Commission hears public testimony and makes a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners who makes a final decision at the second hearing.

## ADDITIONAL ITEMS

- Copy of Umatilla County Comprehensive Plan Map or Zoning Map with changes indicated.
- 2. A description addressing how the proposed amendment complies with the Umatilla County Development Code and Comprehensive Plan, Oregon Administrative Rules, Statewide Planning Goals and Oregon Revised Statutes.
- 3. Other items deemed necessary by planning staff.

## **FEES**

Comprehensive Plan Map, Comprehensive Plan Text, Development Code Text and Zone Map Amendment - \$1,000 each type of application (cumulative)

Cost of the notices will be invoiced afterwards and must be paid prior to final approval. (Effective July 1, 2013 via Ord. #2013-06)

It is the responsibility of the applicant to submit a complete application with all necessary attachments. Planning staff can refuse an incomplete application.

Version: December 27, 2023

H:\shared\Forms\_Master\Application Forms & Supplemental Packets\Supplemental Packet\_Amendments\_Dec 2023.doc

Amendments t	to Map and/or Text	
	t is being proposed to be sted from, or otherwise	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment (includes amendment to the Mineral and Aggregate Significant Site Inventory)  Development Code Text Amendment Zoning Map Amendment
Map are being	o the Comprehensive Plan proposed, what is the tion and what is being	Current Designation: FR  Proposed Designation: L
	to the Zoning Map are what is the current zoning g proposed?	Current Zoning: FU-10 Proposed Zoning: R-1
, ,	e Development Code text, a copy of the proposed ttachment.	Yes, the proposed development code text is attached.  No, the new development code text has not yet been drafted.
There are three parc	but is now vacant, the middle p	exation, the north parcel (1500) had a single arcel (Bankston-1502) has a single family /parking lot, with vacant area to the east.
	xception be necessary in lish the desired land use?	Yes, an Exception is part of this application (see OAR 660, Division 4).  No, an Exception is not necessary.

Umatilla County Department of Community Development, Amendment Supplemental Application, page 2 Version: December 27, 2023, H:\SHARED\Forms\_Master\Application Form & Supplemental Packet Information\Supplemental Packet \_Amendments\_Dec 2023.docx

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

PBS Engineering

## 10th Street Subdivision Traffic Impact Analysis

City of Hermiston Tax Lots 4N2801B001500, 4N2801B001501, and 4N2801B001503 Hermiston, Oregon 97838

Prepared for:
Dennis Gisi
PO Box 906
Walla Walla, WA 99362

&

Victory Lighthouse Church 1940 NE 10th St Hermiston, OR 97838

February 5, 2024 PBS Projects 66132.003 & 66535.000



## **Table of Contents**

1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Scope of Study	
	1.2	Existing Site Conditions	
	1.3	Existing Infrastructure	1
		1.3.1 Land Uses	1
		1.3.2 Existing Roadways	
		1.3.3 Major Intersections and Traffic Controls	
	1.4	Traffic Volumes	
		1.4.1 Baseline Traffic Volumes	
		1.4.2 Background Growth	
		1.4.3 In-Process Projects	
		1.4.4 Future Volumes	2
2	PRC	POSED CONDITIONS	3
	2.1	Project Description	
	2.2	Access and Circulation	3
	2.3	Trip Generation and Distribution	
	E:	2.3.1 Proposed Trip Generation	
		2.3.2 Proposed Trip Distribution	
		2.3.3 Future Volumes with Project	4
3	INT	ERSECTION OPERATIONS AND ROADWAY CAPACITY ANALYSES	5
	3.1	Operations Description	
	3.2	Operation Standards	
	3.3	Analysis Methodology	
	3.4	Level of Service Analyses	
		3.4.1 2024 Existing Conditions	6
		3.4.2 2025 Future Conditions Without Project	
		3.4.3 2025 Future Conditions with Project	7
	3.5	Queuing Analysis	8
4	SAF	ETY ANALYSIS	10
		Collision Analysis	
		Transit, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Facilities	
	4.3	Intersection Sight Distance	11
	4.4	On-Site Parking	
5	STU	JDY FINDINGS	
	5.1	Trip Generation	
	5.2	Level of Service	
	5.3	Queuing Analysis	
	5.4	Collision Analysis	
	5.5	Transit, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Facilities	
	5.6	Intersection Sight Distance	



6	RECOMMENDATIONS	.13
	6.1 On-Site Parking	13
7	REFERENCES	.14



## **Supporting Data**

### **TABLES**

Table 1. Land Uses Around the Site

Table 2. Existing Roadway Information

Table 3. ITE Trip Generation – 10th Street Subdivision

Table 4. Estimated 2024 LOS for Existing Conditions

Table 5. Estimated 2025 LOS for Without Project Conditions

Table 6. Estimated 2025 LOS for With Project Conditions

Table 7. PM Peak Hour Intersection Queueing Analysis

Table 8. Collision Analysis for Study Area Intersections (January 2018 through December 2022)

### **FIGURES**

Figure 1. Vicinity Map

Figure 2. Site Plan

Figure 3. Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control

Figure 4. 2024 Existing Volumes

Figure 5. In-Process Project Trips

Figure 6. 2025 Without Project Volumes

Figure 7. Trip Distribution and Assignment

Figure 8. 2025 With Project Volumes

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Traffic Counts

Appendix B: Trip Generation Calculations and Trip Distribution Model Outputs

Appendix C: Oregon Highway Plan - Mobility Targets

**Appendix D:** Level of Service Reports

Appendix E: Queue Reports

Appendix F: Collision Rate Calculations and Data

Appendix G: In-Process Projects

©2024 PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc.



### 1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to determine the impact of the traffic generated by the 10th Street Subdivision (Project) on the surrounding roadway infrastructure. The project sites are shown on the vicinity map (Figure 1). This study will determine if mitigation is required to keep the roadways operating safely and at capacity levels acceptable under the current level of service (LOS) standards. This report documents the findings and conclusions of a traffic impact analysis (TIA) conducted for the proposed site plans (Figure 2) for property located in Hermiston, Oregon.

### 1.1 Scope of Study

This study documents the existing and proposed conditions, traffic data, safety analysis, and intersection operations in accordance with the City of Hermiston (City) TIA guidelines, which are presented within the City's *Public Works Standards, Technical Specifications, and Standard Drawings* (see References).

The following intersections were identified for analysis:

- 1. 10th St / Theater Ln
- 2. 10th St / Punkin Center Rd
- 3. US-395 / Punkin Center Rd
- 4. US-395 / Theater Ln
- 5. 10<sup>th</sup> Street / First Access (Proposed)

- 6. 10th Street / Second Access (Proposed)
- 7. Theater Lane Access (Proposed)
- 8. 10th Street / Church Access
- 9. 10<sup>th</sup> Street / Third Access (Proposed)
- 10. 10<sup>th</sup> Street / Fourth Access (Proposed)

This TIA includes analysis of future background conditions growth based on an assumed 2% annual growth rate.

This TIA is prepared for submission to the City. The traffic-related issues addressed in this report include:

- Existing traffic conditions
- Proposed site-generated traffic volumes and their distribution
- Build-out year (2025) conditions without and with the project
- Capacity analysis of the existing and future conditions for weekday PM peak hours
- Safety analysis of the existing and future conditions
- Recommendations for mitigation of traffic impacts and conclusions

### 1.2 Existing Site Conditions

The project site consists of three tax lot numbers (4N2801B001500, 4N2801B001501, and 4N2801B001503) and is located at 10th Street in Hermiston, Oregon. Tax lot number 4N2801B001500 is owned by Dennis Gisi, and 4N2801B001503 is owned by Victory Lighthouse Church. Tax lot number 4N2801B001501 is not currently owned by Dennis Gisi but is included as part of this traffic impact analysis (TIA) in case of future development of the lot. It is assumed that the lot will be identical to Tax Lot Number 4N2801B001500 (the 45-lot site) as seen on the site plan in Figure 2.

All three tax lots are currently undeveloped.

### 1.3 Existing Infrastructure

The existing infrastructure and operational traffic conditions in the study area were documented. Roadway conditions were studied to confirm that the roadway is currently operating in a safe and efficient manner.



### 1.3.1 Land Uses

The land uses surrounding the site are documented to help identify the site location and provide reference for any discussion of conditions that might impact the adjacent properties. The land uses surrounding the site are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Land Uses Around the Site** 

North of Site				
Zoning	Unzoned			
Description	Within City Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)			
Existing Use	Undeveloped			

West of Site					
Zoning	R-3				
Description	Medium-High Density Residential Zone				
Existing Use	Elementary School				

-	s
Zon	ıl
Descri	г
Descri	E
Existin	١ -

East of Site				
Zoning Unzoned				
Description	Within City UGB			
Existing Use	Residential & Undeveloped			

South of Site			
Zoning	Unzoned		
Description	Within City UGB		
Existing Use	Residential		

### 1.3.2 Existing Roadways

The existing roadways providing access to the site are 10th Street and Theater Lane. Data was gathered on these and other roadways in the study area to inform operations analysis of the existing roadway system. The pertinent information regarding the study area roadways is tabulated below in Table 2.

**Table 2. Existing Roadway Information** 

Table 1. Date 1. Country to the coun									
		Speed Limit (mph)	Lane Configuration						
Roadway Name	Classification <sup>1</sup>		Lanes	Sidewalks	Bike Lanes	TWLTL			
US-395	Highway	45	4	Yes	No	Yes			
10th Street	Urban Major Collector	45	2	No	No	No			
Theater Lane	Rural Collector	25	2	No	No	No			
Punkin Center Road	Urban Major Collector	45	2	No	No	No			



mph: miles per hour; TWLTL: two-way left-turn lane

<sup>1</sup> Based on the City of Hermiston Transportation System Plan. See

https://hermiston.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=14224b2c622c452ba28e985646812b13

### 1.3.3 Major Intersections and Traffic Controls

Figure 3 shows existing lane configurations and intersections controls for each studied intersection.

### 1.4 Traffic Volumes

### 1.4.1 Baseline Traffic Volumes

Turning movement counts were gathered for the weekday PM (4:00 to 6:00 pm) peak periods by All Traffic Data on January 9, 2024, at the following list of studied intersections:

- 1. 10th St / Theater Ln
- 2. 10th St / Punkin Center Rd
- US-395 / Punkin Center Rd
- 4. US-395 / Theater Ln

Figure 4 shows the 2024 existing volumes based on these counts. Copies of the count data used are provided in Appendix A.

### 1.4.2 Background Growth

Background growth is a linear increase in traffic volumes that is not attributable to specific developments. A linear background growth of 2% was applied to all 2024 existing peak hour movement volumes between public roadways at the studied intersections.

### 1.4.3 In-Process Projects

There is one in-process project currently in the study area. MonteVista Homes, a 250-lot single family home development west of the Project site. Little information is provided with respect to the build-out date of the project. It will be assumed that the first two phases of the project will be built when our Project is built out in 2025. See Figure 5 for the In-Process Project trip distribution and Appendix G for the reference in-process project information.

### 1.4.4 Future Volumes

The baseline volumes for the 2025 intersection operations analyses, termed the 2025 Without Project volumes, represent the sum of 2024 existing traffic and background growth. Figure 6 presents the 2025 Without Project volumes for the weekday PM peak hour. These volumes were input to the intersection operations analyses, addressed later in this TIA.



### 2 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

The proposed development will add traffic to the roadway system. The project location, size, and completion date are all important elements that need to be considered to determine the development's impacts on safety and capacity. It is also important to exine how the project will operate with the existing transportation system, estimate how much new traffic it will generate, and predict where traffic generated by the site will be distributed. Furthermore, this section will address any funded infrastructure changes planned by other agencies or developers. All these elements are important in assessing the traffic impacts of this project.

### 2.1 Project Description

The applicants, Dennis Gisi and Victory Lighthouse Church, propose to develop subdivisions and a church expansion along 10th Street located at Tax lot numbers 4N2801B001500, 4N2801B001501, and 4N2801B001503 in Hermiston, Oregon. The applicants propose constructing a total of 113 single family homes and a 10,000 square-foot (sf) expansion of additional church amenities/sanctuary developed in accordance with City of Hermiston zoning ordinances for low density residential development.

Tax lot number 4N2801B001501 is not currently owned by Dennis Gisi but is included as part of this traffic impact analysis (TIA) in case of future development of the lot. It is assumed that the lot will be identical to Tax Lot Number 4N2801B001500 as seen on the site plan. See Figure 1 for the vicinity map and Figure 2 for the site plans. The estimated completion date of the project is 2025.

### 2.2 Access and Circulation

The applicants propose four new accesses to the site through 10th Street, one new access to the site on Theater Lane, and there is an existing access to the Church. See Figure 2 for the site plan. The two most northern proposed accesses closely align with the new Loma Vista Elementary School accesses.

### 2.3 Trip Generation and Distribution

The following sections rely on data provided in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual* (see References). Detailed trip generation calculations are provided in Appendix B.

### 2.3.1 Proposed Trip Generation

The trips generated by the site are estimated by treating the development as 113 units of "Single-Family Attached Housing" ITE land use code 210 and 10,000 square-feet of "Church" ITE land use code 560. The trip generation results are summarized in Table 3, and the calculation details are attached. The site trips are presented for the average weekday and the PM peak hour between 4:00 pm and 6:00 pm.



Table 3. ITE Trip	Generation - 10t	h Street Subdivision
-------------------	------------------	----------------------

Land Use (ITE Code)	_	nily Detached ng (210)		nurch 560)				
Independent Variable	Dwelli	ng Units	1,000	sf GFA <sup>2</sup>	Combined			
Size		I13		10				
Time Period	ADT <sup>1</sup>	PM Peak Hour	ADT	PM Peak Hour	ADT	PM Peak Hour		
In	565	70	38	2	603	72		
Out	565	41	38	3	603	44		
Total Trips	1,130	111	76	5	1,206	116		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ADT = Average Daily Traffic

Findings: The Project is anticipated to generate 1,206 vehicle trips during a typical weekday and 116 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

### 2.3.2 Proposed Trip Distribution

The trip distribution is based on engineering judgement and feedback from ODOT and the City. Trip distribution and trip generation were used together to assign trips to access points and the studied intersections. The distribution of site-generated trips was estimated as follows:

- 60% to and from US-395, north of Punkin Center Road.
- 5% to and from Punkin Center Road, west of US-395.
- 15% to and from US-395, south of Theater Lane.
- 5% to and from Theater Lane, west of US-395.
- 10% to and from NE 10th street, south of the Theater Lane.
- 5% to and from Punkin Center Road, east of 10th Street.

Site-generated trip distribution and assignments are provided in Figure 7.

### 2.3.3 Future Volumes with Project

Figure 8 presents the 2025 With Project volumes, or the sum of Without Project volumes and the sitegenerated trips, for the weekday PM peak hours.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> sf GFA = Square-Foot Gross Floor Area

### 3 INTERSECTION OPERATIONS AND ROADWAY CAPACITY ANALYSES

### 3.1 Operations Description

Traffic operations are assessed in terms of LOS, a concept developed by transportation engineers to qualify the level of operation of intersections and roadways (*Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM), see References). LOS measures are classified in grades "A" through "F," indicating a range of operation, with LOS "A" signifying the best level of operation and LOS "F" representing the worst level.

LOS at unsignalized intersections is quantified in terms of average delay per vehicle. LOS "A" reflects full freedom of operation for a driver, while LOS "F" represents operational failure.

The volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio quantifies the portion of the theoretical capacity consumed by traffic demand volume. A v/c ratio of zero (0.00) reflects none of the capacity is consumed and all the capacity is fully available. A v/c ratio of one (1.00) reflects all the capacity consumed and represents operational failure. The v/c ratio can be calculated for an intersection approach lane or for a signalized intersection, with the latter calculation aggregating the v/c ratios of the critical movements.

### 3.2 Operation Standards

The City only uses LOS to evaluate intersection operations. The mobility standard for the studied intersections that fall under the City's operation standard is LOS "D" or better according to City of Hermiston Transportation System Plan (See Reference). This standard is applicable to the 10th Street/Theater Lane, 10th Street/Punkin Center Road, and access intersections.

ODOT has a mobility standard of a v/c ratio of 0.80 or less for Freight Routes on a Statewide Highway located inside an Urban Growth Boundary in a non-metropolitan planning organization (MPO) with a speed limit greater than or equal to 45 miles per hour (see Appendix C). The mobility standard for the intersecting local roads is a v/c ratio of 0.90 or less. These standards apply to the US-395 / Punkin Center Road and US-395 / Theater Lane intersections, with US-395 being the Freight Route standard and the other roads being the intersection local roads standard.

### 3.3 Analysis Methodology

The project's traffic impacts were estimated to determine the changes in traffic conditions. To make these determinations, the following were employed:

- The individual peak hour volumes were analyzed for 2024 and 2025.
- The peak hour factor (PHF) for the overall intersection, as calculated from the count data, was applied for the 2024 baseline analysis scenario and the future 2025 conditions.
- The counts on ODOT facilities were seasonally adjusted based on the ODOT 2022 On-Site Automatic Traffic Recorders Table and ODOT 2023 Seasonal Trend Table according to Analysis Procedures Manual, Version 2 (See Reference).
- A minimum heavy vehicle percentage (HV%) of 2% was assumed for each movement for all analysis scenarios. The HV% calculated from the count data was applied if it was greater than
- Baseline traffic volumes on the surrounding street system were determined prior to adding the traffic impacts of the proposed project. Baseline traffic volume estimates were prepared for 2025 Without Project conditions.



- As noted previously, trip generation estimates for the project were prepared for the weekday PM peak hour on the surrounding street system.
- Cumulative traffic impacts of the proposed Project were determined by adding the projectgenerated traffic to the background weekday PM peak traffic at all studied intersections. This is termed the 2025 With Project condition.
- The LOS for all signalized and stop-controlled intersections was calculated with Trafficware's Synchro software, Version 11, based on HCM 6th Edition (see References) methodologies.
- Queuing analysis was performed to evaluate queue storage adequacy at the studied intersections. The 95th percentile queues were estimated using simulation models in Trafficware's Synchro software, Version 11
- The intersection results report the critical approach LOS and delay for the City's intersections and reports the major and minor approach v/c ratios of ODOT highway intersections.

### 3.4 Level of Service Analyses

LOS calculation reports for the study area intersections are provided in Appendix D. The key analysis findings are listed in the following tables. LOS results that do not meet the City's standards are shown in bold text.

Lane group abbreviations for the following tables are defined and patterned as follows:

- WB = Westbound, EB = Eastbound, NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound
- WBT = Westbound Through
- WBR = Westbound Right
- WBL = Westbound Left
- WBTR = Westbound Through-Right
- WBLT = Westbound Left-Through
- WBLR = Westbound Left-Right
- WBLTR = Westbound Left-Through-Right

### 3.4.1 2024 Existing Conditions

Table 4 describes the LOS for each intersection within the study area for the 2024 baseline volumes during the PM peak hours.

**Table 4. Estimated 2024 LOS for Existing Conditions** 

		5.6 1 Hz.		PM Peak Hour					
Intersection	Intersection Control	Mobility Standard	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	v/c (lane)				
10th St / Theater Ln	TWSC	LOS D	В	10.3	0.012 (WB)				
10th St / Punkin Center Rd	TWSC	LOS D	В	11.1	0.082 (NB)				
US-395 / E Punkin Center Rd	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	В	14.1	0.54 (SBTR) <sup>1</sup> 0.50 (WBR) <sup>2</sup>				
US-395 / Theater Ln	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	В	19.0	0.70 (NBTR) <sup>1</sup> 0.71 (EBR) <sup>2</sup>				



		84 - L-1114 -	PM Peak Hour						
Intersection	rsection Intersection Mobility Control Standard		LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	v/c (lane)				
10th St / Church Access	TWSC	LOS D	Α	0	0				

sec/veh: seconds per vehicle; TWSC: two-way stop control

Findings: As shown in Table 4, all studied intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS in the 2024 Existing conditions during the Weekday PM peak hours.

### 3.4.2 2025 Future Conditions Without Project

Table 5 describes the LOS for each intersection within the study area for the 2025 volumes without the project trips during the PM peak hours.

**Table 5. Estimated 2025 LOS for Without Project Conditions** 

				PM Peak	Hour
Intersection	Intersection Control	Mobility Standard	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	v/c (lane)
10th St / Theater Ln	TWSC	LOS D	В	10.3	0.012 (WB)
10th St / Punkin Center Rd	TWSC	LOS D	В	11.2	0.085 (NB)
US-395 / E Punkin Center Rd	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	В	14.5	0.56 (SBTR) <sup>1</sup> 0.51 (WBR) <sup>2</sup>
US-395 / Theater Ln	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	С	20.4	0.72 (NBTR) <sup>1</sup> 0.71 (EBR) <sup>2</sup>
10th St / Church Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	0	0

sec/veh: seconds per vehicle; TWSC: two-way stop control

Findings: As shown in Table 5, all studied intersections will operate within acceptable LOS in 2025 Without Project conditions during the Weekday PM peak hour.

### 3.4.3 2025 Future Conditions with Project

Table 6 describes the LOS for each intersection within the study area for the 2025 volumes with the project trips during the PM peak hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For ODOT Highway Approaches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For Local Road Approaches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For ODOT Highway Approaches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For Local Road Approaches

**Table 6. Estimated 2025 LOS for With Project Conditions** 

		B. A. L. 1114	PM Peak Hour					
Intersection	Intersection Control	Mobility Standard	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	v/c (lane)			
10th St / Theater Ln	TWSC	LOS D	В	10.7	0.028 (WB)			
10th St / Punkin Center Rd	TWSC	LOS D	В	12.0	0.142 (NB)			
US-395 / E Punkin Center Rd	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	В	15.5	0.62 (SBL) <sup>1</sup> 0.56 (WBR) <sup>2</sup>			
US-395 / Theater Ln	Signalized	$v/c \le 0.80^1$ $v/c \le 0.90^2$	С	21.3	0.73 (NBR) <sup>1</sup> 0.72 (EBR) <sup>2</sup>			
10th St / Church Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	9.3	0.004 (WB)			
10th St / First Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	8.7	0.01 (WB)			
Theater Ln / Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	8.4	0.009 (SB)			
10th St / Second Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	8.7	0.01 (WB)			
10th St / Third Access	TWSC	LOS D	A	9.1	0.011 (WB)			
10th St / Fourth Access	TWSC	LOS D	А	9.1	0.011 (WB)			

sec/veh: seconds per vehicle; TWSC: two-way stop control

Findings: As shown in Table 6, all studied intersections will operate within acceptable LOS in 2025 With Project conditions during the Weekday PM peak hour.

### 3.5 Queuing Analysis

Queuing analysis was performed to evaluate queue storage adequacy at the studied intersections. To make these determinations, the following approaches were employed:

- The 95th percentile queues were estimated using the Trafficware's Synchro software (Version 11).
- Queue demand was rounded up to the nearest 25 feet, the average length of a queued vehicle.
- Available storage was measured from aerial photography and was rounded to the nearest 5 feet.
- Queues are reported for all controlled approach lanes. Uncontrolled lanes do not experience queuing and are not reported.

Table 7 summarize queuing analysis results for the PM peak hours. Queues that exceed the available storage are shown in bold text. Data output sheets from all queuing calculations are included in Appendix E.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For ODOT Highway Approaches

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For Local Road Approaches

**Table 7. PM Peak Hour Intersection Queueing Analysis** 

	Арр	roach	Available Storage	95th Percentile	Queue (Feet)
Intersection		nd ement	(Feet)	2025 Without Project	2025 With Project
	EB	LTR	1000+	75	75
	WB	LTR	1000+	50	50
1. 10th St / Theater Ln	NB	LTR	1000+	25	25
	SB	LTR	1000+		:+:
	EB	TR	425	+	25
2. 10th St / Punkin Center	WB	LT	825	25	25
Rd	NB	LR	1000+	50	75
		L	175	75	100
	EB	TR	750	100	125
	,,,,,	L	175	75	75
	WB	TR	345	100	125
3. US-395 / Punkin Center		L	230	75	75
Rd	NB	Т	1000+	175	175
		TR	750	175	175
		L	230	150	150
	SB	Т	1000+	125	150
		TR	890	150	175
	- FD	L	140	100	100
	EB	TR	1,000+	150	150
	14/5	L	140	100	100
	WB	TR	400	125	125
		L	230	150	150
4. US-395 / Theater Ln Road	NB	Т	1000+	250	250
		TR	325	250	250
		L	220	125	125
	SB	Т	1000+	250	275
		TR	340	225	250

Findings: As shown in Tables 7, all 95th percentile queue lengths are at or below the existing storage lengths for each lane movement. No queuing concerns were identified at the studied intersections.



### 4 SAFETY ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Collision Analysis

Collision data from the study area were obtained from WSDOT for the five-year period spanning from January 2018 through December 2022. This analysis assumes a collision rate less than the 1 per Million Entering Vehicle (MEV) is typically considered to be within acceptable parameters. A collision rate above 1 per MEV is formatted in bold font and is worthy of further examination. The detailed collision data can be found in Appendix F. Table 8 presents the results of the collision analysis.

Table 8. Collision Analysis for Study Area Intersections (January 2018 through December 2022)

				Collisio	n Type				ŧ
	Intersection	Angle	Left-Turn	Rear-End	Sideswipe	Object	Other	Total Collisions	Collison Rate
1.	10th St / Theater Ln				35	9.0	2	0	0
2.	10th St / Punkin Center Rd	149	۵	\@:	1.0		-	0	0
3.	US-395 / Punkin Center Rd	6	3	5	UE IS	-	=	14	0.35
4.	US-395 / Theater Ln Road	4	4	1	214	-	3	12	0.31

To calculate the collision rate, the PM peak hour total entering volumes from the existing TMCs were multiplied by 10 to provide an approximation of the average daily traffic (ADT). Detailed calculations of collision rates are provided in Appendix F.

As shown in table 8, the collision rate is less than 1 per MEV at all study intersections.

Findings: The 2018 through 2022 collision history at the study intersections was reviewed. All studied intersections have a collision rate below 1 per MEV.

### 4.2 Transit, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Facilities

Sidewalks currently exist partially along Theater Lane, west of 10th Street, and no sidewalks are available east of 10th Street. Sidewalks are also currently available along the west side of 10th Street, along the Loma Vista Elementary School frontage. The proposed development will construct sidewalks along the frontages of 10th Street and Theater Lane.

Bicycle lanes are not available along the studied roadways. According to the City's TSP, 10th Street, Punkin Center Road, and Theater Lane are identified to have bike lanes in future. Punkin Center Road is identified to have a shoulder bikeway while 10th street and Theater Lane are identified to have on-street bike lane.

There is no transit service nearby.



To assure accessibility compliance, all driveways, sidewalks, crosswalks, and curb ramps constructed with 10th Street subdivision should be designed and constructed according to the current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) guidelines.

Findings: Pedestrian transportation options are currently not available. However, it might become available with the proposed development, for future residents. Bicycle and transit facilities are not presently available; however, they may be provided in the future as the area builds out.

### 4.3 Intersection Sight Distance

The sight distance at the proposed site accesses along 10th Street and Theater Lane were checked using aerial imagery to verify it can meet intersection sight distance (ISD) requirements and that no objects are within the ISD triangles that would block approaching drivers' views of approaching traffic. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) tables 9-6 and 9-8, Design Intersection Sight Distance Left/Right Turn from Stop (see references), were used in determining required ISD.

Findings: Access intersections on 10<sup>th</sup> Street should have at least 430 feet of sight distance looking to the north for a right turn and 500 feet of sight distance looking to the south for a left turn based on the 45-mph posted speed on 10th Street. The relatively flat terrain and clear view past 500 feet in both directions suggests all proposed access intersections have adequate sight distance.

Access intersections on Theater Lane should have at least 240 feet of sight distance looking to the north for a right turn and 280 feet of sight distance looking to the south for a left turn based on the 25-mph posted speed on Theater Lane. The relatively flat terrain and clear view past 280 feet in both directions suggests all proposed access intersections have adequate sight distance.

### 4.4 On-Site Parking

According to the Code of Hermiston (See References), Chapter 157.175, the Victory Lighthouse Church is required to have one space per four seats or eight feet of bench length in the main auditorium. The development is required to provide adequate additional parking spaces as needed by the final outlay of the church expansion.

Additionally, the proposed site plan should meet the minimum requirement for accessible parking spaces per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) parking requirements from ORS 447.233 based on the number of proposed parking spaces.

Recommendations: The Project should meet the minimum parking requirements as well as the requirements for accessible parking spaces for the proposed church expansion.



### **5 STUDY FINDINGS**

The findings of this TIA are listed below.

### 5.1 Trip Generation

The Project is anticipated to generate 1,206 vehicle trips during a typical weekday and 116 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour.

### 5.2 Level of Service

As shown in Table 4, all studied intersections currently operate at an acceptable LOS in the 2024 Existing conditions during the Weekday PM peak hours.

As shown in Table 5, all studied intersections will operate within acceptable LOS in 2025 Without Project conditions during the Weekday PM peak hour.

As shown in Table 6, all studied intersections will operate within acceptable LOS in 2025 With Project conditions during the Weekday PM peak hour.

### 5.3 Queuing Analysis

No significant queue concerns were identified at the studied intersections due to this project.

### 5.4 Collision Analysis

The 2018 through 2022 collision history at the study intersections was reviewed. All studied intersections have a collision rate below 1 per MEV.

### 5.5 Transit, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Facilities

Pedestrian transportation options are currently not available. However, it might become available with the proposed development, for future residents. Bicycle and transit facilities are not presently available; however, they may be provided in the future as the area builds out.

### 5.6 Intersection Sight Distance

Access intersections on 10<sup>th</sup> Street should have at least 430 feet of sight distance looking to the north for a right turn and 500 feet of sight distance looking to the south for a left turn based on the 45-mph posted speed on 10th Street. The relatively flat terrain and clear view past 500 feet in both directions suggests all proposed access intersections have adequate sight distance.

Access intersections on Theater Lane should have at least 240 feet of sight distance looking to the north for a right turn and 280 feet of sight distance looking to the south for a left turn based on the 25-mph posted speed on Theater Lane. The relatively flat terrain and clear view past 280 feet in both directions suggests all proposed access intersections have adequate sight distance.



### **6 RECOMMENDATIONS**

The recommendations of this TIA are listed below.

### 6.1 On-Site Parking

The Project should meet the minimum parking requirements as well as the requirements for accessible parking spaces for the proposed church expansion.



### 7 REFERENCES

AASHTO (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials). (2018). A Policy on the Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 7th Edition.

City of Hermiston, Oregon. (Updated 2023, March 6). Code of Hermiston.

City of Hermiston, Oregon. (January 2023). *Public Works Standards, Technical Specifications, and Standard Drawings*.

City of Hermiston, Oregon. (May 1997). City of Hermiston Transportation System Plan. https://hermiston.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=14224b2c622c452ba28e985646812b13

ITE (Institute of Transportation Engineers). (2021). Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.

ODOT (Oregon Department of Transportation). (2023, April). Analysis Procedures Manual, Version 2.

Transportation Research Board, National Research Council. (2016). Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition.



## **Figures**

Figure 1. Vicinity Map
Figure 2. Site Plan
Figure 3. Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control
Figure 4. 2024 Existing Volumes
Figure 5. In-Process Project Trips
Figure 6. 2025 Without Project Volumes
Figure 7. Trip Distribution and Assignment
Figure 8. 2025 With Project Volumes

January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

33

31

R

2

A S

278

na

Nº

578

12 m

10

2000

2000

10th STREET

MAN S NAMES

200

51 M

11 1000

170

P 200

100

-

30.00

200

Traffic Impact Analysis The City of Hermiston 24

200

ann a

Rich

200

Ros

Rug

\*

R

DENNIS GISI PROPOSED AND FUTURE SITES

₹ 107

to1

107

FIGURE 2

# VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH SITE

THEATER LANE

DENETO LWENT THURCH

10th STREET

FUTURE


Site Plans 10th Street Subdivision

M PBS

Traffic Impact Analysis The City of Hermiston

**Existing Lane Configuration and Traffic Control** 10th Street Subdivision January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

N PBS



MPBS

10th Street Subdivision

January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003


In-Process Project Trips 10th Street Subdivision January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

N PBS

January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

2025 Without Project Volumes


10th Street Subdivision



January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

10th Street Subdivision

N PBS



January 2024 PBS Project 66132.003

10th Street Subdivision

# Appendix A Traffic Counts





Location: 1 10TH ST & THEATER LN PM

Date: Tuesday, January 9, 2024 Peak Hour: 04:40 PM - 05:40 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 05:05 PM - 05:20 PM

### Peak Hour





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	HV%	PHF
EB	3.3%	0.76
WB	0.0%	0.50
NB	3.4%	0.66
SB	0.0%	0.87
All	2.3%	0.82

Interval			TER LN cound			West				North	H ST ibound		_		bound			Rolli
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Ноц
4:00 PM	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	8	1	20	19
4:05 PM	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	0	0	1	3	1	18	19
4:10 PM	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	9	1	21	1!
4:15 PM	0	2	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	14	1
4:20 PM	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	14	2
4:25 PM	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	12	2
4:30 PM	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	5	0	17	2
4:35 PM	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	0	15	2
4:40 PM	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	1	15	2
4:45 PM	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	4	0	23	2
4:50 PM	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	4	0	12	
4:55 PM	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	- 1	0	0	6	0	16	2
5:00 PM	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	- 1	0	0	5	2	15	2
5:05 PM	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	5	12	0	0	0	3	1	25	
5:10 PM	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	3	0	18	
5:15 PM	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	9	0	23	
5:20 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	7	0	16	
5:25 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.	4	0	0	0	2	0	9	
5:30 PM	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	4	4	22	
5:35 PM	0	2	- 1	5	0	0	1	1	0	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	22	
5:40 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	3	0	11	
5:45 PM	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	6	0	19	
5:50 PM	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	7	1	20	
5:55 PM	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	0	11	
Count Total	0	15	16	72	0	4	15	1	0	56	95	8	0	2	111	13	408	_
Peak Hour	0	6	9	46	0	1	5	1	0	32	52	4	0	0	51	9	216	3

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

Interval		Hea	avy Vehicle	es		Interval		Bicycle	es on Road	dway		Interval	Ped	destrians/l	Bicycles or	Crosswa	dk
Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total
4:00 PM	0	3	0	0	3	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	0	0	0	1	1	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	1	0	0	0	1	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	0	2	0	0	2	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	1	0	0	1	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	0.	0	0	0	0	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	.0	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	.0	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	1	0	0	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	1	1	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	0	0	2	2	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
Count Total	3	6	0	4	13	Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	Count Total	0	1	0	0	1
Peak Hour	2	3	0	0	5	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0





Location: 2 10TH ST & PUNKIN CENTER RD PM


**Date:** Tuesday, January 9, 2024 **Peak Hour:** 04:20 PM - 05:20 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:20 PM - 04:35 PM

### Peak Hour







Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	HV%	PHF
EB	4.4%	0.74
WB	1.1%	0.81
NB	7.1%	0.65
SB	0.0%	0.00
All	3.8%	0.80

Interval	Pl		ENTER E	RD	PI	UNKIN C Westl		RD		10Th North	l ST bound			10Th South	H ST bound			Rolli
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Ноц
4:00 PM	0	0	6	4	0	1	11	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	28	34
4:05 PM	0	0	8	2	0	1	8	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	24	34
4:10 PM	0	0	16	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	28	3
4:15 PM	0	0	13	3	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	24	3
4:20 PM	.0	.0	20	4	.0	0	6	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	35	3
4:25 PM	0	0	30	8	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	1	. 0	0	0	0	51	3
4:30 PM	0	0	9	5	. 0	0	10	0	0	.4	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	28	3
4:35 PM	0	0	12	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	3
4:40 PM	0	0	18	3	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	3
4:45 PM	0	0	14	6	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	3
4:50 PM	0	0	12	5	0	0	9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	3
4:55 PM	0	0	6	7	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2
5:00 PM	0	0	11	4	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	3
5:05 PM	0	0	16	4	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	
5:10 PM	0	0	12	6	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	31	
5:15 PM	0	0	12	3	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	27	
5:20 PM	0	0	9	5	0	1	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	
5:25 PM	0	0	9	2	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	25	
5:30 PM	0	0	11	6	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	
5:35 PM	0	0	10	1	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	20	
5:40 PM	0	0	9	1	0	1	7	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	
5:45 PM	0	0	14	3	0	2	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	
5:50 PM	0	0	8	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
5:55 PM	0	0	6	2	0	0	9	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	22	
Count Total	0	0	291	90	0	12	176	0	0	63	0	16	0	0	0	0	648	
Peak Hour	0	0	172	56	0	1	93	0	0	34	0	8	0	0	0	0	364	Į.

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

Interval		He	avy Vehicl	es		Interval		Bicycle	es on Road	dway		Interval	Pe	destrians/	Bicycles or	Crosswa	alk
Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total
4:00 PM	0	2	3	0	5	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:05 PM	1	0	0	0	1	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:05 PM	0	1	0	0	1
4:10 PM	3	0	0	0	3	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	1	0	0	0	1	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	2	0	0	0	2	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	2	0	0	0	2	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	- 1	0	0	1	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	0	0	1	0	1	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:50 PM	0	2	0	0	2	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:05 PM	3	0	0	0	3	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	3	0	0	0	3	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	0	1	0	1	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:55 PM	1	0	0	0	1	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
Count Total	19	5	5	0	29	Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	Count Total	0	1	0	0	1
Peak Hour	10	3	1	0	14	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0




Location: 3 US395 & PUNKIN CENTER RD PM


Date: Tuesday, January 9, 2024

Peak Hour: 04:15 PM - 05:15 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM

### Peak Hour





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	HV%	PHF
EB	1.8%	0.80
WB	4.8%	0.84
NB	3.1%	0.92
SB	3.7%	0.89
All	3.4%	0.91

Interval	P		ENTER I	RD	P		ENTER I	RD			395 bound				395 ibound			Rolling
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour
4:00 PM	0	2	3	5	0	3	4	8	0	6	50	7	0	6	62	4	160	1,876
4:05 PM	0	3	0	6	0	5	3	5	0	2	50	4	0	13	49	7	147	1,882
4:10 PM	0	4	4	2	0	1	1	11	0	6	47	11	0	15	55	0	157	1,895
4:15 PM	0	4	9	7	0	3	5	7	0	4	57	4	0	12	49	2	163	1,903
4:20 PM	0	2	3	6	0	5	5	6	0	5	51	9	0	14	61	4	171	1,895
4:25 PM	0	9	7	6	0	2	4	7	0	10	52	6	0	9	44	1	157	1,837
4:30 PM	0	3	1	5	.0	3	1	10		4	54	6	0	13	64	6	170	1,793
4:35 PM	0	8	6	6	0	4	4	8	0	6	56	3	0	16	53	4	174	1,750
4:40 PM	0	5	2	4	(0)	5	- 1	10	0	3	58	7	0	19	60	4	178	1,683
4:45 PM	0	3	6	3	0	1	6	5	0	7	42	7	0	14	55	2	151	1,625
4:50 PM	0	6	3	5	0	3	6	8	0	3	30	6	0	9	32	2	113	1,580
4:55 PM	0	5	3	3	0	_ 1	3	4	0	4	38	3	0	17	52	2	135	1,571
5:00 PM	0	4	5	4	0	0	1	4	0	2	56	12	0	13	62	3	166	1,542
5:05 PM	0	1	5	6	0	10	2	7	0	3	33	5	0	17	62	9	160	
5:10 PM	0	8	3	4	0	7	4	6	0	2	51	3	0	14	58	5	165	
5:15 PM	0	2	1	3	0	5	3	7	0	4	53	11	0	15	46	5	155	
5:20 PM	0	3	2	3	0	3	2	9	0	5	32	3	0	10	37	4	113	
5:25 PM	0	3	3	1	0	5	3	11	0	2	32	7	0	9	35	2	113	
5:30 PM	0	4	5	2	0	4	2	6	0	5	31	2	0	10	51	5	127	
5:35 PM	0	7	1	5	0	2	3	5	0	1	35	5	0	13	29	1	107	
5:40 PM	0	4	5	2	0	0	2	7	0	1	44	7	0	8	38	2	120	
5:45 PM	0	1	4	5	0	5	1	5	1	3	30	5	0	14	31	1	106	
5:50 PM	0	2	3	5	0	4	4	7	0	3	29	3	0	6	34	4	104	
5:55 PM	0	3	4	3	0	4	1	4	0	2	41	2	0	6	35	1	106	
Count Total	0	96	88	101	0	85	71	167	1	93	1,052	138	0	292	1,154	80	3,418	-
Peak Hour	0	58	53	59	0	44	42	82	0	53	578	71	0	167	652	44	1,903	_

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

Interval		Hea	avy Vehicle	es		Interval		Bicycle	s on Road	lway		Interval	Per	destrians/6	Bicycles on	Crosswa	ılk
Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total
4:00 PM	0	3	1	3	7	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	(
4:05 PM	1	1	3	0	5	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	(
4:10 PM	1	2	0	2	5	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	C
4:15 PM	0	4	0	4	8	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	4	1	2	7	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:20 PM	1	1	0	0	2
4:25 PM	1	0	0	4	5	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0.	2	0	4	6	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:30 PM	0	0	1	0	1
4:35 PM	0	2	1	3	6	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:35 PM	0	0	. 0	0	0
4:40 PM	0	0	1	3	- 4	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	2	2	0	4	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	(
4:50 PM	0	2	2	0	4	4:50 PM	- 0	0	0	0	0	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	(
4:55 PM	0	1	1	5	7	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	(
5:00 PM	1	4	0	1	6	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:05 PM	1	1	0	3	5	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:10 PM	0	0	0	3	3	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	(
5:15 PM	0	2	0	1	3	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	(
5:20 PM	0	3	1	2	6	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	(
5:25 PM	0	0	0	3	3	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	(
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:35 PM	0	1	1	2	4	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:40 PM	0	1	0	3	4	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:45 PM	0	4	0	1	5	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	
5:50 PM	1	1	0	1	3	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	1	0	2	3	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	
Count Total	6	41	14	52	113	Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	Count Total	1	1	1	0	
Peak Hour	3	22	8	32	65	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	Peak Hour	1	1	1	0	




Location: 4 US395 & THEATER LN PM

Date: Tuesday, January 9, 2024

Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:10 PM - 04:25 PM

### Peak Hour



Pedestrians

Pedestrians

Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

	HV%	PHF
EB	1.1%	0.80
WB	3.4%	0.69
NB	3.0%	0.89
SB	3.0%	88.0
All	2.8%	0.93

Interval			TER LN bound			Westl					bound				bound	B) IV		Rolling Hour
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Tum	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	
4:00 PM	0	3	2	4	0	1	2	4	0	5	62	3	0	8	56	2	152	1,864
4:05 PM	0	4	4	5	0	4	1	4	0	9	55	3	0	3	57	4	153	1,853
4:10 PM	0	4	6	7	0	5	3	6	0	10	54	7	0	5	50	0	157	1,857
4:15 PM	0	1	9	3	0	9	8	7	0	13	51	4	0	3	60	4	172	1,850
4:20 PM	0	4	8	7	0	5	7	3	0	12	58	- 7	0	6	55	2	174	1,83
4:25 PM	0	8	3	14	0	3	6	5	0	7	50	5	0	2	48	4	155	1,78
4:30 PM	0	6	3	6	0	2	5	1	0	8	63	5	0	4	61	5	169	1,76
4:35 PM	0	4	3	9	0	- 1	7	4	0	10	60	4	0	4	62	0	168	1,68
4:40 PM	0	1	6	8	0	3	3	2	0	8	57	7	0	7	59	2	163	1,64
4:45 PM	0	4	7	7	0	5	5	5	0	5	43	5	0	4	59	2	151	1,58
4:50 PM	0	3	3	5	0	6	1	4	0	5	38	6	0	4	38	2	115	1,54
4:55 PM	0	1	6	9	0	3	3	3	0	4	48	3	0	5	48	2	135	1,54
5:00 PM	0	3	2	5	0	2	1	1	0	2	53	2	0	7	60	3	141	1,51
5:05 PM	0	3	4	5	0	2	3	3	0	9	46	5	0	3	67	7	157	
5:10 PM	0	3	1	9	0	6	3	3	0	7	45	2	0	6	64	1	150	
5:15 PM	0	2	5	5	0	6	6	1	0	5	60	5	0	7	49	2	153	
5:20 PM	0	1	1	5	0	7	6	1	0	9	37	3	0	2	54	4	130	
5:25 PM	0	3	9	2	0	8	6	3	0	5	43	5	0	2	43	1	130	
5:30 PM	0	0	2	4	0	3	2	1	0	2	25	6	0	7	39	2	93	
5:35 PM	0	1	4	3	0	1	4	5	0	10	51	7	0	0	38	2	126	
5:40 PM	0	3	5	4	0	3	3	4	0	4	32	3	0	3	35	2	101	
5:45 PM	0	2	3	9	0	8	3	1	0	3	41	1	0	4	35	3	113	
5:50 PM	0	1	5	4	0	6	4	2	0	5	35	2	0	4	46	0	114	
5:55 PM	0	0	1	5	0	8	1	1	0	3	37	3	0	0	45	2	106	
Count Total	0	65	102	144	0	107	93	74	0	160	1,144	103	0	100	1,228	58	3,378	
Peak Hour	0	43	60	84	0	47	51	48	0	96	639	59	0	55	653	29	1,864	

Traffic Counts - Heavy Vehicles, Bicycles on Road, and Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk

Interval		Hea	avy Vehicle	es		Interval		Bicycle	es on Roa	dway		Interval	Ped	destrians/l	Bicycles on	Crosswa	lk
Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total	Start Time	EB	NB	WB	SB	Total
4:00 PM	0	4	1	3	8	4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:00 PM	0	2	0	0	2
4:05 PM	0	2	0	. 1	3	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:10 PM	0	2	2	41	5	4:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:10 PM	0	0	. 0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	2	0	3	5	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:20 PM	0	3	1	4	5	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:25 PM	1	2	1	2	6	4:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:25 PM	0	1	2	0	3
4:30 PM	0	1	0	6	7	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:35 PM	1	1	0	2	4	4:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:35 PM	0	1	0	0	1
4:40 PM	0	1	0	1	2	4:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:40 PM	0	0	1	0	1
4:45 PM	0	3	0	0	3	4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:45 PM	0	0	0	1	1
4:50 PM	0	2	0	0	2	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0
4:55 PM	0	1	0	2	3	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	4:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	3	0	2	5	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:00 PM	1	0	2	0	3
5:05 PM	0	1	0	0	1	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:05 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:10 PM	0	0	0	4	4	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:10 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	1	0	0	1	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:20 PM	0	3	1	2	6	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:20 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:25 PM	1	0	0	3	4	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:25 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:35 PM	0	2	0	2	4	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:35 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:40 PM	1	0	0	1	2	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:40 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	3	0	0	3	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0
5:50 PM	1	1	0	1	3	5:50 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:50 PM	0	1	0	0	1
5:55 PM	0	1	0	1	2	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5:55 PM	0	0	0	0	0
Count Total	5	39	6	38	88	Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	Count Total	1	5	5	1	12
Peak Hour	2	24	5	22	53	Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	Peak Hour	0	4	3	1	8

Site Code: 5 US395 N.O Punkin Center Rd

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

	Total	39	29	28	44	75	113	209	308	440	462	466	545	602	489	536	920	704	644	423	281	186	175	134	09	7562		11:00	545	16:00	704	7562	
>6 Axl	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	0.0%	11:00	_			~	
6 Axle	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	%0.0	11:00	-	15:00	_	2	
<6 Axl	Multi	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_	_	7	0	0	~	7	0	0	0	<b>~</b>	0	0	0	10	0.1%	05:00	-	12:00	7	10	
>6 Axl	Double	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	-	0	Υ-	0	0	τ-	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0.1%	08:00		14:00	<del>-</del>	·Ω	
5 Axle	Double	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	က	2	<del>-</del>	0	_	0	4	ო	_	-	_	0	0	0	0	0	21	0.3%	08:00	က	14:00	4	21	
<5 Axl	Double	-	2	0	0	0	0	4	13	10	20	7	21	19	6	∞	18	17	13	10	က	ო	က	_	2	198	2.6%	10:00	21	12:00	19	198	
4 Axle	Single	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	•	0	7	0	_	က	2	က	_	7	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0.2%	00:60	2	12:00	က	17	
3 Axle	Single	0	0	0	_	0	2	_	_	4	9	က	က	4	ß	9	က	9	9	က	0	_	0	0	0	29	0.8%	00:60	ဖ	14:00	10	29	
2 Axle	6 Tire	က	_	_	4	<b>о</b>	12	56	39	29	65	69	66	96	65	79	75	06	22	35	16	15	12	15	က	945	12.5%	11:00	66	12:00	96	945	
	Buses	0	0	0	_	_	2	က	_	9	9	7	7	4	က	<b>~</b>	7	2	က	0	_	0	_	_	0	20	0.7%	10:00	7	16:00	သ	20	
2 Axle	Long	တ	2	S	9	10	22	44	45	75	101	66	119	117	92	86	111	123	117	84	54	25	23	18	12	1417	18.7%	11:00	119	16:00	123	1417	
Cars &	Trailers	26	24	21	32	51	72	130	202	276	255	259	291	348	299	317	340	448	441	290	205	141	136	86	43	4745	62.7%	11:00	291	16:00	448	4745	
	Bikes	0	0	0	0	_	0	~	4	9	က	9	5	œ	1	15	4	10	5	0	2	0	0	_	0	92	1.2%	08:00	9	14:00	15	92	
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	02:00	00:90	07:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Day Total	Percent	AM Peak	.loV	PM Peak	Vol	Grand	otal

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

	i Total	36	32	) 42	51	122	301	) 464	522	1 447			1 506		0 444	0 491	0 <b>298</b>	0 545	0 538	0 395	0 263	0 215	0 156	_	0 71	2 7724	,0	0	1 522	15:00	298	2 7724	
>6 Axl	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	_	O	0	U	U	J	0	0	_	_	_				0.0%	08:00	`			.,	
6 Axle	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	0.0%	00:60	<b>.</b> -			~	
<6 Axl	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	<b>~</b>	0	<del>-</del>	7	0	_	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	0	-	13	0.2%	07:00	2	12:00	7	13	
>6 Axl	Double	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	က	_	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	7	0.1%			14:00	ည	7	
5 Axle	Double	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	က	0	0	က	_	0	<b>~</b>	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.2%	08:00	က	15:00	7	12	
<5 Axl	Double	-	_	ო	2	9	ဖ	12	19	18	25	16	24	30	16	16	21	19	18	7	5	4	7	_	2	274	3.5%	00:60	25	12:00	30	274	
4 Axle	Single	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	%0.0	08:00	-	14:00	-	2	
3 Axle	Single	0	_	0	0	_	4	2	က	9	7	4	2	က	က	4	4	7	ဗ	~	7	_	0	0	0	09	0.8%	08:00	9	14:00	4	09	
2 Axle	6 Tire	80	2	7	13	15	63	86	66	78	75	81	66	104	88	84	82	70	55	52	32	27	13	9	ß	1251	16.2%	02:00	66	12:00	104	1251	
	Buses	-	0	က	_	4	τ-	თ	5	က	7	4	2	œ	9	14	ဖ	9	4	_	7	0	0	7	0	84	1.1%	00:90	တ	14:00	4	84	
2 Axle	Long	5	က	7	6	21	62	92	108	8	83	93	8	94	77	74	93	103	88	62	20	45	30	18	13	1411	18.3%	02:00	108	16:00	103	1411	
Cars &	Trailers	21	24	22	26	74	163	247	285	248	183	230	275	314	247	283	376	335	364	271	169	138	110	78	20	4533	58.7%	00:20	285	15:00	376	4533	
	Bikes	0	_	0	0	_	2	_	_	2	က	4	9	4	7	7	12	∞	4	_	_	0	_	0	0	70	%6.0	11:00	9	15:00	12	70	
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	02:00	00:90	02:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Day Total	Percent	AM Peak	.lo/	PM Peak	Vol.	Grand	

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

Г	n Pa				36-45 27						31-40 233		36-45 214							31-40 347	36-45 224	36-45 160	36-45 125	36-45 120		36-45 41															
ľ				28							0440												186			90	7562		11:00	545	16:00	704	7562								
920	666	0	0	0	0	0	C	o c	> ₹	- c	<b>o</b> c	۰ د	<del>-</del> (	0	7	0	_	0	<b>-</b>	τ-	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.1%	02:00	-	12:00	2	7	0.1%							
۲ ¦	75	0	0	0	0	0	o	o c	o c	<b>&gt;</b> c	<b>&gt;</b>	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٠	%0.0			15:00		Ψ-	%0:0							
မှ မ	20	0	0	0	0	0	C	o c	o c	o c	<b>-</b>	<b>&gt;</b> (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0					0	%0.0							
61	65	0	0	0	0	0	C	0 0	<b>o</b> c	> 0	<b>&gt;</b> c	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0					0	%0.0							
20	09	_	0	0	0	0	C	•	<b>4</b> C	> 0	<b>&gt;</b> c	۰ د	<del>.</del>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	_	0	0	8	0.1%	00:90	4	18:00	-	ω	0.1%							
51	22	<b>~</b>	_	_	0	7	4	יי	റെ	n ı	ຄຜ	ا ٥	7	ည	9	τ-	4	_	_	2	9	9	ო	_	7	က	81	1.1%	10:00	7	22:00	7	81	1.1%							
46	20	-	က	4	7	00	22	1 5	2 6	7 6	4 4	L# (	38	ဓ	21	13	27	56	20	31	40	26	32	23	32	12	554	7.3%	00:60	41	19:00	26	554	7.3%							
4 ;	45	12	13	7	15	0	60	9 4	S 2	6 1	4 6	8	96	82	81	78	73	73	83	78	66	91	89	69	58	25	1415	18.7%	00:60	97	18:00	66	1415	18.7%							
99 9	40	4	∞	7	12	20	18	2 4	8 8	0 8	9 4	911	118	153	140	96	122	135	163	188	125	69	22	51	26	16	1891	25.0%	11:00	153	17:00	188	1891	25.0%							
ક ર	32	7	7	9	7	16	24	1 6	2 K	2 ;	<u>4</u> 8	66	93	123	145	115	116	143	151	159	84	31	18	19	6	~	1609	21.3%	08:00	134	17:00	159	1609	21.3%	25 MPH	35 MPH	47 MPH	31-40 MPH	3500 46.3%	651	34 MPH
58	30	-	_	_	τ-	12	<u> </u>	5 5	<u> </u>	7 :	4 4	9 <del>4</del>	45	29	93	7.1	88	86	114	89	43	4	9	5	0	•	889	11.8%	11:00	29	16:00	114	889	11.8%	tile:	tile :			 30 e 30 e	H E	Lu .
21	25	0	0	7	0	2	ı C	o c	n 0	0 8	2 5	18	21	27	34	30	28	4	63	37	ြ	~	0	0	0	0	343	4.5%	11:00	27	16:00	63	343	4.5%	15th Percentile	50th Percentile	95th Percentile	10 MPH Pace Speed	Percent in Pace:	sles > 45 M	or venicies > 45 MPH : Mean Speed(Average) :
16	20	0	~	0	2	-		- c	'nα	ဂ (	ာ ဋ	12	<b>o</b>	80	13	21	7	2	24	6	2	۲-	0	-	0	•	132	1.7%	00:60	12	16:00	24	132	1.7%	` '	4,		10 MP	2 0	Number of Vehicles > 45 MPH	Percent of Venicles < 45 MPH : Mean Speed(Average) :
-	15	7	0	0	0	, rc	) er	, ,	<del>,</del> 5	67.	& S	7.7	37	20	29	64	20	63	84	20	4	12	2	5	2	-	632	8.4%	11:00	20	16:00	84	632	8.4%						Num	Leic
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	05:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	00:00	06:00	07:00	08:00	08:00	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol.	PM Peak	Vol.	Total	Percent				Stats			

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

Number	In Pace	21	13	26	23	28	150	2 5	2/4	272	234	202	199	274	302	221	261	348	282	323	222	146	136	92	28	46									
Pace	Speed	41-50	36-45	41-50	41-50	36-45	40-49	100	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	36-45	41-50	41-50	41-50	41-50	41-50									
i F	lotal	36	32	42	51	122	301	5	464	522	447	386	432	206	562	444	491	298	545	538	395	263	215	156	105	71	7724		07:00	522	15:00	7774			
920	666	0	0	0	0	0	o c	0	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	%0.0			19:00	- -	%00		
71	75	0	0	0	0	c	· c	> 0	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0					%00		
9 6	02	0	0	0	0	· C	o c	<b>&gt;</b> (	o ¢	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	%0.0			12:00	-	700		
61	65	0	0	τ-	0	c	o c	> (	71	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	က	_	0	<del>-</del>	0	7	0.1%	00:90	2	19:00	2 <del>د</del>	746	₹ - -	
20	09	0	-	0	8	۱۵	10	VI (	7	ო	4	က	-	2	τ-	က	-	-	-	0	_	9	9	2	ၑ		51	%2.0	08:00	4	19:00	o v	7%	2	
57	22	က	S.	က	0	4	- α	0 70	33	36	7	19	12	21	80	7	12	7	5	4	56	31	9	23	13	7	372	4.8%	02:00	36	19:00	372	700 7	₹ 5	
46	20	9	9	17	13	2.0	22	C ;	20	93	46	55	58	67	20	48	28	38	51	71	98	69	69	20	78	28	1157	15.0%	00:20	63	18:00	1457	700	9	
<b>4</b> ,	42	=	ဖ	0	10	33	3 4	٠ ز ر	158	146	107	94	62	130	132	88	105	132	120	123	125	77	29	42	30	18	1917	24.8%	00:90	158	15:00	1017	700 00	0,0 0,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0	
္တ (	40	ဖ	7	6	6	25	3 6	2 :	116	126	127	108	120	144	170	133	156	216	162	200	97	36	33	23	18	7	2121	27.5%	11:00	144	15:00	2124	27 50/	8.C.	
33	32	က	က	0	c	<u>ر</u> م	2 0	97	37	22	99	43	99	58	80	48	92	87	90	51	23	20	8	8	4	S	877	11.4%	08:00	99	16:00	90	14 40/	28 MPH 39 MPH 46 MPH 50 MPH	36-45 MPH 4038 52.3% 1593 20.6%
<b>5</b>	30	0	7	0	С	, =	- 1	_ `	ω ,	9	22	23	20	16	56	21	27	27	15	_	4	က	က	0	2	0	262	3.4%	00:60	23	14:00	77	207	0 0 0 0 2	
21	25	0	0	0	c	o c	· •	- 1	7	4	1	က	7	8	2	7	œ	4	4	_	9	0	0	0	0	0	89	%6.0	08:00	11	14:00	χ Q	8 8	15th Percentile 50th Percentile 85th Percentile 95th Percentile	10 MPH Pace Speed Number in Pace Percent in Pace of Vehicles > 45 MPH
16	20	~	0	0	C	o c	o c	o •	0	-	4	2	ß	ო	_	m	4	m	4	_	0	<del>-</del>	0	0	0	0	39	0.5%	10:00	2	12:00	. 00	) ) (	0.5% 1.5% 9.6 9.6	10 MPH Pace Speed Number in Pace Percent in Pace Number of Vehicles > 45 MPH Percent of Vehicles > 45 MPH
-	15	7	7	က	יני	. 5	<u> </u>	71	22	36	48	36	63	22	85	82	74	79	88	02	27	16	9	8	က	-	847	11.0%	10:00	63	16:00	88	7,004,	% 0.:-	Numb Perce
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	05:00	03.00	0.50	00:40	00:50	00:90	02:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol.	PM Peak	VO.	lotal	Leicent Leicent	Stats

Site Code: 5 US395 N.O Punkin Center Rd

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

11:00 1051 16:00 1249 15286 Total **AADT 15,286** 7724 50.5% 07:00 522 15:00 SB ADT 15,286 RB 09-Jan-24 Tue 01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 PM 01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 Total AM Peak PM Peak ADT Percent **Grand Total** Percent 12:00 AM Start Time

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

Cars & 2 Axle
Bikes Trailers Long Buses
0 22 13 3
0 34 8 1
0 58 15 2
0 136 48 1
2 214 81 7
4 230 91 2
2 236 84 1
<b>7</b> 250 93 4
6 <b>354 108</b> 2
10 317 117 11
9 336 95 <b>12</b>
`
7 464 114 5
0 182 42 0
0 99 15 1
0 63 6 0
78 5019 1492 74 924
1.0% 63.6% 18.9% 0.9% 11
11:00 08:0
7 354 108 9
16:00 12:00 14:
11 464 120 12
78 5019 1492 74
1.0% 63.6% 18.9% 0.9% 11.7%

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

	Total	35	32	21	61	66	185	258	396	423	495	525	591	633	571	548	586	634	605	441	308	232	136	112	09	7987		<del>-</del>		<u>~</u>	634	7987	
>6 Axl	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	_	0	_	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	ည	0.1%	02:00	_	14:00	-	5	•
6 Axle	Multi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	%0.0			15:00	_	2	•
<6 Axl	Multi	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	7	က	_	_	_	0	က	<b>~</b>	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.2%	00:60	က	14:00	က	4	
>6 Axl	Double	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	_	0	0	7	0	~	ო	_	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0.1%	08:00	2	15:00	က	<del>-</del>	
5 Axle	Double	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	<b>-</b>	_	0	0	2	ιΩ	2	0	က	_	0	_	_	<b>~</b>	0	0	23	0.3%	00:20	2	13:00	2	23	
<5 Axl	Double	2	2	0	0	4	_	9	6	19	13	21	24	<b>5</b> 6	20	14	20	19	19	9	4	_	2	2	-	235	2.9%	11:00	24	12:00	56	235	
4 Axle	Single	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	7	0	_	0	-	0	_	_	0	0	0	0	7	0.1%	07:00	_	12:00	7	7	
3 Axle	Single	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	က	2	_	9	2	_	က	ß	6	ιΩ	_	7	0	_	0	0	46	0.6%	11:00	ဖ	16:00	တ	46	
2 Axle	6 Tire	4	2	ო	7	13	25	43	26	63	29	93	92	72	29	89	29	69	65	42	22	25	∞	15	∞	666	12.5%	11:00	95	12:00	72	666	
	Buses	0	0	0	7	က	_	7	_	ത	4	ၑ	4	ന	တ	2	_	~	4	ო	-	0	2	0	0	28	0.7%	08:00	တ	13:00	<b>o</b>	55 80	
2 Axle	Long	10	4	4	12	17	37	28	69	82	113	66	109	137	109	101	109	110	114	100	20	35	22	21	14	1536	19.2%	00:60	113	12:00	137	1536	)
Cars &	Trailers	19	24	13	40	58	121	149	257	242	285	303	349	380	358	347	377	418	390	284	226	170	66	74	37	5020	62.9%	11:00	349	16:00	418	5020	
	Bikes	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	10	_	7	က	2	2	2	က	5	က	0	0	_	0	0	31	0.4%	00:60	ည	17:00	ς.	3	•
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	02:00	00:90	07:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Day Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol	PM Peak	Vol.	Grand	Total

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

Number	in Pace	30	24	31	43	09	165	301	256	248	263	254	337	317	323	312	346	398	316	265	208	168	125	87	48														
Pace	Speed	31-40	31-40	3140	3140	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	27 7	3140	31-40	31-40	31-40	26-35	26-35	26-35	26-35	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40														
H	otal	40	35	45	29	68	237	38.	304	284	417	423	556	268	554	554	602	229	525	421	327	241	169	121	75	7891		13.0	556	16:00	7891								
920	666	0	0	0	0	0	· C	o c	o c	0 0	0 0	· <del>-</del>	. 0	0	•	<b>-</b>	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.1%	10:00	-	13:00	4	0.1%							
7 :	75	0	0	0	0	0	· C	o C	o c	o c	o c	0	0	0	0	0	τ-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	%0.0			15:00	-	%0.0							
99 1	9	0	0	0	0	0	· C	o c	o c	o c	o c	c	0	0	0	0	0	<b>-</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	%0.0			16:00		%0.0							
61	65	0	0	0	0	0	c	o c	o c	o c	0 0	) C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0				c	0.0%							
20	09	0	0	0	0	0		0 0	o c	0 0	0 0	c	0	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	%0.0			16:00	-	0.0%							
51	22	0	0	0	0	· <del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	۰ ،	4 0	o <del>-</del>		· c	c	· <del>-</del>	-	_	_	-	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	12	0.2%	00:90	2	12:00	12	0.2%							
9 2	20	0	0	က	-	m	ıc	) (C	<b>9</b> C	) L	റെത	۰ د	۱۵	C	0	4	7	0	0	2	7	7	က	0	0	45	%9.0	00:90	9	14:00	45	%9.0							
<del>4</del> ;	45	က	4	9	œ	တ	48	24	7 7	- 7	- 2	1 5	4	19	15	4	7	15	22	21	16	15	13	12	7	367	4.7%	02:00	48	17:00	367	4.7%							
99	40	15	7	4	25	33	ទ	160	8 8	1 7	4 5	5	136	125	82	84	87	88	118	11	87	8	55	33	31	1877	23.8%	00:90	160	12:00	1877	23.8%							
34	35	15	17	17	18	27	2	141	16.	7 5	150	153	201	192	213	207	196	248	198	154	121	88	02	54	17	П			201	16:00	240	36.4%	22 MPH 32 MPH	37 MPH	40 MPH	31-40 MPH	4749	2308	29.2% 31 MPH
<b>2</b> 6	30	ო	4	7	c	^	. α	9,0	2 6	7 2	6 4 7 20	. ee	87	110	110	105	150	150	88	99	22	37	17	17	15	1312	16.6%	11:00	87	15:00	1312	16.6%			•••		 Ø (	υ II :	 I 6
77	25	-	က	-	0	, <del></del>	٠.	/ u	υŔ	2 6	3 5	. 6	- e	30	46	52	61	72	32	52	16	∞	ß	2	4	487	6.2%	11:00	38	16:00	787	%2.9	15th Percentile	85th Percentile	95th Percentile	10 MPH Pace Speed	Number in Pace	s > 35 MP	of Vehicles > 35 MPH Mean Speed(Average)
16	20	-	0	0	0	'n		o <del>-</del>	- u	. é	ठ ५	5 5	20.	61	12	<u>. c</u>	17	8	12	7	Ξ	2	Υ-	2	-	195	2.5%	11:00	20	12:00	105	2 5%		85	95	10 MPH	Nu C	Number of Vehicles > 35 MPH	it of Vehicle Mean Spe
-	15	7	0	7	4	. rc	α	o <del>č</del>	2 6	מי	ک 4 ک	9 9	S C	8 6	56	72	75	83	55	8	19	6	2	-	0	717	9.1%	11:00	28	16:00	747	%1						Numbe	Percer
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	05:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	09:00	08:00	00.00	08:00	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13.00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol.	PM Peak	Total	Percent				Stats			

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

Number	in Pace	23	21	4	46	73	155	2 5	192	263	272	314	333	329	355	343	344	352	391	397	306	205	153	102	82	46		Ĭ							
Pace	Speed	31-40	31-40	26-35	31-40	31-40		04-10	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	31-40	26-35	26-35	26-35	26-35	26-35	26-35	31-40	31-40	31-40	3140	31-40	31-40									
	Total	32	32	21	61	66	100	000	258	396	423	495	525	591	633	571	548	586	634	909	441	308	232	136	112	09	7987		11:00	591	16:00	7087	2		
92	666	0	0	0	c	) C	o c	0 (	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	٥	%0.0				c	%	2	
7	75	0	0	0	С	o C	o c	> 0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	τ-	%0.0			20:00	-	%00	2	
99 i	70	0	0	0	C	o C	o c	<b>o</b> (	0 (	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0				c	%00		
61	65	0	0	0	C	c	o c	<b>&gt;</b> (	0 '	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	%0.0				c	%00	2	
20	09	0	0	0	0	o C		0 (	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۲-	%0.0	11:00				%00		
51	22	0	0	0	С	o C		<b>)</b>	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	_	_	0	0	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.1%	11:00	-	12:00	- LC	0.1%	3	
46	20	-	0	0	C	· <del>-</del>	- 4	ဂ	ო ·	<del>-</del>	က	က	7	9	ო	0	0	0	2	_	0	0	0	τ-	_	0	33	0.4%	11:00	9	12:00	2 2	3,70	3	
4 ;	45	-	က	7	g	000	, <del>(</del>	7 0	2 <u>9</u>	7	38	28	28	56	13	7	6	4	6	10	13	1	7	5	4	2	285	3.6%	08:00	38	12:00	285	%9°		
98	40	9	13	က	24	. 65	8	0 I	78	06	105	118	139	123	9/	20	92	95	22	84	108	83	54	20	37	19	1606	20.1%	10:00	139	18:00	1808	20.1%	3	
સ્	35	13	œ	6	22	1 4	2 6	80 ;	114	173	167	196	194	206	238	208	230	233	228	246	198	122	66	52	48	27	3140	39.3%	11:00	206	17:00	3140	30 3%	21 MPH 31 MPH 37 MPH 39 MPH	31-40 MPH 4746 59.4% 1931 24.2% 30 MPH
<b>5</b> 6	30	9	2	2	4	, Ç	2 6	۱ ۲۵	_	78	64	63	72	109	117	135	114	119	163	151	09	20	43	16	18	2	1434	18.0%	11:00	109	16:00	1434	18.0%	0 0 0 0	****
21	25	0	_	0	-	۰ ،	10	n (	က	12	7	36	32	34	29	63	28	4	58	34	20	16	7	7	_	2	470	2.9%	00:60	36	12:00	/0/	7,470	15th Percentile 50th Percentile 85th Percentile 95th Percentile	10 MPH Pace Speed: Number in Pace: Percent in Pace: of Vehicles > 35 MPH: of Vehicles > 35 MPH:
9	20	-	0	0	c	, 0	1 0	<b>&gt;</b> (	0	0	2	12	7	16	18	15	16	4	22	7	б	9	9	ო	7	0	162	2.0%	11:00	16	16:00	163	2000	.1	10 MPH Pace Speed: Number in Pace: Percent in Pace: Number of Vehicles > 35 MPH: Percent of Vehicles > 35 MPH: Mean Speed(Average)
-	15	ო	7	7	4	- er	5	7 ;	24	32	37	39	51	69	100	72	74	83	92	29	33	20	15	7	-	2	850	10.6%	11:00	69	15:00	000	10.6%		Numbe Percer
Start	Time	01/09/24	01:00	05:00	03:00	04:00	00:10	00:00	00:90	02:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12 PM	13:00	14:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	19:00	20:00	21:00	22:00	23:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol	PM Peak	Total	Doroont		Stats

Site Code: 6 US395 S.O Theater Ln

All Traffic Data Services, Inc. alltrafficdata.net

67 188 422 639 790 804 804 812 1105 1110 1130 862 862 862 862 873 873 878 878	SB
	45 21 59 61
	417 495 423 525
	554 571
	677 634
	241 232
	49.7% 50.3%
	16:00 16:00
	677 634
ıT 15,878	
Т 15,878	49.7% 50.3%
	ADT 15,878 AADT

## **Appendix B**

Trip Generation Calculations and Trip Distribution Model Outputs

2.1
Pro v
)TISS
l By C
rated
Gene

			lins	Total	1206	98	116
			Estimated New Vehicle Trins	Exit	603	63	44
			Estir	Entry	603	23	27
				User Group			
2	m	IARY	No of Years to Project	Traffic	0	0	0
FROJECI DELAILS	Type of Project: City: Built-up Area(Sq.ft): Clients Name: ZIP/Postal Code: No. of Scenarios: 3	SCENARIO SUMMARY	Phases of	Development	1	1	1
				No. of Land Uses	2	2	2
	Project Name: 66132.003 10th St - TIA - Hermiston Project No: Country: Analyst Name: Namu Timilsina Date: 12/14/2023 state/Province:	The second secon		Name	Weekday Average Daily Trips	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
	Project Name: Project No: Country: Analyst Name: Date: State/Province: Analysis Region:	40		Scenarios	Scenario - 1	Scenario - 2	Scenario - 3

Analyst Note:								
Warning:								
VEHICLE TRIPS BEFORE REDUCTION								
Land Use & Data Source	Location	VI	Size	Time Period	Method	Entry	Exit	Total
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	General	Affel Legillon	113	Wookdoo	Best Fit (LOG)	565	565	0011
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	DWelling Onlis	CTT	weekudy	Ln(T) =0.92Ln(X) + 2.68	20%	20%	OCTT
<mark>560 - Church</mark> Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	General Urban/Suburban	1000 Sq. Ft. GFA	10	Weekday	Average 7.60	3 <mark>8</mark> 50%	38 50%	76
VEHICLE TO PERSON TRIP CONVERSION								
BASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:								
l and I so			Baseline Site Veh	Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share	Baseline Site Vehicle Occupancy	icle Occupancy	Baseline Site Vehi	Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split
			Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing 560 - Church			100	100	1 1	- F	05	20 20
ESTIMATED BASELINE SITE PERSON TRIPS:			Person Trips by Vehicle	s by Vehicle	Person Trips by Other Modes	Other Modes	Total Baseline	Total Baseline Site Person Trips
Land Use			Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing			565	30	0	0	565	1130
560 - Church			38	38	0	0	38	38
				76	0			76
INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION								
LAND USE GROUP ASSIGNMENT:							:	
kand Use 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing			1. 1.			Residential	Land Use Group	
560 - Church						Others		
BALANCED PERSON TRIPS:								450 - 052
י הפושמנופת שחת							4	
Exit	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALA	==>>> BALANCEU ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPIC	TAT	Persons Entry
565 0	0	0		0	0	0	0	388
Persons Entry PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALA	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
965	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	38
INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:								
Anternal Person Trins From						Entry	Exit	Total
The line of the second							-	

560 - Church Internal Bercon Titles Erom				rates	į.XJ	Total
mema reison mps rion				CHUI	LAIK	BOOL
Total Internal Person Trips				٥	٥	0
INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS AND CAPTURE: 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing						
Total Internal Person Trips				0	5	0
Vehicle Mode Share				100%	100%	•
Vehicle Occupancy				1.00	1.00	*
Total Vehicle Internal Trips				0	0	0
Total External Vehicle Trips				565	565	1130
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture				%0	%0	%0
560 - Church						
Total Internal Person Trips				0	0	0
Vahirla Mode Share				100%	100%	
Vehicle Occupance				1001	100	17.8
Total Vahicle Internal Trine				0		-
Total External Vehicle Trins				38	38	76
Internal Vehicle Trin Canture				%0	%0	%0
PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
		CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE	Contractory	NAME OF TAXABLE PROPERTY.	A STATE OF THE STA	
Land Use	External V	External Vehicle Trips	Pass-by Vel	Pass-by Vehicle Trip %	Pass-by V	Pass-by Vehicle Trips
240 Circula Ratachad Bauring	Entry	2865	0.00%	CXII (78)	Cultily	CALL
Les church	30	38	0.00%	%000		
מסר - רומולו	or	90	0.00.0	2000		
DIVERTED VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
	Viensiy	External Vehicle Trins	Diverted Ve	Diverted Vehicle Trip %	Diverted	Diverted Vehicle Trios
Land Use	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	565	295	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
S60 - Church	38	38	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
EXTRA VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
	+ vi-ssel - (Pass-hv +	(External - (Pass-hv + Diverted)) Vehicle Trins	Extra Vehicle I	Fxtra Vehicle Trip Reduction %	Extra Reduce	Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips
Land Use	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	565	565	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
560 - Church	38	38	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
NEW VEHICLE TRIPS						
Land Use					New Vehicle Trips	The state of the s
				Entry	EXIC	1010
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing				38	265	76
Jobu - Church				90	000	2
BESTUTE						
Site Totals				Entry	Exit	Total

enerated By OTISS Pro v2.1		
ted By OTISS	/2.1	
ted By (		
E.	SSITC	
E.	d By (	
(1)	E.	

ന

rips Before Reduction	603	603	1206
/ehicle Trips	0	0	0
Vehicle Trips	603	603	1206
/ehicle Trip Capture	%0	%0	%0
ehicle Trips	0	0	0
Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
Juced Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
icle Trips	603	603	1206

Scenario - 2	
Scenario Name: PM Peak Hour	User Group:
Dev. phase: 1	No. of Years to Project 0 Traffic:
Analyst Note:	
Warning:	

			6		Method	Entry	Exit
and Use & Data Source	LOCATION	N	Sixe	Hime refloo	Rate/Equation	Split%	Split%
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	General		7	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Best Fit (LOG)	70	41
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	Dwelling Units	113	Adjacent Street Traffic,	Adjacent Street Traffic, Ln(T) =0.94Ln(X) + 0.27	93%	37%
560 - Church	General	10000	6	Weekday, Peak Hour of	Average	2	3
Data Source: Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed	Urban/Suburban	TODO 5q. Pt. GPA	ΩT	Adjacent Street Traffic,	0.49	44%	26%

BASELINE SITE VEHICLE CHARACTERISTICS:	1977					
Daniel Dies.	Baseline Site Ve	Baseline Site Vehicle Mode Share	Baseline Site Vehicle Occupancy	iicle Occupancy	Baseline Site Vehi	Baseline Site Vehicle Directional Split
	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	100	100	1	1	63	37
560 - Church	100	100	1	1	44	95
	Person Trip	Person Trips by Vehicle	Person Trips by	Person Trips by Other Modes	Total Baseline	Total Baseline Site Person Trips
Land Use	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit	Entry	Exit
	70	41	0	0	20	41
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing		111	Ü			111
	2	3	0	0	2	6
560 - Church						L L

LAND USE GROUP ASSIGNMENT:	GNMENT:							
Land Use	N 0						Land Use Group	
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	ned Housing				Res	Residential		
560 - Church					Oth	Others		
BALANCED PERSON TRIPS: 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	S: ned Housing							560 - Church
Persons Exit	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	==>>> BALANCED ==>>>	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Entry
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Persons Entry	PAF	UIPTC	Unconstrained Demand	<<== BALANCED <<<==	Unconstrained Demand	UIPTC	PAF	Persons Exit
02	0	0	0	o	o	0	0	ю

INTERNAL PERSON TRIPS:

66132.003 10th St - TIA - Hermiston

210 - Single-Family Detached Housing Internal Person Tritos From				Entry	Exit	Total
Tatal lateral Baseau Trine				6313	0	
recting to the second transfer and t						
560 - Church						
Internal Person Trips From				Entry	Exit	Total
Total Internal Person Trips				0	0	0
INTERNAL VEHICLE TRIPS AND CAPTURE:						
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing						
Total Internal Person Trios				0	0	0
Vehicle Mode Share				100%	100%	×
Vehicle Occupancy				1.00	1.00	α
Total Vehicle Internal Trips				0	0	0
Total External Vehicle Trips				70	41	111
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture				%0	%0	%0
560 - Church						
Total Internal Person Trips				0	0	0
Vehicle Mode Share				100%	100%	
Vehicle Occupancy				1.00	1,00	
Total Vehicle Internal Tribs				0	0	0
Total External Vehicle Trips				2	m	
Internal Valida Trin Cartina				%0	%0	%0
PASS-BY VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
No. of the last of	External V	External Vehicle Trips	Pass-by Vehicle Trip %	cle Trip %	Pass-by Ve	Pass-by Vehicle Trips
Table ONE	Entry	Exit	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	70	41	%00.0	%00.0	0	0
560 - Church	2	3	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
DIVERTED VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
10000Ceres	External V	External Vehicle Trips	Diverted Vehicle Trip %	Icle Trip %	Diverted V	Diverted Vehicle Trips
Land Use	Entry	Take .	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	70	41	%00'0	%00'0	0	0
S60 - Church	2	ED.	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
EXTRA VEHICLE TRIP REDUCTION						
	(External - (Pass-by +	(External - (Pass-by + Diverted)) Vehicle Trips	Extra Vehicle Trip Reduction %	p Reduction %	Extra Reduce	Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips
Land Use	Entry	EXIL	Entry (%)	Exit (%)	Entry	Exit
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing	70	41	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
560 - Church	2	3	0.00%	0.00%	0	0
NEW VEHICLE TRIPS						
Land Use				Entry	New Vehicle Imps	Total
210 - Single-Family Detached Housing				70	41	111
560 - Church				2	8	5

PBS Engineering and Environmental Inc.

Site Totals Vehicle Trips Before Reduction Internal Vehicle Trips	Entry	Fyir	
Vehicle Trips Before Reduction Internal Vehicle Trips			Total
Internal Vehicle Trips	72	44	116
	0	0	0
External Vehicle Trips	72	44	116
Internal Vehicle Trip Capture	%0	%0	%0
Pass-by Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
Diverted Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
Extra Reduced Vehicle Trips	0	0	0
New Vehicle Trips	72	44	116

Appendix C
Oregon Highway Plan – Mobility Targets

Highway Category			Inside Urban Grow	th Boundary		Outside Urban Boundar	
IS-395 ntersections, on-highway pproaches	STAE	МРО	Non-MPO Outside of STAs where non- freeway posted speed <= 35 mph, or a Designated UBA	Non-MPO outside of STAs where non-freeway speed > 35 mph but < 45 mph	Non-MPO where non- freeway speed limit >= 45 mph	Unincorporated Communities <sup>F</sup> US-3999 Interse highwa	
Interstate Highways	N/A	0.85	N/A	N/A	0.80	0.70	0.70
Statewide Expressways	N/A	0.85	0.85	0.80	0.80	0.70	0.70
Freight Route on a Statewide Highway	0.90	0.85	0.85	0.80	0.80	0.70	0.70
Statewide (not a Freight Route)	0.95	0.90	0.90	0.85	0.80	0.75	0.70
Freight Route on a regional or District Highway	0.95	0.90	0.90	0.85	0.85	0.75	0.70
Expressway on a Regional or District Highway	N/A	0.90	N/A	0.85	0.85	0.75	0.70
Regional Highways	1.0	0.95	0.90	0.85	0.85	0.75	0.70
District/Local Interest Roads	1.0	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.90	0.80	0.75

**Table 6: Volume to Capacity Ratio Targets for Peak Hour Operating Conditions** 

## Notes for Table 6:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>A</sup> Unless the Oregon Transportation Commission has adopted an alternative mobility target for the impacted facility, the mobility targets in Tables 6 are considered standards for purposes of determining compliance with OAR 660-012, the Transportation Planning Rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup> For the purposes of this policy, the peak hour shall be the 30th highest annual hour. This approximates weekday peak hour traffic in larger urban areas. Alternatives to the 30th highest annual hour may be considered and established through alternative mobility target processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> Highway design requirements are addressed in the Highway Design Manual (HDM).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>D</sup> See Action 1F.1 for additional technical details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>E</sup> Interstates and Expressways shall not be identified as Special Transportation Areas.

For unincorporated communities inside MPO boundaries, MPO mobility targets shall apply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Table 6 was replaced in August 2005, part of OHP Amendment 05-16.

## Appendix D Level of Service Reports

Intersection		-111							11-05			
Int Delay, s/veh	4.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	9	46	1	5	1	32	52	4	0	51	9
Future Vol, veh/h	6	9	46	1	5	1	32	52	4	0	51	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	_	None		-	None	- 6	-	None	527		None
Storage Length		-			-		3	Ŧ	-	-	2	
Veh in Median Storage	.# -	0	-		0	100	-	0			0	
Grade, %	_	0			0	Tes	<del></del> .	0		-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	25	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	7	11	56	1	6	1	39	63	5	0	62	11
Particular Security S												
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	215	214	68	245	217	66	73	0	0	68	0	0
Stage 1	68	68	-	144	144	THE STATE OF		- 1	p#	74	2	*
Stage 2	147	146	-	101	73			-	-	- 1	- 4	~
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.24	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		72	4.12	- 2	i i
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-		-	-	11000000	- 4	12
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52		6.12	5.52			113	-	180	- 4	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.336		4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	- 1	ě
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	742	684	990	709	681	998	1527	-	6	1533	-	
Stage 1	942	838	-	859	778	-		-	-	12	-	2
Stage 2	856	776	-	905	834		<b>3</b>		- 2	720		
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	2
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	720	666	990	647	663	998	1527	ì	ě	1533	12	2
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	720	666	-	647	663			-	ä		==	2
Stage 1	917	838	-	836	757						2	1
Stage 2	825	755	-	843	834	-	٠	-		-	-	2
Approach	EB			WB			NB	<b>2</b> 4		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4		Tal	10.3		11/15	2.7			0	177	
HCM LOS	Α			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1527		1/2	893	694	1533	(4)				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.026				0.012	11030303	:#)	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)	ĺ	7.4	0		9,4	10.3	0					
HCM Lane LOS		Α	A	7.		В						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0.1	2	74	100000		0					
	1.											

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.3			5911		
		EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Movement Configurations	EBT	EDIT	VVDL			INDIX
Lane Configurations	170	EC		<b>€</b>	24	0
Traffic Vol, veh/h	172	56	1	93	34	8
Future Vol, veh/h	172	56	1	93	34	- 100
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	O Ctop
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	7.	None	-	
Storage Length	. 32	( <b>.</b>		-	0	÷
Veh in Median Storage,				0	0	
Grade, %	0			0	0	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	2	2	2	9	2
Mvmt Flow	215	70	1	116	43	10
Major/Minor N	//ajor1		Vlajor2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	285	0	368	250
Stage 1	-			-	250	200
Stage 2			-		118	
Critical Hdwy	-		4.12		6.49	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	(2)		7.12	-	5.49	V.ZZ
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					5.49	
Follow-up Hdwy		-	2.218	<u>.</u>	3.581	
	_		1277	I.E.	618	789
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	(5)	-		V2	776	109
Stage 1	( <b></b>	-	- 5			
Stage 2	990		5		890	- 8
Platoon blocked, %			4077		047	700
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1277	100	617	789
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver					617	
Stage 1	1000			0.74	776	- *
Stage 2	100			1) 📆	889	×
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
Total Manager Walle III	0		0.1		11.1	
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	U		0.1		В	
HOM FOS					D	
Minor Lane/Major Mym		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		644	-		1277	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.082	2		0.001	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	1	11.1	-	-	7.8	0
HCM Lane LOS		В		2	Α	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	y = -	0.3	-	4	0	- 4
salisally		O. C. C.			_	

	۶	-	*	•	4-	*	1	†	-	-	<b></b>	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	B		7	1>		٦	Φβ		ኘ	<b>↑</b> }	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	58	53	59	44	42	82	61	666	82	193	752	51
Future Volume (veh/h)	58	53	59	44	42	82	61	666	82	193	752	51
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No	HOPE THE H		No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1682	1654	1723	1709	1723	1723	1695	1654
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	64	58	65	48	46	90	67	732	90	212	826	56
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	5	7	2	3	2	2	4	7
Cap, veh/h	231	133	150	247	91	179	427	1435	176	450	1517	103
Arrive On Green	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.08	0.49	0.49	0.08	0.50	0.50
Sat Flow, veh/h	1154	742	831	1168	508	994	1641	2911	358	1641	3061	208
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	64	0	123	48	0	136	67	408	414	212	435	447
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1154	0	1573	1168	0	1503	1641	1624	1645	1641	1611	1658
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.2	0.0	4.3	2.3	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.4	10.4	0.0	11.4	11.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	8.2	0.0	4.3	6.6	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.4	10.4	0.0	11.4	11.4
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.53	1.00		0.66	1.00		0.22	1.00		0.13
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	231	0	283	247	0	270	427	801	811	450	798	821
V/C Ratio(X)	0.28	0.00	0.43	0.19	0.00	0.50	0.16	0.51	0.51	0.47	0.54	0.54
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	684	0	900	705	0	860	967	1460	1479	986	1448	1491
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	26.3	0.0	22.3	25.2	0.0	22.6	12.9	10.5	10.5	16.6	10.7	10.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.3	0.0	1.1	0.1	0.9	0.9	0.6	1.0	1.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.8	0.0	1.4	0.6	0.0	1.6	0.5	3.0	3.0	2.2	3.2	3.3
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh										- Marian		
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	26.8	0.0	23.1	25.5	0.0	23.7	13.1	11.4	11.4	17.2	11.7	11.6
LnGrp LOS	С	Α	С	С	Α	С	В	В	В	В	В	В
Approach Vol, veh/h		187			184			889			1094	
Approach Delay, s/veh		24.4			24.2			11.5			12.7	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1.	2		4	5	6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	9.4	36.3		15.5	9.5	36.2		15.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.5	6.0		4.5	4.5	6.0		4.5				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.0	13.4		8.6	2.0	12.4		10.2				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.2	16.9		0.8	0.8	17.7		0.8				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			14.1						E E E			
HCM 6th LOS			В									

·	۶	<b>→</b>	*	•	*	•	1	<b>†</b>	1	-	<b>↓</b>	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	<b>₽</b>		7	₽		ሻ	<b>♦</b>		ሻ	<b>↑</b> }	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	43	60	84	47	51	48	111	737	68	63	753	33
Future Volume (veh/h)	43	60	84	47	51	48	111	737	68	63	753	33
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No		77-20-21	No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1668	1695	1723	1695	1723	1723	1709	1709
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	46	65	90	51	55	52	119	792	73	68	810	35
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	6	4	2	4	2	2	3	3
Cap, veh/h	230	92	127	178	86	81	338	1136	105	327	1199	52
Arrive On Green	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.38	0.38	0.07	0.38	0.38
Sat Flow, veh/h	1641	654	906	1641	788	745	1641	2982	275	1641	3171	137
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	46	0	155	51	0	107	119	428	437	68	415	430
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1641	0	1560	1641	0	1534	1641	1611	1646	1641	1624	1684
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.5	0.0	5.7	1.7	0.0	4.0	0.0	13.4	13.4	0.0	12.8	12.8
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.5	0.0	5.7	1.7	0.0	4.0	0.0	13.4	13.4	0.0	12.8	12.8
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.58	1.00		0.49	1.00		0.17	1.00		0.08
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	230	0	219	178	0	167	338	613	627	327	614	637
V/C Ratio(X)	0.20	0.00	0.71	0.29	0.00	0.64	0.35	0.70	0.70	0.21	0.68	0.68
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	960	0	913	960	0	898	905	1481	1514	899	1493	1549
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	22.7	0.0	24.5	24.5	0.0	25.5	21.7	15.6	15.6	20.2	15.5	15.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.4	0.0	4.2	0.9	0.0	4.1	0.5	1.4	1.4	0.3	1.3	1.3
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.5	0.0	2.1	0.7	0.0	1.6	1.4	4.2	4.2	0.7	4.0	4.1
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh									-/		72.2	700
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	23.2	0.0	28.7	25.4	0.0	29.6	22.1	17.1	17.0	20.6	16.8	16.8
LnGrp LOS	С	Α	С	С	Α	С	С	В	В	С	В	В
Approach Vol, veh/h		201			158			984			913	
Approach Delay, s/veh		27.5			28.3			17.7			17.1	
Approach LOS		С			C			В			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4	5	6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.8	27.1		11.0	8.7	27.3		12.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.0	14.8		6.0	2.0	15.4		7.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.4	7.9		0.9	0.2	7.4		1.0				
Intersection Summary	45.	1										
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			19.0			124						
HCM 6th LOS			В									

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	N/F	TOPIC	1>			स
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	52	0	0	51
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	52	0	0	51
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	Stop -	None	riee -	None	1166	None
	0				_	NOILE
Storage Length			0	-	<del>}</del>	0
Veh in Median Storage		更	0	-		0
Grade, %	0	- 00		- 00		82
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	63	0	0	62
Major/Minor	Minor1	N	/lajor1		Major2	-150
Conflicting Flow All	125	63	0	0	63	0
Stage 1	63	03	-	Ū	00	-
	62	57.0 -	-	9		
Stage 2	6.42		- 1		4.12	
Critical Hdwy		6.22				1.00
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-		•
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	- 0.40	-		0.040	•
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518			-		•
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	870	1002	-		1540	•
Stage 1	960			•	•	•
Stage 2	961	1 9/	π.		3	- 5
Platoon blocked, %			7			*
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	870	1002		1.5	1540	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	870	· .		-	•	ñ
Stage 1	960	184		7	- 3	É
Stage 2	961	-		-	-	-
Anneach	VAID		NID		CD.	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		0	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	if	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBB	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		4	-		1540	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio				-	1040	*
				0	0	
HCM Control Delay (s) HCM Lane LOS		:#* :#*	-	A	Ä	-
	(	_	- 1	A		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	*	-		U	-

Intersection			1185		-1		1	ė .				
Int Delay, s/veh	4.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	9	48	1	5	1	33	54	4	0	53	9
Future Vol., veh/h	6	9	48	1	5	1	33	54	4	0	53	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	- 1	÷	None
Storage Length	-	-				()	-	ê		-	×	V.
Veh in Median Storage	# -	0			0		-	0	- 5		0	-
Grade, %	_	0		-	0	1.7	-	0	-	•	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	25	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	7	11	59	1	6	1	40	66	5	0	65	11
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1	77		Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	223	222	71	255	225	69	76	0	0	71	0	0
Stage 1	71	71		149	149						- 1 4	/6
Stage 2	152	151	-	106	76			-	-		2	76
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.24	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		- 1	4.12		72
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	•		4	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52		-	-	- 5		-	1744
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.336		4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	0.4
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	733	677	986	698	674	994	1523	-		1529	-	72
Stage 1	939	836		854	774			-	•		-	1725
Stage 2	850	772		900	832	-					-	Va
Platoon blocked, %								÷	- 8		- 2	12
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	712	659	986	635	656	994	1523	-	-	1529	-	1
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	712	659	•	635	656		1.7.	-	Ê	•	4	-
Stage 1	914	836	-	831	753		15/		-		ě	
Stage 2	819	751	-	835	832	=		-	1		-	-
Approach	EB			WB		Mac IIIs	NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4			10.3			2.7			0		
HCM LOS	А			В			2000					
				LY.							TI.	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	1 1		
Capacity (veh/h)		1523	-	-	890	686	1529	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.026				0.012	22		¥			
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.4	0	72		10.3		-				
HCM Lane LOS		A	A			В	A	14)	- 4			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0.1	1	- 1-		0	0	-	-			
		SAM			350051							

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.3					
	COT	EDD	VAIDI	WBT	NBL	NBR
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL			NDK
Lane Configurations	<b>↑</b>	F0		4	**	_
Traffic Vol, veh/h	179	58	1	97	35	8
Future Vol, veh/h	179	58	1	97	35	8
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None		None
Storage Length		-	Y#	-	0	9 <b>.</b>
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0		(+)	0	0	
Grade, %	0			0	0	g.=
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	2	2	2	9	2
Mymt Flow	224	73	1	121	44	10
INIVITIE I IOW	227	70		161		10
Major/Minor M	ajor1	1	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	297	0	384	261
Stage 1		-	-	-	261	
Stage 2	3.00	_		-	123	_
Critical Hdwy	-	_	4.12	-	6.49	6.22
			7,12		5.49	0.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1					5.49	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			0.040			2 240
Follow-up Hdwy			2.218	_		3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1264		605	778
Stage 1		•	*	•	767	
Stage 2					885	
Platoon blocked, %	(∰)					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1264	*	604	778
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			=		604	*
Stage 1					767	- V -
Stage 2	-				884	
Otage Z					30 1	
Approach	EB		WB	-	NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.1		11.2	
HCM LOS					В	
TWO TO SHARE WAS ASSESSED.	-	uni -		men	TA/FN	West
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		630			1264	=
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.085			0.001	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		11.2	-		7.9	0
HCM Lane LOS		В	-		Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3		P.		- 2
					187	

	۶	<b>→</b>	*	•	<b>←</b>	*	4	<b>†</b>	1	>	ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	7		ሻ	ß		ሻ	<b>∱</b> }		ሻ	<b>↑</b> ↑	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	60	55	62	47	44	85	64	703	85	201	799	53
Future Volume (veh/h)	60	55	62	47	44	85	64	703	85	201	799	53
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1682	1654	1723	1709	1723	1723	1695	1654
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	66	60	68	52	48	93	70	773	93	221	878	58
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	5	7	2	3	2	2	4	7
Cap, veh/h	226	135	153	242	94	182	403	1469	177	432	1564	103
Arrive On Green	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.07	0.50	0.50	0.08	0.51	0.51
Sat Flow, veh/h	1149	737	835	1163	512	992	1641	2918	351	1641	3067	203
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	66	0	128	52	0	141	70	430	436	221	461	475
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1149	0	1572	1163	0	1503	1641	1624	1646	1641	1611	1659
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.5	0.0	4.6	2.7	0.0	5.4	0.0	11.4	11.4	0.0	12.6	12.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	8.9	0.0	4.6	7.3	0.0	5.4	0.0	11.4	11.4	0.0	12.6	12.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.53	1.00		0.66	1.00		0.21	1.00		0.12
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	226	0	288	242	0	276	403	818	829	432	822	846
V/C Ratio(X)	0.29	0.00	0.44	0.22	0.00	0.51	0.17	0.53	0.53	0.51	0.56	0.56
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	645	0	861	666	0	824	928	1398	1417	946	1387	1428
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	27.5	0.0	23.2	26,4	0.0	23.5	13.8	10.7	10.7	18.2	10.7	10.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.3	0.0	1.1	0.2	0.9	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.0
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	0.9	0.0	1.6	0.7	0.0	1.8	0.6	3.3	3.3	2.6	3.6	3.7
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	28.0	0.0	24.0	26.7	0.0	24.6	14.0	11.6	11.6	18.9	11.8	11.7
LnGrp LOS	С	Α	С	C	Α	С	В	В	В	В	В	В
Approach Vol, veh/h		194			193			936			1157	
Approach Delay, s/veh		25.4			25.2			11.8			13.1	
Approach LOS		С			C			В	Y 1		В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	4	2		4	5	6		8		CHAMBES.		
	0.1	38.6		16.2	9.5	38.2		16.2				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	9.1 4.5	6.0		4.5	4.5	6.0		4.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	The second secon	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	14.6		9.3	2.0	13.4		10.9				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s				0.8	0.9	18.7		0.8				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.2	18.0		0.0	0.8	10.7		0.0				
Intersection Summary			9916		-							
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			14.5									
HCM 6th LOS			В									

	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	<b>←</b>	4	1	†	~	1	ţ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	P		3	Þ		ň	<b>1</b>		ሻ	<b>↑</b> }	200
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	45	63	87	53	53	61	115	767	77	85	783	34
Future Volume (veh/h)	45	63	87	53	53	61	115	767	77	85	783	34
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No		-10-010	No			No	4=00
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1668	1695	1723	1695	1723	1723	1709	1709
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	48	68	94	57	57	66	124	825	83	91	842	37
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	6	4	2	4	2	2	3	3
Cap, veh/h	240	96	133	197	85	98	319	1152	116	302	1214	53
Arrive On Green	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.39	0.39	0.06	0.38	0.38
Sat Flow, veh/h	1641	655	905	1641	705	816	1641	2955	297	1641	3168	139
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	48	0	162	57	0	123	124	450	458	91	431	448
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1641	0	1560	1641	0	1521	1641	1611	1642	1641	1624	1684
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.6	0.0	6.3	2.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	15.2	15.2	0.0	14.3	14.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.6	0.0	6.3	2.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	15.2	15.2	0.0	14.3	14.3
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.58	1.00		0.54	1.00		0.18	1.00		0.08
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	240	0	229	197	0	183	319	628	640	302	622	645
V/C Ratio(X)	0.20	0.00	0.71	0.29	0.00	0.67	0.39	0.72	0.72	0.30	0.69	0.69
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	895	0	851	895	0	830	845	1381	1408	839	1392	1444
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	24.1	0.0	26.1	25.7	0.0	27.0	23.9	16.6	16.6	23.5	16.6	16.6
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.4	0.0	4.0	0.8	0.0	4.2	0.6	1.5	1.5	0.6	1.4	1.4
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.6	0.0	2.5	0.8	0.0	2.0	1.7	4.8	4.9	1.2	4.6	4.8
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh									40.4	040	40.0	40.0
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	24.5	0.0	30.1	26.5	0.0	31.2	24.5	18.1	18.1	24.0	18.0	18.0
LnGrp LOS	С	Α	С	С	Α	С	С	В	В	С	В	B
Approach Vol, veh/h		210			180			1032			970	
Approach Delay, s/veh		28.8			29.7			18.9			18.6	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4	5	6		. 8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	9.0	29.1		12.2	8.5	29.5		13.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.0	16.3		7.0	2.0	17.2		8.3				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.4	8.2		1.1	0.3	7.8		1.3	_111			
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			20.4									
HCM 6th LOS			C									

Intersection						7
Int Delay, s/veh	0					
				31150	- 241130	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		₽			4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	54	0	0	53
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	54	0	0	53
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		None	-	None		None
Storage Length	0		-			5
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	11 1 85	-	0
Grade, %	0	:*:	0			0
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	66	0	0	65
	-					
	ON S				10.0	
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	131	66	0	0	66	0
Stage 1	66	:23			12.5	
Stage 2	65		-	:=		-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	- 5	(#1	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42		-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42				<b>.</b>	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	5
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	863	998	A - a	950	1536	
Stage 1	957	350	-	9.5	-	=
Stage 2	958		, L	100		T =
Platoon blocked, %			-			-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	863	998			1536	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	863	( <b>-</b> 7	-	3 <del>5</del> 1		-
Stage 1	957		-			11 3
Stage 2	958		-		:•:	-
Olago Z	500					
	(period)				7,110	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		0	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lanc/Major Mun	at .	NBT	MRDV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	11					_
Capacity (veh/h)		-		-	1536	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	=	0	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)				0	0	
HCM Lane LOS		•	-	Α	A 0	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	<b>\</b>			161		-

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	4.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	15	23	48	1	13	1	33	61	4	0	57	16
Future Vol, veh/h	15	23	48	1	13	1	33	61	4	0	57	16
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-		None				-		None	-		None
Storage Length	-	_	-	-		-		~	_		14	-
Veh in Median Storage	# -	0		70	0	2		0	1		0	-
Grade, %		0	2	-	0	2	-	0			0	-
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	25	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	18	28	59	- 1	16	1	40	74	5	0	70	20
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Wajor1		1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	245	239	80	281	247	77	90	0	0	79	0	0
Stage 1	80	80	-	157	157			ii.		(=)	ė	*
Stage 2	165	159		124	90	2	-	-	_	-		-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.24	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12		¥
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	2	-		-	M.Marie	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	8	-			×.		-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018			4.018	3.318	2.218		-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	709	662	975	671	655	984	1505	1	-	THE RESERVE TO SERVE THE RESERVE THE RESER	-	
Stage 1	929	828	-	845	768	-		-	-	-	*	-
Stage 2	837	766	-	880	820			-				
Platoon blocked, %								-	ъ		-	¥
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	680	643	975	597	637	984	1505		-	1519	*	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	680	643	-	597	637	2		-	12	-	¥	×
Stage 1	903	828	-	821	746	발	**		4	-	- 4	-
Stage 2	795	745	9	799	820	<u> </u>	548	**	12	-	140	*
Approach	EB			WB			NB		47	SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.2			10.7			2.5			0		
HCM LOS	В			В								
				11.		ñ.						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1	NBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1505		) (m	803	649	1519	(2)				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.027	*	_		0.028	١.					
HCM Control Delay (s)	Ï	7.5	0	100	10.2		0	(*)	-			
HCM Lane LOS	W	Α	Α	( <b>-</b> )	В	В	A		-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0.1			0.4	0.1	0	-	-			

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.9					
	COT	EDD	VA/DI	MPT	NDI	NBR
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	MOL
Lane Configurations	<b>}</b>	^=		4	<b>*</b> /	40
Traffic Vol, veh/h	179	97	4	97	58	10
Future Vol, veh/h	179	97	4	97	58	10
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None		None	-	None
Storage Length	295	-			0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0		-	0	0	
Grade, %	0			0	0	
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	5	2	2	2	9	2
	224	121	5	121	73	13
Mvmt Flow	224	IZI	J	121	13	13
Major/Minor M	ajor1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	345	0	416	285
Stage 1					285	200
	- 15		- 8		131	
Stage 2		•	4.40			6.00
Critical Hdwy	170		4.12	-	6.49	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	(-)		- T	•	5.49	(\ <del>-</del> -
Critical Hdwy Stg 2					5.49	15
Follow-up Hdwy	:		2.218	-		3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	199		1214	-	580	754
Stage 1	:=0	100	=		748	1(5:
Stage 2		- 7			878	100
Platoon blocked, %						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-		1214		578	754
					578	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	**	•		
Stage 1		*			748	V.#3
Stage 2	:=2	*	-	•	874	i.e.
Approach	EB		WB		NB	-
Approach	- Harrison	10/20				
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0.3		12	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		VBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
					1214	
Capacity (veh/h)		599	#			-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.142	( E		0.004	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		(12)		1 2		0
HCM Lane LOS		В	2	100		Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.5	2	-	0	

	۶	<b>→</b>	*	•	-	*	4	<b>†</b>	1	1	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	₽		34			ሻ	<b>∱</b> ∱		ሻ	<b>↑</b> ↑	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	60	59	62	47	46	106	64	707	85	236	806	53
Future Volume (veh/h)	60	59	62	47	46	106	64	707	85	236	806	53
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	DAILOSON.	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No	7,200		No	1051
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1682	1654	1723	1709	1723	1723	1695	1654
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	66	65	68	52	51	116	70	777	93	259	886	58
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	5	7	2	3	2	2	4	7
Cap, veh/h	221	154	161	255	91	207	386	1451	174	418	1551	102
Arrive On Green	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.07	0.50	0.50	0.08	0.51	0.51
Sat Flow, veh/h	1122	771	807	1157	457	1038	1641	2920	349	1641	3069	201
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	66	0	133	52	0	167	70	432	438	259	465	479
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1122	0	1578	1157	0	1495	1641	1624	1646	1641	1611	1659
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.7	0.0	4.9	2.7	0.0	6.6	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	13.2	13.2
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	10.3	0.0	4.9	7.6	0.0	6.6	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	13.2	13.2
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.51	1.00		0.69	1.00		0.21	1.00		0.12
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	221	0	315	255	0	299	386	807	818	418	814	839
V/C Ratio(X)	0.30	0.00	0.42	0.20	0.00	0.56	0.18	0.54	0.54	0.62	0.57	0.57
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	592	0	838	639	0	794	898	1355	1374	916	1344	1385
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	28.4	0.0	23.0	26.3	0.0	23.8	14.9	11.4	11.4	20.8	11.3	11.3
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	1.2	0.2	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.1
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.9	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.0	2.2	0.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.9
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh				nie e	VAIVAII				40.0	04.0	40.4	40.4
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	29.0	0.0	23.7	26.6	0.0	25.0	15.1	12.3	12.3	21.9	12.4	12.4
LnGrp LOS	С	Α	С	С	Α	С	В	В	В	С	В	В
Approach Vol, veh/h		199			219			940			1203	
Approach Delay, s/veh		25.5			25.4			12.5			14.4	
Approach LOS		С			C			В			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4	5	6	25	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	8.9	39.3		17.7	9.5	38.7		17.7				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.5	6.0		4.5	4.5	6.0		4.5				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.0	15.2		9.6	2.0	14.0		12.3				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.2	18.1		1.0	1.0	18.7		8.0			. 1	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			15.5									
HCM 6th LOS			В									

<u></u> -	۶	<b>→</b>	•	•	4-	*	1	<b>†</b>	~	<b>/</b>	ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>(</b>		۲,	î>		ሻ	<b>♦</b>		ሻ	<b>↑</b> }	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	45	66	87	60	55	67	115	767	89	93	783	34
Future Volume (veh/h)	45	66	87	60	55	67	115	767	89	93	783	34
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	. 102020	1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No	I Planica and		No	415474780		No	PURPOSE
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1723	1723	1723	1723	1668	1695	1723	1695	1723	1723	1709	1709
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	48	71	94	65	59	72	124	825	96	100	842	37
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	6	4	2	4	2	2	3	3
Cap, veh/h	242	99	131	208	87	106	323	1138	132	296	1200	53
Arrive On Green	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.39	0.39	0.06	0.38	0.38
Sat Flow, veh/h	1641	672	890	1641	684	834	1641	2907	338	1641	3168	139
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	48	0	165	65	0	131	124	457	464	100	431	448
Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln	1641	0	1562	1641	0	1518	1641	1611	1635	1641	1624	1684
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.7	0.0	6.7	2.4	0.0	5.5	0.0	16.0	16.0	0.0	14.9	14.9
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.7	0.0	6.7	2.4	0.0	5.5	0.0	16.0	16.0	0.0	14.9	14.9
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.57	1.00		0.55	1.00		0.21	1.00		0.08
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	242	0	230	208	0	193	323	630	640	296	615	638
V/C Ratio(X)	0.20	0.00	0.72	0.31	0.00	0.68	0.38	0.72	0.73	0.34	0.70	0.70
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	864	0	823	864	0	800	815	1333	1353	810	1344	1394
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	24.9	0.0	27.0	26.4	0.0	27.7	24.7	17.2	17.2	25.0	17.5	17.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.4	0.0	4.1	0.8	0.0	4.2	0.6	1.6	1.6	0.7	1.5	1.4
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.7	0.0	2.7	1.0	0.0	2.2	1.7	5.2	5.2	1.4	4.9	5.1
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	25.3	0.0	31.1	27.2	0.0	31.9	25.2	18.8	18.8	25.6	18.9	18.9
LnGrp LOS	C	Α	С	C	Α	С	С	В	В	С	В	В
Approach Vol, veh/h		213			196			1045			979	
Approach Delay, s/veh		29.8			30.3			19.5			19.6	
Approach LOS		C			C			В			В	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	0	4	5	6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	9.6	29.7		12.9	8.7	30.5		14.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	4.5		4.5				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	25.0	55.0		35.0	25.0	55.0		35.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s	2.0	16.9		7.5	2.0	18.0		8.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.4	8.2	11 118	1.2	0.4	8.0		1.3	To the	1.5		
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			21.3									
HCM 6th LOS			C									

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1					
		WDD	NOT	NIDD	OD!	CDT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		<b>1</b>	-	2.2	4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	8	70	0	14	81
Future Vol, veh/h	0	8	70	0	14	81
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	_ 0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	1	None		None	-	None
Storage Length	0		Ē.	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage			0		•	0
Grade, %	0		0			0
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	10	85	0	17	99
Major/Minor	Minord		/lajor1		Major2	N
	Minor1					
Conflicting Flow All	218	85	0	0	85	0
Stage 1	85	2/3	₩.	17		
Stage 2	133	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22		-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-		N <del>e</del> s	7/	- 1
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	5 <b>5</b> 7		152	P	Ê
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518			-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	770	974		-	1512	ê
Stage 1	938	:=:		•	÷.	
Stage 2	893			1.54	777	į.
Platoon blocked, %						Ē
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	761	974			1512	ĕ
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	761					7
Stage 1	938		-		-	
Stage 2	882				-	-
Clago 2	JUL					
	-				-	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.7		0		1.1	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	ıt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)			-		1512	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-		0.011	
HCM Control Delay (s)		-		8.7	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS			-	A	Α	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1			0	0	7
LION SOUL YOUR COLVELL	J.			U	U	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y	West.	1		OPL	4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	8	62	0	14	67
Future Vol, veh/h	0	8	62	0	14	67
	0	0	02	0	0	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
Sign Control RT Channelized		None	riee -	None	riee -	
Delate State	- 0					
Storage Length		(=):	-			-
Veh in Median Storage		*	0	•		0
Grade, %	0	- 00	0	- 00	- 00	0
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	10	76	0	17	82
Major/Minor I	Minor1	N.	/lajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	192	76	0	0	76	0
	76	70	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	116	(e):				
Stage 2					4.12	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	(●)	-			#1
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	0.040			0.040	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518		-		2.218	#
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	797	985			1523	-
Stage 1	947	•	•	2.00		- +
Stage 2	909	<b>36</b>	01.		(#)	
Platoon blocked, %			-			-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	787	985			1523	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	787		+:		·	+
Stage 1	947	-0			(#)	+
Stage 2	898	; <b></b> (;	*		:=:	+
				30.73		
Automorphis	VAID		1100		00	34
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.7		0		1.3	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	if	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
				985		-
Capacity (veh/h)		20	77		0.011	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s)	γ.					0
EL BALL ODITOL DIGISA (C)			-	8.7	7.4	
				A	Α	٨
HCM Lane LOS HCM 95th %tile Q(veh		<b>.</b>	•	A 0	A 0	A

·						
Intersection	سينا					47
Int Delay, s/veh	3.9					
Mayomont	EDI	EDT	MOT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	VVDK		ODK
Lane Configurations		4	<u>}</u>		¥	_
Traffic Vol, veh/h	14	14	7	0	0	8
Future Vol, veh/h	14	14	7	0	0	8
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	1976	None	-	None	7	None
Storage Length	3.5				0	<b>.</b>
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	0		0	
Grade, %	7.00	0	0	:=:	0	=
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	17	17	9	0	0	10
MATTER TOTAL		- 11				
Description						
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	9	0		0	60	9
Stage 1	187				9	
Stage 2			-	-	51	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12		T   a		6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	4.12				5.42	0.22
					5.42	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	2 210	:25		_	3.518	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218		_	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1611				947	1073
Stage 1			-	-	1014	
Stage 2	101			1.0	971	
Platoon blocked, %			-	: <del>.</del>		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1611				937	1073
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	(c=0)	-	-	( <b></b>	937	5.
Stage 1					1003	- 2
Stage 2	70-7				971	-
Ciago Z					J, 1	- C.
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	3.6		0		8.4	
HCM LOS					Α	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111						
E-216			110741242	N/Weller	7.000	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	ıt	EBL	EBT	WBT		SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)		1611	-	7.5		1073
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.011	- 4	72	-	0.009
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.3	0	174		8.4
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	-		Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	0	2	112	16	
	,	1.51				

Intersection					1,-15	1
Int Delay, s/veh	0.2					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	W	WOR	1401	HOIN	CUL	4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	3	0	68	2	0	61
Future Vol, veh/h	3	0	68	2	0	61
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized		None	- Tiee	None	-	None
	- 0					None -
Storage Length			0	-		0
Veh in Median Storage		=	0	•	77	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	- 00	- 00	
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	4	0	83	2	0	74
Major/Minor	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	158	84	0	0	85	0
Stage 1	84	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	74	-				
	6.42	6.22			4.12	
Critical Hdwy	5.42			-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	J.F.			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	2 240	(#		2 210	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518		1.5		2.218	•
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	833	975	1,51	-	1512	7
Stage 1	939		1,70	•	7.	•
Stage 2	949		11.75	- 5	70	
Platoon blocked, %			180			•
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	833	975		- 1	1512	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	833	-				
Stage 1	939			15	52/	-
Stage 2	949			150	-	-
Annragah	WB	-	NB		SB	
Approach						
HCM Control Delay, s	9.3		0		0	
HCM LOS	Α					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBR	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		12		833	1512	ш
HCM Lane V/C Ratio				0.004	1012	
HCM Control Delay (s)	V ===	-		9.3		-
HCM Lane LOS				A	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1	1140	-	0	0	
TION SOUT YOUR CA (VOIL	1			U	U	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	VVDL	WEIN	1	Men	UDL	4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	4	4	58	7	7	60
	4	4	58	7	7	60
Future Vol, veh/h		0		0	0	00
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	O Ctop		0		Free	Free
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free		
RT Channelized	-	None	19	None		None
Storage Length	0			) <del>.</del>	7.	•
Veh in Median Storage			0		- 1	0
Grade, %	0		0		7.	0
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	5	5	71	9	9	73
MajorMines	Minord		Aniors		Major2	
	Minor1		Major1			^
Conflicting Flow All	167	76	0	0	80	0
Stage 1	76	-	1.8		- 8	
Stage 2	91		-		-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	1/5		4.12	- 5
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42		•	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42					
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	7.	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	823	985		-	1518	
Stage 1	947	-	-	7.7	-	-
Stage 2	933	-	-	-		-
Platoon blocked, %						
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	818	985			1518	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	818	900			-	
	947		-	-		
Stage 1			=		- 7	
Stage 2	927		-			
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1		0		0.8	11
HCM LOS	A				217	
TOW LOO						
			100		-	7.000
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	ıt	NBT	NBR	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	£		1518	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		=	-	0.011	0.006	#
HCM Control Delay (s)		47	8	9.1	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		120	4	Α	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	)	141		0	0	*
					- 8	

Intersection				- 2		
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
		VVDR	T-	NON	ODL	4
Lane Configurations	4	A	61	7	7	<b>4</b> 57
Traffic Vol, veh/h		4	61	7	7	57
Future Vol, veh/h	4					0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	•	None	-	
Storage Length	0	- 5			•	-
Veh in Median Storage			0	- 5		0
Grade, %	0		0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	82	82	82	82	82	82
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	5	5	74	9	9	70
Major/Mines	dinord	1	Aniors		Majora	-
Contrary Continues and Contrary Contrar	Vinor1		Major1		Major2	^
Conflicting Flow All	167	79	0	0	83	0
Stage 1	79	•	= 10=	7		•
Stage 2	88	-	) es			-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	150	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42		() <del>g</del> _i	-	7	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42		-	15)		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	Ŋ.	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	823	981	15		1514	
Stage 1	944		Ŋ.	-	-	-
Stage 2	935		U.S.	-	50	-
Platoon blocked, %			17:			- 4
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	818	981			1514	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	818	-	-		-	-
Stage 1	944					
	929	120	-	1874		
Stage 2	323		1,5		<u></u>	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
			0		0.8	
HCM Control Delay s	9.1				200	
HCM LOS	9.1 A					
HCM LOS	9.1 A					
HCM LOS	Α	LIPAN		Markey	OFN	007
HCM LOS  Minor Lane/Major Mym	Α	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
HCM LOS  Minor Lane/Major Mvm Capacity (veh/h)	Α	NBT -	NBRV	892	1514	SBT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	A t		NBRV	892 0.011	1514 0.006	#
Minor Lane/Major Mvm Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s)	A t		NBRV	892 0.011 9.1	1514 0.006 7.4	- 0
Minor Lane/Major Mvm Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	A t	=	NBRV	892 0.011	1514 0.006	#

# Appendix E Queue Reports

#### Intersection: 1: 10th Street & Theater Ln

Movement	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	71	33	26
Average Queue (ft)	33	7	1
95th Queue (ft)	63	28	12
Link Distance (ft)	5211	2170	1823
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

#### Intersection: 2: 10th Street & E Punkin Center Rd

Movement	WB	NB
Directions Served	LT	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	3	49
Average Queue (ft)	0	23
95th Queue (ft)	8	47
Link Distance (ft)	2029	2476
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

#### Intersection: 3: US-395 & E Punkin Center Rd

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	Т	TR	L	T	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	85	135	76	126	74	200	176	172	156	177	
Average Queue (ft)	35	47	28	46	27	106	90	69	59	71	
95th Queue (ft)	71	98	62	94	58	(171)	157	130	119	137	
Link Distance (ft)		2622		5458		1497	1497		2606	2606	
Upstream Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	175	THE RES	175		225			225			
Storage Blk Time (%)		0		0		0		0	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		0		0		0	0		

#### Intersection: 4: US-395 & Theater Ln

EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
L	TR	L	TR	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	
113	183	109	171	200	296	285	141	269	238	
33	77	40	66	64	136	121	52	136	110	
78	141	87	123	(131	237	229	108	232	209	
	1596		5211		1375	1375		1080	1080	
135		145		230			220			
0	2		1		1			1		
0	1		0		1			1		
	113 33 78 135	L TR 113 183 33 77 78 141 1596	L TR L 113 183 109 33 77 40 78 141 87 1596	L TR L TR 113 183 109 171 33 77 40 66 78 141 87 123 1596 5211  135 145 0 2 1	L TR L TR L  113 183 109 171 200  33 77 40 66 64  78 141 87 123 131  1596 5211  135 145 230  0 2 1	L TR L TR L T 113 183 109 171 200 296 33 77 40 66 64 136 78 141 87 123 131 237 1596 5211 1375 135 145 230 0 2 1 1	L TR L TR L T TR  113 183 109 171 200 296 285 33 77 40 66 64 136 121 78 141 87 123 131 237 229 1596 5211 1375  135 145 230 0 2 1 1	L TR L TR L T TR L  113 183 109 171 200 296 285 141  33 77 40 66 64 136 121 52  78 141 87 123 131 237 229 108  1596 5211 1375 1375  135 145 230 220  0 2 1 1	L TR L TR L T TR L T TR L T TR 113 183 109 171 200 296 285 141 269 33 77 40 66 64 136 121 52 136 78 141 87 123 131 237 229 108 232 1596 5211 1375 1375 1080	L TR L TR L T TR L T TR L T TR 113 183 109 171 200 296 285 141 269 238 33 77 40 66 64 136 121 52 136 110 78 141 87 123 131 237 229 108 232 209 1596 5211 1375 1375 1080 1080 1080 135 145 230 220 200 2 1 1 1 1 1

#### Intersection: 8: 10th Street & Church Access

Movement	
Directions Served	
Maximum Queue (ft)	
Average Queue (ft)	
95th Queue (ft)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

#### **Network Summary**

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 4

#### Intersection: 1: 10th Street & Theater Ln

Movement	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	LTR	LTR	LTR
Maximum Queue (ft)	79	35	31
Average Queue (ft)	40	11	3
95th Queue (ft)	69	36	18
Link Distance (ft)	5211	591	1824
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

#### Intersection: 2: 10th Street & E Punkin Center Rd

Movement	EB	WB	NB
Directions Served	TR	LT	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	2	18	82
Average Queue (ft)	0	1	31
95th Queue (ft)	2	9	60
Link Distance (ft)	5458	2028	1351
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (ft)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

#### Intersection: 3: US-395 & E Punkin Center Rd

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	Τ	TR	L	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	108	135	102	156	82	202	198	188	197	199	
Average Queue (ft)	38	46	30	54	28	109	94	82	67	79	
95th Queue (ft)	81	102	72	111	62	173	165	147	136	153	
Link Distance (ft)		2622		5458		1497	1497		2606	2606	
Upstream Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	175		175		225			225			
Storage Blk Time (%)		0		0		0		0	0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		0		0		0		0	0		

#### Intersection: 4: US-395 & Theater Ln

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	TR	L	TR	L	Т	TR	L	Т	TR	
Maximum Queue (ft)	111	181	119	143	144	279	260	155	297	285	
Average Queue (ft)	31	79	43	68	62	140	126	53	149	125	
95th Queue (ft)	74	147	88	122	114	237	229	109	253	233	
Link Distance (ft)		1596		5211		1375	1375		1080	1080	
Upstream Blk Time (%)											
Queuing Penalty (veh)											
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	135		145		230			220			
Storage Blk Time (%)		2	0	0		1			2		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		1	0	0		1			2		

#### Intersection: 5: First Access & 10th Street

Movement	WB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	24
Average Queue (ft)	8	1
95th Queue (ft)	30	12
Link Distance (ft)	495	1351
Upstream Blk Time (%)	St. 11 12 1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

#### Intersection: 6: 10th Street & Second Access

Movement	WB	SB			
Directions Served	LR	LT			
Maximum Queue (ft)	33	22			
Average Queue (ft)	7	1			
95th Queue (ft)	28	10			
Link Distance (ft)	514	153			
Upstream Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					
Storage Bay Dist (ft)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

#### Intersection: 7: Theater Ln & Theater Lane Access

Movement	SB
Directions Served	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	31
Average Queue (ft)	7
95th Queue (ft)	28
Link Distance (ft)	588
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

#### Intersection: 8: 10th Street & Church Access

Movement	WB
Directions Served	LR
Maximum Queue (ft)	31
Average Queue (ft)	3
95th Queue (ft)	17
Link Distance (ft)	243
Upstream Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	
Storage Bay Dist (ft)	
Storage Blk Time (%)	
Queuing Penalty (veh)	

#### Intersection: 9: 10th Street & Third Access

Movement	WB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	33	6
Average Queue (ft)	9	0
95th Queue (ft)	33	5
Link Distance (ft)	412	213
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

### Intersection: 10: 10th Street & Fourth Access

Movement	WB	SB
Directions Served	LR	LT
Maximum Queue (ft)	31	8
Average Queue (ft)	8	0
95th Queue (ft)	29	5
Link Distance (ft)	428	293
Upstream Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		
Storage Bay Dist (ft)		
Storage Blk Time (%)		
Queuing Penalty (veh)		

#### **Network Summary**

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5

# Appendix F Collision Rate Calculations and Data

#### 3. US-395 / Punkin Center Rd

Intersection:	US-395 / Punkin Center R	Date	1/30/2024					
Average Daily cars passing Through intersection								
	PM Peak Hour	NB	8095					
	Movement Counts	SB	9951					
		EB	1960					
		WB	1937					
		ADT	21943					
Millions	40.045975							

### Accident Rate

Number of accidents = Number of years =	14 5
Accident Rate =	0.35

Accident Rate Goal: Less than 1.0 per MEV  $\,$ 

ADT = 2023 PM Count X 10 PM Peak Hour= Approx. 10% ADT MEV = Million Entering Vehicles

#### 4. US-395 / Theater Ln Road

Intersection:	US-395 / Theater Ln Road	Date	1/30/2024					
Average Daily cars passing Through intersection								
	PM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour NB						
	Movement Counts	Movement Counts SB						
		EB	2156					
		WB	1684					
		ADT	21493					
Millions	39.224725							

### **Accident Rate**

Number of accidents =	12
Number of years =	5
Accident Rate =	0.31

Accident Rate Goal: Less than  $1.0\ per\ MEV$ 

ADT = 2023 PM Count X 10 PM Peak Hour= Approx. 10% ADT MEV = Million Entering Vehicles

Гзие	Theater	./	966-SU	
------	---------	----	--------	--

Highway 054 ALL ROAD TYPES, 01/01/2018 to 12/31/2022

DS-395 / Punkin Center Rd

CRASH_SVR_VHCL_MVMN TY_SHORT_ T_SHORT_D DESC ESC	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	TURN-L	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	TURN-R	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	STRGHT	TURN-L	STRGHT							
P CRASH_SVR NE TY_SHORT_ DESC	<u>Z</u>	PDO	N	N	ΓNI	PDO	N	PDO	PDO	PDO	PDO	PDO	Z	Z	Z	Z	PDO	N	PDO	PDO	<u>S</u>	FAT	Z	Z	<u>Z</u>	PDO
COLLIS_TYP SHORT_DE SC	REAR	REAR	REAR	REAR	TURN	ANGL	ANGL	TURN	ANGL	TURN	ANGL	ANGL	PED	REAR	ANGL	ANGL	ANGL	TURN	ANGL	TURN	ANGL	TURN	TURN	TURN	TURN	TURN
RD_CHAR_S CRASH_TYP HORT_DESC_SHORT_DE SC	S-1STOP	S-1TURN	S-1STOP	S-1STOP	O-1 L-TURN	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	O-1 L-TURN	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	PED	S-1STOP	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	O-OTHER	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	ANGL-OTH	O-1 L-TURN	O-1 L-TURN	O-1 L-TURN	ANGL-OTH	0-1 L-TURN
RD_CHAR_S HORT_DESC	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER	INTER
ST_NM ISECT_ST_N M	.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 1ST ST THEATER LN INTER	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	4.33 THEATER LN 1ST ST	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79	3.79
MED_ MP_NO	ILLA- 4		_	o													_									
_NO HWY_MED_ NM	054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA-	STANFIELD 054 UMATILLA- STANFIELD
CITY_SECTURB_AREAHWY_NO NMSHORT_NM	HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON	UA HERMSTON UA										
CITY_SECT_ NM	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston	Hermiston														
INVSTG AG CRASH_DT CNTY_NM Y_SHORT_D ESC	12/21/2018 Umatilla	12/21/2018 Umatilla	11/12/2019 Umatilla	6/10/2021 Umatilla	3/2/2020 Umatilla	9/15/2020 Umatilla	1/18/2022 Umatilla	1/19/2018 Umatilla	5/15/2021 Umatilla	11/30/2018 Umatilla	1/30/2019 Umatilla	3/17/2019 Umatilla	1/20/2019 Umatilla	10/10/2019 Umatilla	3/11/2018 Umatilla	7/1/2018 Umatilla	10/21/2018 Umatilla	9/6/2021 Umatilla	9/21/2018 Umatilla	2/17/2018 Umatilla	5/22/2021 Umatilla	12/6/2019 Umatilla	1/20/2020 Umatilla	2/8/2020 Umatilla	5/22/2021 Umatilla	2/23/2022 Umatilla
INVSTG_AG Y_SHORT_D ESC	CITY	NONE	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	CITY	STATE	COUNTY	COUNTY	COUNTY	STATE	CITY	STATE	NO RPT	NONE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE	STATE
CRASH_ID SER_NO	1795745 00967	1815334 00971	1841586 00899	1936520 00432	1880479 00208	1902527 00629	1969872 00049	1803532 00078	1955168 00343	1815689 00916	1857889 00059	1860392 00232	1839984 00037	1842026 00786	1777841 00172	1783752 00469	1815920 00780	1931867 00675	1805899 00699	1803676 00116	1931985 00369	1816898 00974	1877076 00042	1878310 00136	1932302 00370	1986227 00165
					əut	iter La	зәчт /	968-	sn									ьЯ те	Cente	uiyun	러 / 96	e-sn				

# Appendix G In-Process Project Trips



September 8, 2023

MonteVista Homes Attention: Jarred C. Corbell, PE 389 SW Scalehouse Court, Suite 110 Bend, Oregon 97702

Re: MonteVista Residential Development – Hermiston, Oregon

Transportation Analysis

C&A Project Number 20230901.00

Dear Mr. Corbell,

This transportation analysis supports the proposed 250-unit single-family residential development in Hermiston, Oregon on property identified as tax lot 500 on Umatilla County Assessor's map 4N2802A. The property is approximately 51.93 acres and is currently vacant. The proposed development is inside the City of Hermiston Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) and the City limits and is an allowed use in the existing Multi-family Residential (R-3) zone designation.

Per the correspondence you have provided, the City of Hermiston planning staff has indicated a detailed traffic impact study is not necessary if the applicant demonstrates that the proposed development will not change the functional classification of E Theater Lane (classified as a *Minor Collector* roadway), noting that the Hermiston Transportation System Plan (TSP) states that *Collector* roadways are designed to accommodate 1,200 – 5,000 average daily trips (ADT).

Based on the traffic volume data contained in the October 9, 2020 transportation analysis prepared for the new Hermiston Elementary School located in the northwest corner of NE 10<sup>th</sup> Street/E Theater Lane intersection, the average daily traffic volumes on E Theater Lane are less than 2,000 ADT. Based on data contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual*, 11<sup>th</sup> Edition, and practices from the ITE *Trip Generation Handbook*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, the proposed 250-unit single-family residential development is anticipated to generate 2,344 ADT. Conservatively assuming all development traffic travels on E Theater Lane, the resulting total roadway volumes will be less than 4,500 ADT.


It is further noted the proposed development is consistent with the existing R-3 zone designation and assumptions contained in the Hermiston TSP. As such, it is anticipated the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the design capacity of a *Collector* roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the proposed development will not exceed the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the collector roadway and a detailed traffic impactable in the col

Sincerely,

Christopher M. Clemow, PE, PTOE

**Transportation Engineer** 

2237 NW Torrey Pines Drive, Bend, Oregon 97703 | 541-579-8315 | cclemow@clemow-associates.com



# UMATILLA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HEARING – MARCH 12, 2025 UMATILLA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE TEXT AMENDMENT #T-097-24

## JIM WHITNEY, APPLICANT PACKET CONTENT LIST

1.	Staff Memo to Board of County Commissioners	Page 3
2.	Staff Report & Preliminary Findings	Pages 5-10
3.	Proposed Text Amendment	Pages 11-15



### PLANNING DIVISION

216 SE 4<sup>th</sup> ST, Pendleton, OR 97801, (541) 278-6252 Email: planning@umatillacounty.gov

#### **Community Development**

**COMMUNITY &** BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

#### **MEMO**

LAND USE PLANNING. **ZONING AND** 

**Umatilla County Board of Commissioners** 

PERMITTING

Megan Davchevski, Planning Division Manager

FROM: DATE:

TO:

January 16, 2025

CODE **ENFORCEMENT** 

RE: March 12, 2025 Board of Commissioner Hearing

SOLID WASTE COMMITTEE

**EFU Home Occupation Text Amendment Request** 

SMOKE MANAGEMENT Umatilla County Development Code Text Amendment #T-094-24

Jim Whitney, Applicant

GIS AND MAPPING

#### **Background Information**

RURAL ADDRESSING The applicant is requesting that Umatilla County adopt a permit path and criteria for establishing commercial gatherings and weddings as a Home Occupation in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. The County's current Home Occupation standards have a limitation of no more than 10 parking spaces, which currently limits the number of people that can be on site. The applicant requests to amend UCDC 152.617(H) to allow for a subsection of Home Occupations, Host Commercial Gatherings and Weddings. The applicant has worked with County Planning Staff to develop language for criteria of approval. The proposed language includes statutory requirements, such as the limited number of employees, as well as other language specific to the proposed use.

LIAISON, NATURAL **RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT** 

PUBLIC TRANSIT

#### Criteria of Approval

The criteria of approval for amendments are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750-152.755. Applicable Statewide Planning Goals 1-14 have also been evaluated.

#### Conclusion

This matter is a legislative matter because it proposes to amend the text of the Umatilla County Development Code. Therefore, the County has the authority to consider and approve the text amendment.

The process of approval by the County involves review by the County Planning Commission with a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC). At the February 23, 2025 Planning Commission hearing, the Planning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the proposed text amendment with a vote of 6-0.

## UMATILLA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS PRELIMINARY FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW TEXT AMENDMENT, #T-097-24

## AMENDMENT OF UMATILLA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE, AMENDING LANGUAGE REGARDING HOME OCCUPATIONS TO ALLOW WEDDINGS AND COMMERCIAL GATHERINGS IN THE EXCLUSIVE FARM USE ZONE

#### 1. Request

Applicant, Jim Whitney, is requesting an amendment to Umatilla County Development Code (UCDC) Section 152.617(H) Home Occupations/Cottage Industry.

#### 2. Procedural Matters

#### A. Categorization of this Matter

This matter is a legislative matter because it proposes to amend the text of the UCDC in a manner that will amend Section 152.617(H) Home Occupations/Cottage Industries in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone.

#### B. Post-Acknowledgment Amendment

This legislative amendment is an amendment to the County's acknowledged 1983 Zoning Ordinance. ORS 197.610(1) and OAR 660-018-0020(1) require that the County provide notice to the Director of the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development ("DLCD") at least 35 days prior to the initial evidentiary hearing. The County provided the 35-day notice to DLCD through DLCD's PAPA online portal on December 19, 2024. The County has satisfied ORS 197.610(1) and OAR 660-018-0020(1) by submitting the post-acknowledgement amendment notice so that it arrived at the office of the Director of DLCD at least 35 days prior to the initial evidentiary hearing.

UCDC 152.771(B) requires the County provide a legal notice for the Planning Commission hearing January 23, 2025 and Board of Commissioners hearing March 12, 2025 by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the County at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the first hearing. The notice was published in the *East Oregonian* newspaper on January 8, 2025. The Board hearing was originally scheduled for March 5, 2025 but was later rescheduled; the March 5<sup>th</sup> hearing was open, the hearing continued to March 12<sup>th</sup>, and then the March 5<sup>th</sup> hearing was closed.

The County has satisfied the post-acknowledgement amendment notice required by ORS 197.610(1) and OAR Chapter 660-018-0020(1) and the legal notice of hearing publication in UCDC 152.771(B).

#### C. Procedure

UCDC 152.752 is entitled "Public Hearings on Amendments." This section provides, in relevant part:

"The Planning Commission shall conduct a public hearing on the proposed amendment according to the procedures in section 152.771 of this Chapter at its earliest practicable meeting after it is proposed. The

decision of the Planning Commission shall be final unless appealed, except in the case where the amendment is to the text of this Chapter, then the Planning Commission shall forward its recommendation to the Board of Commissioners for final action."

The County will hold two (2) hearings for this legislative amendment, one (1) before the Planning Commission and one (1) before the Board of Commissioners.

Additionally, UCDC 152.771(A)(1) provides that a public hearing is required for legislative amendments. The procedures and requirements for a quasi-judicial hearing are not applicable to this hearing. Therefore, UCDC 152.772, which applies to quasi-judicial hearings, is not applicable to this legislative proceeding.

#### 3. Approval Criteria

UCDC 152.751 requires that an amendment to the text of the UCDC shall comply with provisions of the Umatilla County Comprehensive Plan (the "Plan"), the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (the "TPR"), OAR Chapter 660, division 12, and the Umatilla County Transportation Plan ("Transportation Plan"). The County also finds that because this text amendment is a post-acknowledgment amendment, ORS 197.175(1) requires that the Plan and Map amendment satisfy applicable Statewide Planning Goals (the "Goals") and other applicable administrative rules. The County finds that the UCDC does not contain substantive standards for an amendment to the UCDC text. The remainder of this section addresses the applicable approval criteria.

This UCDC provision sets forth the approval requirements for amendment to the text of the UCDC. This section requires that an amendment satisfy the Plan and the Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (the "TPR"), OAR 660, Division 12, as well as the Umatilla County Transportation Plan.

The County finds this request is to amend the text of the UCDC, specifically to amend Section 152.617(H) Home Occupations/Cottage Industry in the Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) Zone. The TPR, OAR 660-012-0060 (1)-(3), is not implicated by this text amendment and further analysis of the Oregon Transportation Plan and Umatilla County requirements in 152.019 are not required.

**Finding:** The County finds that UCDC 152.751 is satisfied.

#### A. Applicable Statewide Planning Goals

Goal 1 Citizen Involvement: To develop a citizen involvement program that insures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process.

The Umatilla County Comprehensive Plan and Development Code outline the County's citizen involvement program that includes the activities of the Planning Commission and provides for the public hearing process with its required notice provisions. These notice provisions provide for adjoining and affected property owner notice; notice to interested local, state and federal agencies; and allows for public comment to the process.

Goal 2 Planning: To establish a land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land and to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.

Goal 2 establishes the underlining process that a county or a city needs to utilize when considering changes to their comprehensive plans and development codes. This text amendment is being requested under the Umatilla County Development Code provisions that apply to amendments, meeting the intent of Goal 2.

Goal 3 Agricultural Lands: To preserve and maintain agricultural lands.

Goal 3 requires counties to preserve and maintain agricultural lands for farm uses. Counties must inventory agricultural lands and protect them by adopting exclusive farm use zones consistent with Oregon Revised Statute 215.203 et. seq. Goal 3 also applies to mixed farm/forest zones, such as Umatilla County's Grazing/Farm (GF) zone. ORS 215 permits Home Occupations in the Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) Zone. The proposed text amendment provides specific standards for permitting weddings and commercial gatherings in the EFU zone, ensuring compliance with Goal 3. Umatilla County finds the proposed text amendment complies with Goal 3.

Goal 4 Forest Lands: To conserve forest lands by maintaining the forest land base and to protect the state's forest economy by making possible economically efficient forest practices that assure the continuous growing and harvesting of forest tree species as the leading use on forest land consistent with sound management of soil, air, water, and fish and wildlife resources and to provide for recreational opportunities and agriculture.

Goal 4 addresses the protection of forest lands. Goal 4 applies to this application and to the County mixed farm/forest GF zone. The proposed text amendment would not apply to forest lands; thus it complies with Goal 4.

Goal 5 Open Spaces, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Natural Resources: To protect natural resources and conserve scenic and historic areas and open spaces.

Goal 5 addresses natural, historical and cultural resources with a focus on protecting sites. Goal 5 is not impacted by this request.

Goal 6 Air, Water and Land Resources Quality: To maintain and improve the quality of the air, water and land resources of the state.

Goal 6 addresses the quality of air, water, and land resources. In the context of comprehensive plan amendments, a local government complies with Goal 6 by explaining why it is reasonable to expect that the proposed uses authorized by the plan amendment will be able to satisfy applicable federal and state environmental standards, including air and water quality standards.

The proposed text amendment does not seek approval of a specific development but seeks to allow the opportunity for commercial gatherings and weddings as a Home Occupation in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. Specific development criteria have been drafted in the proposed text amendment language and will be applied at the time an applicant requests Home Occupation approval.

Goal 7 Areas Subject to Natural Hazards and Disasters: To protect people and property from natural hazards.

Goal 7 works to address natural hazards and disasters, and through a comprehensive plan amendment process, would seek to determine if there are known natural hazards and seek to mitigate concerns. Natural hazards would be considered as part of the land use processes that would be completed during the conditional use permit process and are not considered for this text amendment application.

Goal 8 Recreation Needs: To satisfy the recreational needs of the citizens of the state and visitors and, where appropriate, to provide for the siting of necessary recreational facilities including destination resorts.

No recreation components are included in this application.

Goal 9 Economy: To provide adequate opportunities throughout the state for a variety of economic activities vital to the health, welfare, and prosperity of Oregon's citizens.

Goal 9 requires local governments to adopt comprehensive plans and policies that contribute to a stable and healthy economy. The proposed amendment to add Home Occupations/Cottage Industry to lands within the Exclusive Farm Use Zone foster this goal by allowing landowners to diversify their small businesses and farming operations to allow weddings and gatherings.

Goal 10 Housing: To provide for the housing needs of citizens of the state.

Housing is not a direct consideration as part of this application.

Goal 11 Public Services: To plan and develop a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development.

Goal 11 requires local governments to plan and develop a timely, orderly, and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services. The goal provides that urban and rural development be guided and supported by types and levels of services appropriate for, but limited to, the needs and requirements of the area to be served. Goal 11 is not a direct consideration of this amendment request.

Goal 12 Transportation: To provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system.

Goal 12 requires local governments to provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system, implemented through the Transportation Planning Rule. Although Goal 12 is not a direct consideration of this amendment request, traffic impacts will be considered at the time of a Conditional Use Request and the proposed parking limitations will limit potential impacts.

Goal 13 Energy: To conserve energy.

Goal 13 directs local jurisdictions to manage and control land and uses developed on the land to maximize the conservation of all forms of energy, based on sound economic principles.

Goal 14 Urbanization: To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide for livable communities.

Goal 14 prohibits urban uses on rural lands. Goal 14 is not a direct consideration of this amendment request. Umatilla County finds the proposed Home Occupations/Cottage Industry uses are rural uses, are in character and scope of rural uses and do not implicate Goal 14.

**Finding:** Umatilla County has evaluated Statewide Planning Goals 1-14. The other five goals, 15-19, are not applicable to this application request. Umatilla County finds the goals that are applicable have been satisfied.

#### **B.** Applicable Oregon Administrative Rules

**Finding:** The County finds that there are no Oregon Administrative Rules (OARs) applicable to this request.

#### C. Applicable Plan Policies

The Umatilla County Comprehensive Plan includes the following provisions that are supportive of this application:

#### (a) Chapter 4, "The Planning Process"

Finding 6: "Other public agencies (e.g. state, federal, county, special district, city) have jurisdiction and /or management responsibilities for land in the County."

Policy 6: "To insure public agency involvement, the County will endeavor to notify affected agencies through the processes outlined in the Comprehensive Plan and Development Code."

**Finding:** The County finds this policy is satisfied where the County coordinated with affected governmental entities in providing notice of the Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners' hearings on the text amendment. Coordination requires that affected governmental entities be provided with the proposed text amendment, given a reasonable opportunity to comment, and that the County incorporate comments as much as is reasonable.

The County finds that this policy is satisfied.

#### (b) Chapter 5, "Citizen Involvement"

(1) Policy 1: "Provide information to the public on planning issues and programs, and encourage citizen input to planning efforts."

**Finding**: The County finds Chapter 5, Policy 1, is satisfied because notice of the Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners' hearings are in a newspaper of County-wide circulation and there are two (2) *de novo* hearings where the public may testify on the proposed text amendment.

The County finds that this policy is satisfied.

(2) Policy 5: "Through appropriate media, encourage those County residents' participation during both city and County deliberation proceedings."

**Finding**: The County finds, as explained above, the publication of notice of the Planning Commission hearing and the Board of Commissioners' hearing in a newspaper of County-wide circulation fulfills this requirement.

The County finds that this policy is satisfied.

#### (c) Chapter 6, "Agriculture"

(1) Policy 8: "The non-farm uses allowed in ORS 215.283 exist in the county and new ones can be accommodated without major conflict in most of the county's agricultural regions."

**Finding**: The County finds that State Statute provides allowances for non-farm uses to be sited in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. Home Occupations are an allowed use under ORS 215.283, the applicant is requesting the County to adopt specific standards for hosting commercial weddings and gatherings, while remaining compliant with the restrictions placed on Home Occupations within ORS 215.283. Each proposed site will be evaluated for conflicts with agricultural operations at the time of application.

The County finds that this policy is satisfied.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

For the reasons contained herein, the County finds the applicable approval criteria for the text amendment have been satisfied and the proposed text amendment to allow weddings and gatherings in the Exclusive Farm Use zone as a Home Occupation can be approved.

DATED this	day of	, 2025.
UMATILLA CO	UNTY BOARD O	F COMMISSIONERS
John M. Shafer, O	Commissioner	
Celinda A. Timm	ons, Commissione	 2r
Daniel N. Dorran	, Commissioner	

Note: Proposed text changes are shown in a "Mark Up" format with the original text to be removed shown in strikethrough and added text provided in **bold and underlined**. Text shown in **red** is entirely new criteria unique to the applicant's request and is shown for comparison.

UCDC 152.617(H) Home Occupations/Cottage Industry

#### (a)

- (1) The home occupation/cottage industry shall be secondary to the main use of the property as a residence and shall be operated by the resident or employee of a resident of the property on which the business is located;
- (2) The home occupation/cottage industry must be operated completely within the dwelling or in other buildings normally associated with uses permitted within the zone in which the property is located;
- (3) The home occupation/cottage industry shall not interfere with other uses permitted in the zone in which the property is located;
- (4) There shall be no more than five people employed, including both full and part time employees;
- (5) No structural alterations shall be allowed to accommodate the home occupation/cottage industry except when otherwise required by law, and then only after the plans for such alterations have been reviewed and approved. Such structural alterations shall not detract from the outward appearance of buildings as an accessory structure to a residence;

- (6) No materials or mechanical equipment shall be used which will be detrimental to the residential use of the property or adjoining residences because of vibration, noise, dust, smoke, odor, interference with radio or television reception, or other factors;
- (7) Retail sales shall be limited or accessory to a service;
- (8) Outside storage of materials, equipment or products related to the home occupation/cottage industry shall not be allowed;
- (9) There shall be no display other than a Type 2 sign that will indicate from the exterior that the building is used in whole or part for any purpose other than a dwelling;
- (10) A home occupation/cottage industry approved under this division shall be reviewed after one year for compliance with the above conditions and each subsequent year that the home occupation/cottage industry exists.
- (11) The existence of a home occupation/ cottage industry shall not be used as justification for any future zone change.
- (12) No materials or commodities shall be delivered to or from the property which are of such bulk or quantity as to require delivery by a commercial vehicle a trailer or the parking of customer vehicles in a manner of frequency as to cause disturbance or inconvenience to nearby residents or so as to necessitate off street parking;
- (13) Customers visiting the home occupation/cottage industry must use

an approved off-street parking area. No more than 10 vehicles from customers/visitors of the home occupation/cottage industry can be present at any given time on the subject parcel. All off-street parking must be provided on the subject parcel where the home occupation/cottage industry is operated. Parking on public roads or easements must not occur at any time.

(14) A property line adjustment may not be approved where the adjustment would separate a home occupation from the dwelling on the parcel.

## (b) Home Occupation to Host Commercial Gatherings and Weddings in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone

- (1) This section is not intended to apply to events hosted at such public gathering places as churches, community centers, grange halls, or schools, or similar structures; or to events hosted by non-profit organizations for charitable purposes. Nor is this section intended to apply to events covered by the State's Mass Gathering Statute (ORS 433.735 433.770). An activity carried on in conjunction with a marijuana crop is prohibited.
- (2) The subject property shall be a minimum of 4 acres.
- (3) The subject property is zoned Exclusive Farm Use.
- (4) The home occupation shall be secondary to the main use of the property as a residence and shall

- be operated by the resident or employee of a resident of the property on which the business is located;
- (5) The home occupation must be operated substantially within the dwelling or in other buildings normally associated with uses permitted within the zone in which the property is located;
- (6) The home occupation shall not interfere with other uses permitted in the zone in which the property is located;
- (7) There shall be no more than five people employed, including both full and part time employees;
- (8) Only structural alterations required by Oregon State Building Codes may be permitted, except when otherwise required by law, and then only after the plans for such alterations have been reviewed and approved. Such structural alterations shall not detract from the outward appearance of buildings as an accessory structure to a residence;
- (9) No materials or mechanical
  equipment shall be used which will
  be detrimental to the residential
  use of the property or adjoining
  residences because of vibration,
  noise, dust, smoke, odor,
  interference with radio or
  television reception, or other
  factors;

- (10) Retail sales shall be limited or accessory to a service;
- (11) Outside storage of materials,
  equipment or products related to
  the home occupation/cottage
  industry shall not be allowed;
- (12) One Type 2 sign is permissible and;
- (13) One temporary sign may be allowed in addition to an approved Type 2 sign for each event. The sign shall not exceed (8) eight square feet in size and may be placed on the subject property on the day of the wedding or business event and shall be removed within 24 hours of the events' end. Offpremise directional signs are allowed to be placed in permissible locations 24 hours prior to the event.
- (14) Each home occupation is limited to hosting a maximum of 50 events per calendar year. The number of approved events shall be specified in the Conditional Use Permit approval.
- shall be based on the capacity of the subject property and shall be specified in the approval. If the subject property is 4 to 10 acres in size, no more than 100 guests are allowed on the site at any given time. If the subject property is 10 acres or larger, no more than 300 guests are allowed at the site at any given time.

- (16) Subject properties with multiple legally established dwellings may request overnight accommodations for guests within existing dwellings. The home occupation operator must reside in the primary dwelling. Dwellings eligible for overnight guest accommodations shall not have been approved as farm or forest dwellings. Other structures are prohibited from being converted to a dwelling, rooming house or other lodging.
- (17) Hours of operation, including setup and take-down of events, are limited between 7:00am and 10:00pm.
- (18) Lighting shall not project into adjoining properties. Use of stadium-style or other glaring lighting is prohibited. Lighting of accessible paths may be permitted. Exterior lighting is subject to 152.615.
- (19) All gatherings shall comply with
  Umatilla County's Noise
  Ordinance, Chapter 92 of the Code
  of Ordinances.
- (20) Submit an emergency response plan approved by the applicable fire district.
- (21) Home Occupation operator shall ensure that only caterers licensed in the State of Oregon are contracted to provide food and that they possess required Umatilla County Environmental

- Health permits.
- (22) Home Occupation operator shall comply with all requirements of the Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC) and obtain necessary permits, if alcohol is served during a gathering.
- (23) Toilet facilities shall be portable with available hand-sanitizing or hand-washing facilities. Use of onsite septic facilities permitted for residential purposes are not allowed.
- (24) The existence of a home occupation shall not be used as justification for any future zone change.
- (25) No materials or commodities shall be delivered to or from the property which are of such bulk or quantity as to require delivery by a commercial vehicle a trailer or the parking of customer vehicles in a manner of frequency as to cause disturbance or inconvenience to nearby residents or so as to necessitate off street parking;
- occupation must use an approved off-street parking area. No more than 125 vehicles from guests and employees of the home occupation can be present at any given time on the subject parcel. All off-street parking must be provided on the subject parcel where the home occupation is operated. Parking on public roads or easements must not occur at any time. Each parking

- space shall be a minimum of nine feet wide and 20 feet in length.
- (27) Obtain appropriate road approach permits from ODOT or Umatilla County Road Department.
- (28) The application shall contain a detailed site plan and accompanying narrative that address the following:
  - a. Name of Home Occupation business
  - b. Name of Home Occupation operator/resident
  - c. Designated area and existing structures that will be used for gatherings
  - d. <u>Proposed number of gatherings</u> in a calendar year
  - e. Frequency of events
  - f. Maximum number of guests
  - g. Noise policy
  - h. Safety and Insurance policies
  - i. Infrastructure plan how electricity and utilities will be provided
  - j. Parking
  - k. <u>Traffic circulation and access</u> plan approved by the local fire district
  - I. <u>Domestic water supply source</u>
  - m. <u>How food will be provided and</u> <u>served</u>
  - n. Number of portable toilets provided and how handwashing or hand-sanitizing facilities will be provided
- (29) A property line adjustment may not be approved where the adjustment would separate a home occupation from the

#### dwelling on the parcel.

- (30) Sign and record a Covenant Not to Sue.
- (31) Comply with other conditions necessary, as provided in §152.615.
- (32) Comply with EFU Conditional Use Standards, as provided in §152.061.
- (33) At no time shall the Home
  Occupation activities be allowed to
  infringe on nor supersede farm use
  activities on the subject property
  or nearby farming properties.
- (34) A home occupation approved under this division shall be reviewed after one year for compliance with the above conditions and each subsequent year that the home occupation exists.

## **DRAFT MINUTES**

#### CO-ADOPTION OF CITY OF HERMISTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENT #P-138-24:

DENNIS GISI, APPLICANT VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH C/O DAVID M JOHNSON, LARRY J & FLORENCE R BANKSTON AND 3 RIVERS-OREGON PROPERTY LLC, OWNERS

The applicant requests the County co-adopt City Ordinance 2358 amending the comprehensive plan map from urbanizable to urban status for approximately 25 acres located on the north side of E Theater Lane. The City Council also adopted Ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of Ordinance 2358. The criteria of approval are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750 - 152.754 and the Joint Management Agreement between the City and County.

TEXT AMENDMENT #T-097-24, AMENDMENT OF UMATILLA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE, SECTION 152.617(H) HOME OCCUPATIONS/COTTAGE INDUSTRIES IN THE EXCLUSIVE FARM USE ZONE.

The applicant, Jim Whitney, proposes text changes to the Umatilla County Development Code (UCDC) Section 152.617(H), to allow a resident to host commercial gatherings and weddings as Home Occupations in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. The criteria of approval for amendments are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750-152.755.

UMATILLA COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING
January 23, 2025

## DRAFT MINUTES UMATILLA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION Meeting of Thursday, January 23, 2025, 6:30pm

**COMMISSIONERS** 

**PRESENT:** Suni Danforth, Chair, Sam Tucker, Vice Chair, John Standley, Malcolm

Millar, Ann Minton and Andrew Morris

**COMMISSIONER** 

PRESENT VIA ZOOM: Tami Green

**COMMISSIONERS** 

**ABSENT:** Kim Gillet and Emery Gentry

PLANNING STAFF: Megan Davchevski, Planning Manager, Tierney Cimmiyotti, Planner, Charlet

Hotchkiss, Planner, and Shawnna Van Sickle, Administrative Assistant

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE MEETING. RECORDING IS AVAILABLE AT THE PLANNING OFFICE.

#### CALL TO ORDER

Chair Suni Danforth called the meeting to order at 6:32PM and read the Opening Statement.

#### **MINUTES**

Chair Danforth called for any corrections or additions to the December 19, 2024 meeting minutes. No additions nor corrections were noted.

Commissioner Morris moved to approve the draft minutes from the December 19, 2024 meeting minutes, as presented. Commissioner Standley seconded the motion. Motion carried by consensus.

#### **NEW HEARING**

CO-ADOPTION OF CITY OF HERMISTON COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENT #P-138-24: DENNIS GISI, APPLICANT/ VICTORY LIGHTHOUSE CHURCH C/O DAVID M JOHNSON, LARRY J & FLORENCE R BANKSTON, AND 3 RIVERS-OREGON PROPERTY LLC, OWNERS. The applicant requests the County co-adopt City Ordinance 2358 amending the comprehensive plan map from urbanizable to urban status for approximately 25 acres located on the north side of E Theater Lane. The City Council also adopted Ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of Ordinance 2358. The criteria of approval are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750 - 152.754 and the Joint Management Agreement between the City and County.

Chair Danforth called for any abstentions, bias, conflicts of interest, declarations of ex parte contact or objections to jurisdiction. No reports were made.

Chair Danforth called for the Staff Report.

#### STAFF REPORT

Ms. Tierney Cimmiyotti, Planner, stated that on July 8, 2024, Hermiston City Council adopted Ordinance 2358, amending the Comprehensive Plan Map from "Urbanizable" to "Urban" for approximately 25 acres located on the north side of E Theater Lane. The City Council also adopted Ordinance 2359 annexing said property effective upon co-adoption of Ordinance 2358.

Ms. Cimmiyotti explained, the City of Hermiston Joint Management Agreement (JMA) Section E (10) requires Comprehensive Plan Amendments applicable in the Urban Growth Area to be processed by the City. The JMA requires amendments to be adopted by ordinance, first by the City, then to the County for co-adoption review. She stated the Hermiston City Council held a public hearing on July 8, 2024 and approved the plan map amendment and subsequently adopted Ordinances 2358 and 2359.

Ms. Cimmiyotti mentioned that this hearing before the Umatilla County Planning Commission is the County's first evidentiary hearing for co-adoption. A subsequent Public Hearing before the Umatilla County Board of Commissioners was scheduled for Wednesday, March 5, 2025, at 9:00 AM in Room 130 of the Umatilla County Courthouse, 216 SE 4th Street, Pendleton, OR 97801.

Ms. Cimmiyotti concluded that the Umatilla County Planning Commission has an obligation to make a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners for co-adoption of the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment, changing the designation of the property from "Urbanizable" to "Urban" status. She demonstrated on the map which properties were a part of this application.

Commissioner Standley asked if there was any discussion regarding Umatilla County turning over a portion of Theater Lane to the City of Hermiston, which runs parallel to these properties. Ms. Cimmiyotti stated it was not something that accompanied this application. Mrs. Megan Davchevski stated as part of our JMA with the City of Hermiston; Umatilla County Public Road department works closely with each city and those parties would determine how they transfer ownership of roads. She added it would not be something that would come before the Planning Commission.

**Applicant Testimony:** Ms. Rebecca Wahlstrom, PBS Engineering Environmental, 1325 SE Tech Center Dr., Suite 140, Vancouver, WA. Ms. Rebecca Wahlstrom stated she had nothing to add at this time and appreciated the consideration and thoughtfulness.

Mr. Dennis Gisi, 761 Abbott Rd, Walla Walla, WA 99362; Mr. Dennis Gisi stated he was available to answer any questions from the Commissioners but had nothing to add at that time.

**Opponents:** None

Public Agencies: None

**Rebuttal Testimony:** Mr. Dennis Gisi, 761 Abbott Rd, Walla Walla, WA 99362; Mr. Gisi stated they hoped the Planning Commission agreed this is a great project for the City of Hermiston, who needs additional housing. He stated they think they are doing a great service by helping.

Chair Danforth called for any requests for the hearing to be continued, or for the record to remain open. There were none.

Chair Danforth closed the hearing for deliberation.

#### **DELIBERATION & DECISION**

Commissioner Tucker made a motion to recommend approval of Co-Adoption of the City of Hermiston Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment #P-138-24 to the Board of County Commissioners.

Commissioner Minton seconded the motion. Motion carried with a vote of 7:0 recommending approval to the Board of County Commissioners.

Ms. Cimmiyotti stated that earlier in her memo she stated the original hearing before the Board of County Commissioners would be held on Wednesday, March 5<sup>th</sup>. She explained that hearing will be rescheduled to Wednesday, March 12<sup>th</sup> instead. She mentioned the meeting would be available virtually and to contact the Planning office to obtain more information.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

TEXT AMENDMENT #T-097-24, AMENDMENT OF UMATILLA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE, SECTION 152.617(H) HOME OCCUPATIONS/COTTAGE INDUSTRIES IN THE EXCLUSIVE FARM USE ZONE. The applicant, Jim Whitney, proposes text changes to the Umatilla County Development Code (UCDC) Section 152.617(H), to allow a resident to host commercial gatherings and weddings as Home Occupations in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. The criteria of approval for amendments are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750-152.755.

Chair Danforth called for any abstentions, bias, conflicts of interest, declarations of ex parte contact or objections to jurisdiction. Vice Chair Tucker stated he had been hired by the applicant and believed this presented a conflict of interest due to his professional relationship with the applicant. Chair Danforth asked again if any other conflicts may exist, none were presented.

Chair Danforth called for the Staff Report.

#### STAFF REPORT

Mrs. Megan Davchevski, Planning Division Manager, started by introducing the application before the Planning Commission for this hearing. She stated, the applicant requested Umatilla County adopt a permit path and criteria for establishing commercial gatherings and weddings as a Home Occupation in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone. The County's current Home Occupation standards have a limitation of no more than 10 parking spaces, which currently limits the number of people that can be on site. She explained, the applicant requests to amend UCDC 152.617(H) to allow for a subsection of Home Occupations, which would be titled, "Host Commercial Gatherings and Weddings". The applicant has worked with County Planning Staff to develop language for criteria of approval. The proposed language includes statutory requirements, such as the limited number of employees, as well as other language specific to the proposed use.

Mrs. Davchevski stated, the criteria of approval for amendments are found in Umatilla County Development Code 152.750-152.755. Applicable Statewide Planning Goals 1-14 have also been evaluated. She added, this request is different than most the department has seen. It isn't specific to any one property. This request to change the County's Development Code would allow a new use that is currently not allowed through our permit process. She explained that anyone within Umatilla County in the EFU zone could apply for this new path, should it be adopted.

Mrs. Davchevski explained the normal public notice process goes out to properties within a certain vicinity; however, there were no neighbors to notify because this isn't for one specific property. She mentioned, staff had only notified the Department Land Conservation Development (DLCD), rural fire departments, Umatilla County Assessors and Umatilla County Public Health departments. She stated she did not receive comments from any agencies.

Mrs. Davchevski stated this hearing before the Umatilla County Planning Commission is the County's first evidentiary hearing. A subsequent Public Hearing before the Umatilla County Board of Commissioners is scheduled for Wednesday, March 12, 2025, at 9:00 AM in Room 130 of the Umatilla County Courthouse, 216 SE 4th Street, Pendleton, OR 97801. She noted this date is different than provided in the Public Notice, this is because the Board of Commissioners hearings in March were rescheduled.

Mrs. Davchevski stated the Umatilla County Planning Commission has an obligation to make a recommendation to the Board of Commissioners for adopting the proposed text amendment to allow for commercial gatherings and weddings as a Home Occupation in the EFU Zone.

Mrs. Davchevski stated the hearing packet includes the preliminary findings of fact and conclusions of law which address the development code sections and statewide planning goals. As well as the proposed text to be added to the Umatilla County Development Code. She referenced page 11 and stated there is a note that describes how the text has been formatted in this section. Proposed text changes were shown in a "Mark Up" format, with the original text to be removed shown in strikethrough and added text provided in bold and underlined. Text shown in red is

entirely new criteria unique to the applicant's request and is shown for comparison. Mrs. Davchevski gave a few examples from the text to help Planning Commissioners understand the mark up and to show differentiation between changed information and newly added information.

Commissioner Morris referenced page 13, UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(15) and asked how the number for guests was determined for the four to ten acres in size would limit guests to no more than one-hundred. Mrs. Davchevski said she couldn't recall if that was language the applicant provided or not. She stated the applicant came up with some initial language they provided to our department and we provided feedback. Subsequent meetings resulted with the final language presented to the Planning Commission that evening. She explained that the thought process was if you have a more guests on a smaller property, it would be more impactful to neighbors. The idea was to set a limit the number of guests for smaller properties and a larger property could accommodate more guests thus raising the limit.

Commissioner Morris asked if there was consideration to make a step between the ranges on acreage, like a 4-7 acre and 7-10 acres categories. Mrs. Davchevski stated she would let the applicant address that, but from the County's Planning perspective we didn't want to overcomplicate this. She added, if this were to be adopted, it would have a large number of criteria that we don't currently have for any of our current Conditional Use Permit (CUP) applications. The only other section that has similar number of criteria is for establishing a wind energy farm. She expressed, that we were trying to make it less complicated by just having smaller version.

Commissioner Morris referenced page 12, UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(7) and asked about the criteria listing no more than five employees, either full-time or part-time, can be employed and whether this would apply to contracted employees. Mrs. Davchevski stated no more than five (5) employees could hired by the operators. In this case, if Mr. Whitney were to come in and apply, it would be employees that work for him. This restriction is in state statutes under home occupations. She added that home occupation is specific to the property owner operating the business and also living in the home on-site.

Mrs. Davchevski stated there are several current bills before the State Legislature that would allow for wedding venues in Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) zones and a local representative is sponsoring a few bills in the current session.

Commissioner Standley asked how many wedding venues are currently active in Umatilla County. Mrs. Davchevski asked if he meant permitted or unpermitted businesses/venues. She explained the County amended our Development Code in the past to restrict the number of parking spaces that could be approved under a home occupation. Prior to that there was no restriction. She added that a few applications for home occupations were approved to host weddings before we enacted that restriction, and since then none. She mentioned two came to mind, which are Winn Barn in Weston, and Bennett Gardens in Hermiston.

Commissioner Standley referenced page 13, UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(17) and stated he was happy to see an established hours of operation. He mentioned this was something he had seen in prior hearings and felt like it would address prior problems neighbors had with this type of home occupation.

Commissioner Morris asked about parking limitations and having a parking lot off site to provide transportation by shuttle to the venue. Mrs. Davchevski explained it would depend on where the parking lot was located. She explained that the County does not have any designated ride share, or park and ride, parking lots within the EFU zone.

Chair Danforth asked about UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(26), on page 14, "There are no more than 125 vehicles from guests and employees of the home occupation can be present at any given time of on the subject parcel." Her question related to the maximum number of vehicles in relation to the maximum number of guests of 300 for ten acres or larger. Mrs. Davchevski stated this was to allow for couples or multiple attendees sharing a vehicle. She explained the number of vehicles was written specifically to eliminate the requirement for a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA). The County's Transportation System Plan (TSP) requires that if you have 250 trips or more (trip one was into the venue, and trip two was leaving the venue) the applicant would have to do a TIA at the time the Conditional Use Permit was approved. She added, this also creates less impact on County Roads.

Commissioner Standley asked about UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(34), on page 15, and who would be verifying compliance and managing inspections. Mrs. Davchevski shared the current annual review process for all home occupation and other CUP's is completed by our Code Enforcement department. Permit holders would be sent a renewal application to ensure operation within their permit requirements, and confirm the need still exists. The Code Enforcement Officer would then complete a property check. Commissioner Morris asked about non-compliance and if someone fails to obtain proper permitting. Mrs. Davchevski stated it would be in Code Enforcement purview for non-compliance. At that point it would be the property owner working with Code Enforcement to rectify the violation and bring the property within compliance. She added that if they were not compliant then there might be fees imposed when/if they go to court.

Mrs. Davchevski ended by explaining this type of application is different than those we have received in the past. The application asks to add something less restrictive so a Measure 56 notice to property owners was not required.

**Applicant Testimony:** Mrs. Tamra Mabbott, consultant for the applicant, 80379 Zimmer Lane, Hermiston, OR 97838; Mr. Jim Whitney, 41095 Taylor Lane, Pendleton, OR 97801; Mrs. Nicole Whitney Chamberlin, 2355 Morada Lane, Ashland, OR 97520; Mr. Whitney started by sharing some information and history on the property that helped put this project into motion. He stated, the property is just outside Reith, an unincorporated city outside Pendleton. He mentioned the property has a history of supplying food to the old state psychiatric hospital, which is now a state

prison. He stated the site has great older buildings and wanted to highlight a way to use those buildings but still maintain their original charm. They came up with wedding events. He had also just completed a conservation easement with the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), which makes up 960 acres within this property.

Mr. Whitney explained he reached out to Mrs. Mabbott and asked if she would consult and help them put this project together. The acreage not put in the easement was approximately 70 acres, which includes two large barns, homes, and various buildings on-site.

Mrs. Tamra Mabbott stated they've been working on this project approximately a year and a half. She stated Mr. Whitney and his daughter Mrs. Whitney Chamberlin vetted a number of options before settling on this idea. She explained they looked at considering a rezone or an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) swap, but both were very long-term and an expensive process to achieve. Mrs. Whitney Chamberlin had expressed wanting to move back to the area and make use of the property for this project. Mrs. Mabbott explained that if this was approved, perhaps they could look at a UGB swap in the future.

Mr. Whitney asked about the five employee maximum, whether this was a State Statute or if it was a limitation per event. Mrs. Davchevski stated that was a state statute, and it includes people working on your payroll for weddings and events, which would include the Mr. Whitney, Mrs. Whitney Chamberlin and three other employees. She explained it would not include vendors you contract for the event to serve food or provide other services. She stated she was uncertain regarding volunteers and mentioned it might be something the Oregon Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) would have to decide, and this was something currently being looked at by State Legislature to clarify that language.

Mr. Whitney referenced UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(16), on page 13. He mentioned he doesn't want to build more homes but considered turning buildings into housing for the wedding party if it could be allowed. Mrs. Davchevski stated they couldn't turn existing non-housing structures into homes unless they met criteria to qualify under the EFU zone and doing so would be under a different CUP for establishing a room house or other lodging facilities.

Mr. Whitney asked about UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(18), on page 13, which talks about lighting and asked if the County would be open to modifying it to state not <u>directly</u> projecting into adjoining properties. Commissioner Standley reminded Mr. Whitney this would be a county-wide change and to be careful with adjusting language especially since some smaller adjoining properties might be affected more.

Mr. Whitney talked about UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(30), on page 15, regarding signing and recording a Covenant Not to Sue and who this applies to. Mrs. Davchevski explained that most uses we permit request a signed Covenant for the applicant to not sue their neighbors for their farming practices affecting their new use.

Commissioner Morris asked Mr. Whitney's opinion regarding UCDC Section 152.617(H)(b)(15), on page 13, and the limit of the number of people. Mr. Whitney stated he wouldn't be opposed to a larger attendee maximum for properties over a certain acreage, but only if it would be allowed within statute. Mrs. Davchevski stated there is nothing in statute, but several LUBA cases have been reviewed regarding home occupations that have been permitted as an agritourism event. LUBA doesn't specify what the maximum number would be, but they are clear on the maximum number of employees. She explained the number of attendees was based on the TIA and TSP.

Mrs. Davchevski stated the purpose of the meeting today was to make a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners and if the Planning Commissions recommendation includes amended language, she would then update the DLCD portal and would share the recommended language before the County Commissioners.

Commissioner Minton agreed and stated she felt the attendee maximum of 300 for 10 acres was a nice starting point and doesn't draw a lot of attention. Chair Danforth also agreed 300 attendees was a safe number to make a workable plan. Mrs. Mabbott stated if the applicant does get this approved and subsequently the CUP approved, then they could look at doing a one-time mass gathering permit. Mrs. Davchevski confirmed that was possible and would be an allowance in the EFU zone, she referred to the Ukiah Rodeo who completes one each year for their event. Mrs. Whitney Chamberlin asked if there was a limit on how many you can do each year. Mrs. Davchevski stated there was a limit per year but couldn't remember what that number was. She stated there is a limit of guests associated with the event and goes before the Board of County Commissioners for approval.

Commissioner Standley asked at what point would your zoning be at risk, perhaps with the use change, could it be a future risk. Mrs. Mabbott clarified with staff that an approval of a home occupation doesn't justify a future rezone. Mrs. Davchevski and Ms. Charlet Hotchkiss agreed that this wouldn't affect their zoning. Mrs. Mabbott stated a farm can automatically qualify for farm deferral if they are zoned with intent to make a profit, and property owners would really have to mismanage the property to lose that. She explained Mr. Whitney is likely not profiting off the fishery easement with the CTUIR, but he is likely obtaining passive income because the property is contiguous to other properties he manages or leases for farm use.

Mrs. Mabbott also stated UCDC 152.617(H)(b)(16) would apply to new housing, which if the property owner wanted to share their home with the event holders like an Airbnb they could do so. She also thanked staff for including subsection (34) regarding annual reviews and fees assessed, because it was a better outcome then to initially receive only a four-year approval and would negate the need to reapply.

Chair Danforth thanked the applicants for their time and agreed it showed the thoroughness of the application and details regarding concerns of the operation. Commissioner Green stated she didn't

have any questions but was excited that the applicants are trying to do something with their property and believes it would be a wonderful idea.

**Opponents:** Ms. Susan Byrd, 45000 Hidaway Springs Rd, Ukiah, OR 97880; Ms. Byrd stated she wasn't super familiar with the process but believed this should be an individual project instead of county-wide. She expressed concern about significant impact of quality of life regarding 125 cars traveling two-ways with dust and noise. She added that if this were to go into effect at a nearby property without her permission, she would be very upset. She asked to rescind the larger scale project and limit it to only their particular property. Ms. Byrd mentioned this type of event would significantly impact those farmers when you are moving cattle or tractors down the road. She ended stating she would submit comments to the Commissioners.

Mrs. Davchevski clarified the process for this type of conditional use. She stated the applicant is requesting to add this as an allowable use, so that they can get the permit for their specific property and in order to do that it has to apply for the EFU zone across the entire county. She explained that the County can't designate certain areas this would be allowable in. Mrs. Davchevski expressed that if this language was approved and adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, there would be an individual Conditional Use Permit process that would follow. She added that an application would be submitted to our department and then it would go to public notice to nearby property owners to provide public comment or request a public hearing.

Commissioner Millar asked if these are handled individually, and Mrs. Davchevski confirmed that was correct.

**Public Agencies:** None

Rebuttal Testimony: Mrs. Tamra Mabbott, consultant for the applicant, 80379 Zimmer Lane, Hermiston, OR 97838; Mr. Jim Whitney, 41095 Taylor Lane, Pendleton, OR 97801; Mrs. Nicole Whitney Chamberlin, 2355 Morada Lane, Ashland, OR 97520; Mrs. Whitney Chamberlin asked how long the process would take and what happens next. Chair Danforth explained after the conclusion of that meeting it would go before the Board of County Commissioners to review and make the final decision. Mrs. Davchevski stated the Board of County Commissioners typically decide at the initial hearing unless they decide to continue the meeting, or a request was made for a continuance. She stated once approved it is immediately approved and so an application could be submitted for a CUP at that time, which typically is about a six to eight-week processing time.

Chair Danforth called for any requests for the hearing to be continued, or for the record to remain open. There were none.

Chair Danforth closed the hearing for deliberation.

#### **DELIBERATION & DECISION**

Commissioner Minton expressed joy to see this might be opening the door for people to apply for this type of use and it was good to hear and clarify some things she had questioned. Commissioner Morris mentioned he appreciated the work around that would allow for the larger event process. Chair Danforth stated she thought this could be a good thing to help century farms continue to thrive and gives them an avenue to gain income and continue to further these farms along.

Commissioner Standley made a motion to recommend approval of Text Amendment #T-097-24, Amendment of Umatilla County Develop Code, Section 152.617(H) Home Occupations/Cottage Industries in the Exclusive Farms Use Zone based on foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

Commissioner Millar seconded the motion. Motion carried with a vote of 6:0 recommending approval to the Board of County Commissioners.

#### **OTHER BUSINESS**

Commissioner Morris made a motion to elect Commissioner Suni Danforth as Chair, and Commissioner Sam Tucker to remain as Vice Chair until they have found a replacement for Commissioner Danforth since her term has ended.

Commissioner Standley seconded the motion. Motion passes with a vote of 7:0.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

Chair Danforth adjourned the meeting at 7:56PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Shawnna Van Sickle,

Administrative Assistant